



Napa-Vallejo Waste Management Authority Board Agenda Letter

TO:	Board of Directors
FROM:	Richard Luthy - Executive Director Napa-Vallejo Waste Management Authority
REPORT BY:	Richard Luthy, Executive Director, Napa-Vallejo Waste Management - 707-299-1314
SUBJECT:	FY 2015-2016 Proposed Budget Study Session

RECOMMENDATION

PROPOSED FISCAL YEAR 2015/2016 BUDGET STUDY SESSION

DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. Revenue Projections and Operations Budget; and
- 2. Operating and Capital Reserves; and
- 3. Capital Improvement Budget

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Authority Bylaws direct the Executive Director, in cooperation with the Auditor-Controller, to submit a proposed budget for the ensuing Fiscal Year, 2015/2016, to the Board of Directors at their first meeting in April. Based on direction from the Board at that meeting, the revised budget is scheduled for discussion and direction at today's meeting and it is anticipated that a Final Budget will be presented for adoption by the Board, with a noticed Public Hearing, at the June 4, 2015 meeting.

FISCAL IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	No
What is the revenue source?	This is the proposed operating budget for Fiscal Year 2015/2016

Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Discretionary	
Discretionary Justification:	A budget must be adopted in order to expend funds to cover the Authority's obligations.	
Is the general fund affected?	Yes	
Future fiscal impact:	None. This is only for the upcoming fiscal year.	
Consequences if not approved:	Expenditures can not be made.	
Additional Information:		

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Based on discussion and direction from the Board at this meeting, staff anticipates returning to the Board on June 4, 2015 with a Final Budget for adoption.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

None

Executive Director: Approve Reviewed By: Martha Burdick