



A Tradition of Stewardship  
A Commitment to Service

Agenda Date: 9/21/2010

Agenda Placement: 7H

## NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** Kim Henderson for Don Ridenhour - Director  
Public Works  
**REPORT BY:** Janet Walker, CIVIL ENGINEER - 259-8383  
**SUBJECT:** Road Resurfacing Projects RDS 10-35, 10-37, 10-38 and 10-39--Award of Contracts

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Director of Public Works requests the following actions:

1. Award of the contracts listed below to the following contractors for their respective low base bids, and authorization for the Chair to sign the construction contracts:
  - a. Buhman Avenue Overlay," RDS 10-35 to Vintage Paving Company of Winters, California for their low base bid of \$189,416 with Additive Alternate No. 1 of \$20,155 and Additive Alternate No. 2 of \$20,155 for a total contract amount of \$229,726;
  - b. "Road Surface Treatments - Berryessa, Monticello, and Silverado Residential Community Vicinities," RDS 10-37 to Valley Slurry Seal of West Sacramento, California for their low base bid of \$594,228;
  - c. "Road Surface Treatments - St. Helena and Vicinity," RDS 10-38 to Valley Slurry Seal of West Sacramento, California for their low base bid of \$267,010;
  - d. "Devlin Road Overlay" RDS 10-39 to Vintage Paving Company of Winters, California for their low base bid of \$238,939 with Additive Alternate No. 1 of \$22,576 and Additive Alternate No. 2 of \$22,576 for a total contract amount of \$284,091; and
2. Approval of Budget Transfer No. DPW009 appropriating \$1,340,579 with an offsetting reduction in appropriations within the Roads budget for the above listed projects funded by Proposition 1B. (4/5 vote required)

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On August 3, 2010, the Board of Supervisors approved plans and specifications for Proposition 1B (Cycle IV) funded projects and authorized the Director of Public Works to advertise for bids and to open bids for the project at a time, date, and location published by the Director of Public Works pursuant to Section 20150.8 of the Public Contract Code. Bids have been received and award of construction contracts by the Board is necessary to move

into the construction phase. The low bidders on these contracts are not local vendors.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	Yes
Where is it budgeted?	Roads Department
Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Discretionary
Discretionary Justification:	Implementation of the proposed list of projects will protect the County's substantial investment in the infrastructure of the County-maintained road system.
Is the general fund affected?	No
Future fiscal impact:	Improvement of the recommended roads will reduce the need for greater expenditure in future years by preserving the recommended streets and roads and preventing the rapid deterioration of existing infrastructure.
Consequences if not approved:	The roadway infrastructure recommended for treatment will deteriorate further.
Additional Information:	Funding in the total amount was granted through Cycle IV of Proposition 1B and shall be used to finance the following projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>  Buhman Avenue Overlay RDS 10-35</li> <li>  Road Surface Treatments - Berryessa, Monticello and Silverado Residential Community Vicinities RDS 10-37 includes supplementary general funds funding from Budget Transfer No. DPW002 in the amount of \$37,202 to be utilized for surface treatments in the Circle Oaks area, which are included in the scope of work of this project. Circle Oaks was included in this project as it is in the general vicinity of other roads in the project. Budget Transfer No. DPW002 was approved by the Board on July 13, 2010.</li> <li>  Road Surface Treatments - St. Helena and Vicinity RDS 10-38</li> <li>  Devlin Road Overlay RDS 10-39</li> </ul>

At the time the Road budget was being created Proposition 1B projects were unknown and the total allocation was identified in the Local Streets and Roads Account (55643400). Staff has since identified the above projects. Approval of Budget Transfer No. DPW009 will move Proposition 1B appropriations into separate accounts to more efficiently track the projects. Total project costs including construction, design and contingencies total \$1,620,579. Budget Transfer No. DPW009 increases and <decreases> appropriations in the following projects:

- | Road Surface Treatments - Berryessa, Monticello and Silverado Residential Community Vicinities RDS 10-37 \$623,868
- | Road Surface Treatments - St. Helena and Vicinity RDS 10-38 \$326,711

- | Devlin Road Overlay RDS 10-39 \$390,000
- | Buhman Avenue Overlay RDS 10-35 <\$273,168>
- | Local Streets and Roads <\$1,067,411>

## **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

## **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

On August 3, 2010 the Board approved the Plans and Specifications for the subject projects, and authorized the Director of Public Works to advertise for sealed bids and open the bids at a time, date and location selected by the Director of Public Works pursuant to Section 20150.8 of the Public Contract Code.

### **Proposition 1B Projects**

California voters passed the Highway, Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006 as Proposition 1B on the November 2006 ballot. Funds totalling \$4,960,819 were allocated to the County of Napa over a total of four cycles. Over \$2 million was allotted to the first cycle. Funds for the second and third cycles in the amount of \$745,382 were received by the County in March 2010. The fourth and final cycle allotted \$2,212,669 to the County of Napa, and the funds were received in May 2010. Cycle IV is the subject of this item.

Cycle IV funds shall be used to advance construction efforts that had been previously identified as projects to be funded by the County. These construction efforts are comprised of the following:

1. "Road Surface Treatments - Berryessa, Monticello, and Silverado Residential Community Vicinities," RDS 10-37
2. "Road Surface Treatments - St. Helena and Vicinity," RDS 10-38
3. "Buhman Avenue Overlay," RDS 10-35
4. "Devlin Road Overlay," RDS 10-39
5. "Road Surface Treatments - South Napa County," RDS 10-36. Details on this project are not finalized yet. Staff will return to the Board with this last project at a future time.

