



Agenda Date: 8/23/2005  
Agenda Placement: 6L

## NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Supervisors

**FROM:** Cathy Gruenhagen for Hillary Gitelman - Director  
Conservation, Development & Planning

**REPORT BY:** Carly Aubrey, Planner III, 265-2325

**SUBJECT:** Approval of and authorization for Chair to sign Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 6354 for the completion of the CEQA document associated with Erosion Control Plan Application #00006-ECPA

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Director of Conservation, Development and Planning requests approval of and authorization for the Chair to sign Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 6354 with Environmental Science Associates (ESA) increasing the amount by \$13,582 for a new maximum of \$129,788 and extending the term through June 30, 2006 to complete the preparation of the California Environmental Quality Act document associated with Erosion Control Plan Application #00006-ECPA, Cianciarulo Vineyards.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Ronaldo Cianciarulo (owner) requested, with Napa County's concurrence, that the contractor ESA prepare the CEQA document for their erosion control plan #00006-ECPA in 2004. This is the first amendment to the original scope of work for items not originally anticipated. The additional work task involves an additional field meeting and a formal wetland delineation. At the time the original scope of work was developed, it was not possible to anticipate that these additional items would be required.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	Yes
Where is it budgeted?	Conservation, Development & Planning 100%. This is a pass through; and the applicant is responsible for the cost of the consultant agreement.
Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Discretionary

---

Discretionary Justification: The funding is necessary to complete the CEQA document associated with Erosion Control Plan Application #00006-ECPA.

Is the general fund affected? No

Future fiscal impact: None

Consequences if not approved: The CEQA document associated with Erosion Control Plan Application #00006-ECPA would not be completed.

Additional Information: None

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

Ronaldo Cianciarulo (owner) requested, with Napa County's concurrence, that the contractor ESA prepare the CEQA document for their erosion control plan #00006-ECPA in 2004. This is the first amendment to the original scope of work for items not originally anticipated. The additional work task involves an additional field meeting and a formal wetland delineation. At the time the original scope of work was developed, it was not possible to anticipate that these additional items would be required.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

None

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Andrew Carey