Upper Valley Disposal Service Proposed Rate Methodology Changes

- I. Primary advantage simplification
 - A Would simplify the process by eliminating the following:
 - 1 Duplicative accounting systems
 - 2 3 year operating cycle
 - 3 Deficit / surplus adjustments
 - 4 Majority of allowable, vs recoverable vs non-allowable expense classifications
 - B Expense verification would be handled through spreadsheet analysis, not a second set of books
- II. Keep the current franchise agreement (including Exhibit A) intact, and change the rate methodology in Exhibit B
- **III.** Implement a hybrid rate methodology (new Exhibit B)
 - A Retain a cost / plus methodology for a limited number of large expense items
 - 1 Landfill fees
 - 2 Salaries & wages including pay related (taxes, insurance & retirement plan)
 - 3 Depreciation
 - 4 Debt service (principal & interest), including the Retained Earnings loan
 - 5 Capital additions
 - 6 New programs
 - **B** All remaining expenses would be covered by CPI rate increases
- IV. Rates would be evaluated annually, including an annual CPI increase
- V. Implementation
 - A Establish a base year (i.e. either 2004 or 2005)
 - 1 Calculate relative ratios of "cost plus" and "CPI" expenses to total expenses
 - 2 Allocate actual revenues according to "cost plus" and "CPI" expense ratios
 - 3 The "CPI Revenue" would become the base amount to apply future years' CPI increases
 - **B** Each year "Cost Plus Expenses" plus Operator's Margin would be compared to "Cost Plus Revenues" to determine the appropriate rate increase attributable to the "Cost Plus Expenses"
 - **C** The total annual rate increase would be the sum of the "Cost Plus" rate increase and the "CPI" rate increase.

Allowable Exp Allowable Exp Allowable Exp Recoverable Exp Recoverable Exp Recoverable Exp

Kep d

Upper Valley Disposal Service Proposed Rate Methodology Changes

VI. Special Rate Review

- **A** Could be initiated by either party at any time
- **B** Based on a significant identifiable change(s) i.e.
 - 1 Change in government regulations
 - 2 Operational changes, i .e different method of waste disposal
 - 3 Business & economic changes
 - **a** Significant changes in revenues (tonnage)
 - **b** Significant changes (greater than CPI) in a "CPI" expense(s)
- **C** Would only review items that had significant changes (i.e. not a general audit) i.e.
 - 1 Fuel
 - 2 Insurance

VII. Other

- A Would need to review Exhibit A and correct any resulting inconsistencies
- B Would need bank approval vis-à-vis covenants

	Example
Upper Valley Disposal Service Rate Model Analysis	
Upper Rate M	

2	2
2	5
3	
g	3
ñ	ì
-	1

Combined								7,179,567		6,868,387 798,685	7,667,072	487,505
Hybrid Model CPI Component	492,813				120,072	120,072	109,456	722,341	10.1%	691,033 80,356	771,389	49,048
Rate Model Component	3,413,398 2,123,284 529,341	6,066,023	599,936		366,203 25,000	391,203		6,457,226	89.9%	6,177,354 718,329	6,895,683	438,457
Per Rate Model	3,413,398 1,510,638 529,341 492,813	5,946,190	588,085		366,203 25,000 612,646 120,072	1,123,921	109,456	7,179,567		6,868,387 798,685	7,667,072	487,505
			91%						Ratio			
			•						Ra			
	Wages & Related Benefits Landfill Expenses Depreciation Remaining Allowable Expenses	Total Allowable Expenses	Minimum Net Revenue Allowance	Recoverable expenses	Principal & interest on debt service Development expenses / new programs Landfill Expenses Remaining recoverable expenses	Total Recoverable Expenses	<u>Non Allowable Expenses</u>	Total Expenses	Actual Revenue Collected	Collections (Trash + Curbside) Recycling Receipts	Total Revenues	Actual Net Revenue

		Example				
				Hybrid Model	Model	
		Per Rate	Rate	e el CPI	~	
		Model	Component	S		Combined
Actual Revenue Collected						
Collections(Trash + Curbside) Recycling Receipts		6,868,387 798,685	6,177,354 718,329		691,033 80,356	6,868,387 798,685
Total Revenues		7,667,072	6,895,683		771,389	7,667,072
Actual Net Revenue		487,505	438,457		49,048	487,505
<u> Minimum / Maximum Net Revenue Calculation</u>						
Minimum Net Revenue Allowance Maximum Net Revenue Allowance Difference; (deficiency)/surplus	91% 86%	588,085 967,984 (100,580)	599,936 987,492 (161,480)	936 192 180)		
Required Rate Model increase		1.5%		2.6%		
CPI Increase			CPI Increase			
Collections revenue CPI increase			\$ 691,033 1.2%			
Overall CPI rate increase			<u>.0.9%</u>	, Û	6,219	
Total Rate Increase		\$ 100,580	\$ 161,480	S	6,219	\$ 167,699
		1.5%				2.4%

Example

.

Upper Valley Disposal Service Rate Model Analysis N