Bids have been solicited and were opened in the sequence seen below:

### **September 2, 2010**

#### **A. Road Surface Treatments - Berryessa, Monticello, and Silverado Residential Community Vicinities RDS 10-37**

<u>Contractor Name, City, State</u>	<u>Base Bid Amount</u>
Valley Slurry Seal, West Sacramento, California	\$594,228
Intermountain Slurry Seal, Sacramento, California	\$614,466

The low apparent bidder for RDS 10-37 is Valley Slurry Seal of West Sacramento, California.

B. Road Surface Treatments - St. Helena and Vicinity RDS 10-38

<u>Contractor Name, City, State</u>	<u>Base Bid Amount</u>
Valley Slurry Seal, West Sacramento, California	\$267,010
Intermountain Slurry Seal, Sacramento, California	\$341,930

The low apparent bidder for RDS 10-38 is Valley Slurry Seal of West Sacramento, California.

**September 9, 2010**C. Buhman Avenue Overlay RDS 10-35

<u>Contractor Name, City, State</u>	<u>Base Bid Amount</u>	<u>Base Bid + Additive Alternative 1</u>	<u>Base Bid + Additive Alternative 2</u>
Vintage Paving Company, Winters, California	\$189,416	\$209,571	\$229,726
MCK Services, Inc., Martinez, California	\$207,780	\$226,558	\$248,018
Ghilotti Bros. Inc., San Rafael, California	\$221,003	\$243,043	\$265,083
Fedco Construction, Santa Rosa, California	\$228,442	\$251,932	\$275,442
Ghilotti Construction Co. Inc., Santa Rosa, California	\$240,966	\$264,166	\$287,366
OC Jones and Sons, Inc., Berkeley, California	\$241,246	\$264,011	\$288,516
Argonaut Constructors, Santa Rosa, California	\$245,914	\$267,954	\$289,994
Team Ghilotti, Petaluma, California	\$250,756	\$279,176	\$307,596
Greener Excavations, Fairfax, California	\$262,847	\$288,001	\$313,156
JA Gonsalves, Napa, California	\$269,776	\$291,526	\$313,276
Nielson Construction, Napa, California	\$262,149	\$288,467	\$314,784
Northwest General Engineering, Windsor, California	\$272,621	\$294,443	\$316,266
John Benward, Sonoma, California	\$278,529	\$307,225	\$335,920

The low apparent bidder for RDS 10-35 is Vintage Paving Company of Winters, California. Staff recommends award of the base bid, additive alternate 1 and additive alternate 2 since the available budget is sufficient for these costs.

D. Devlin Road Overlay RDS 10-39

<u>Contractor Name, City, State</u>	<u>Base Bid Amount</u>	<u>Base Bid + Additive Alternative 1</u>	<u>Base Bid + Additive Alternative 2</u>
Vintage Paving Company, Winters, California	\$238,939	\$261,515	\$284,091
MCK Services, Inc., Martinez, California	\$252,057	\$273,554	\$295,051
Fedco Construction, Santa Rosa, California	\$278,367	\$305,093	\$331,819
Ghilotti Bros. Inc., San Rafael, California	\$283,560	\$307,796	\$332,032
Argonaut Constructors, Santa Rosa, California	\$290,816	\$316,712	\$342,608
Ghilotti Construction Co. Inc., Santa Rosa, California	\$289,575	\$316,135	\$342,695
OC Jones and Sons, Inc., Berkeley, California	\$296,219	\$321,949	\$349,505
Northwest General Engineering, Windsor, California	\$319,172	\$343,906	\$368,640
Team Ghilotti, Petaluma, California	\$303,996	\$336,532	\$369,068
Greener Excavations, Fairfax, California	\$314,586	\$343,546	\$372,506
JA Gonsalves, Napa, California	\$329,863	\$354,763	\$379,663
Nielson Construction, Napa, California	\$324,861	\$354,309	\$383,758
John Benward, Sonoma, California	\$334,161	\$366,999	\$399,837

The low apparent bidder for RDS 10-39 is Vintage Paving Company of Winters, California. Staff recommends award of the base bid, additive alternate 1 and additive alternate 2 since the available budget is sufficient for these costs.

The low bidders on the above referenced contracts are not local vendors. Public Contract Code requires that the construction contract be awarded to the lowest responsible and responsive bidder regardless of whether the low bidder is local or not. Staff outreached to the local construction contractor community included advertising the request for bids in the Napa Valley Register, the Solano-Napa Builder's Exchange and posting on the County website. Staff presented project information to the local construction contractor community at the July 27th Public Works local professional/construction contractor services outreach session held at the Napa Chamber of Commerce. Staff also sent "Notices to Contractors" to local contractors to let them know about the bidding opportunities. By bidding the work as smaller contracts the County encouraged local construction contractors to participate in the bidding process. The County will be contacting the Napa County Workforce Investment Board (WIB) and will require that the successful contractors contact the WIB to let the WIB know of their hiring needs.

Staff is targeting construction completion of these projects by mid-fall 2010.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

None

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Helene Franchi