



## Upper Valley Waste Management Agency **Board Agenda Letter**

---

**TO:** Board of Directors  
**FROM:** Steven Lederer - Manager  
Upper Valley Waste Management Agency  
**REPORT BY:** Steven Lederer, Director of Environmental Management - 253-4471  
**SUBJECT:** California Integrated Waste Management Act

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

#### **CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT ACT**

**DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION:** Staff to provide an update on the status of activities relevant to the Act.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Napa County Solid Waste Local Task Force (SWLTF) is continuing work on the 2007 Five Year Review of the Napa County Integrated Waste Management Plan and its associated elements. In May this Board will be requested to review an updated Non-Disposal Facility Element (NDFE).

Additional items regarding this topic are included in the background section below.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact?                      No

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:** The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

The CIWMB contacted UVA staff with concern that the waste volume numbers reported by UVDS to the Waste Board did not match the numbers reported to the Board of Equalization. This issue for the 2006 reports has been addressed to the satisfaction of the CIWMB staff.

UVDS has hired a consultant (Doug Kobold) who is an expert on waste reporting. While the CIWMB and UVA remain very concerned about this issue, continued progress is being made. We will continue to work with the Company, and will monitor progress and report back to the Board monthly on this issue.

The Annual reports required by the CIWMB were submitted in March as required. The CIWMB has conducted a preliminary review of the submittal and had no comments. The reports indicate that the recycling rate for UVA as a whole was 61%, and the remaining unincorporated County came in at 80%. The subject submittals, which contain new emphasis on EPR, are attached for information.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

- A . UVA Annual Report to CIWMB
- B . Unincorporated County Annual Report to CIWMB

Recommendation: Approve  
Reviewed By: Steven Lederer