



## Upper Valley Waste Management Agency Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Directors  
**FROM:** Steven Lederer - Manager  
Upper Valley Waste Management Agency  
**REPORT BY:** Alice Ramirez, Senior Office Asst - 259-6716  
**SUBJECT:** Manager's Report

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

#### **MANAGER'S REPORT**

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION: Manager to provide an update on the status of current activities and obtain Board direction where applicable on the items within.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Manager to provide an update on the status of current activities.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact? No

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

#### **Monthly Financial Reports**

The most recent financial report is attached. Revenues (tied to improved waste disposal volumes) are on track to exceed budget estimates by about \$10K

Although legal expenses are high, overall budget expenses remain pretty much on track. Staff will return to the Board for a budget adjustment (increased spending authority to be paid for out of reserves) if we are unable to accommodate this.

#### Legislative Update

##### AB 45 Household Hazardous Waste Collection---LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

The California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989, which is administered by the Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery, requires, among other things, each city and each county to prepare a household hazardous waste element containing specified components, and to submit that element to the department for approval. Existing law requires the department to approve the element if the local agency demonstrates that it will comply with specified requirements. A city or county is required to submit an annual report to the department summarizing its progress in reducing solid waste, including an update of the jurisdiction's household hazardous waste element.

This bill would require each jurisdiction that provides for the residential collection and disposal of solid waste to increase the collection and diversion of household hazardous waste in its service area, on or before July 1, 2020, by 15% over a baseline amount, to be determined in accordance with department regulations. The bill would authorize the department to adopt a model ordinance for a comprehensive program for the collection of household hazardous waste to facilitate compliance with those provisions, and would require each jurisdiction to annually report to the department on progress achieved in complying with those provisions. By imposing new duties on local agencies, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement. This bill would provide that no reimbursement is required by this act for a specified reason.

The bill was heard in the [Assembly Committee on Local Government](#) on April 22, 2015 and was passed with a 6-3 vote with no votes from Chair Brian Maienschein and members David Chiu and Luis Alejo. The bill was heard in the [Assembly Environmental Safety and Toxic Materials Committee](#) and passed by a 4-2 vote. It goes next to the [Assembly Appropriations Committee](#) and hearing date should be set soon.

#### Yountville Mandatory Collection Ordinance

Yountville has modified the ordinance, in essence adding in a self haul exemption, that has resolved the Prop 218 issue discussed in earlier meetings.

#### Cost Recovery When it is Deemed one Member's Actions Result in Expenses to the Agency

At a previous meeting Member Crull requested a future agenda item to discuss possibly developing agency procedures for the situation where one jurisdiction's actions result in unapproved costs to the agency as a whole. Staff is not prepared to discuss this item, but it is listed here as a placeholder for future meetings.

#### Preview of June 22 Meeting

1. UVA Budget (including possible funds for a consultant to help with 2.b below)
- 2.a CFL and UVDS Rates; and/or
- 2.b Consideration of an agreement allowing for a 6 month negotiation period on proposed projects and a possible contract extension
3. Agency Insurance

**SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

A . Financials

Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Steven Lederer