



Agenda Date: 7/12/2005
Agenda Placement: 6C

NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

TO: Board of Supervisors
FROM: Janice Donovan for Gary Simpson - Sheriff-Coroner
Sheriff
REPORT BY: Janice Donovan, Sheriff's Administrative Manager, 259-8674
SUBJECT: Purchase of Fixed Assets

RECOMMENDATION

Sheriff, Chief Probation Officer and Director of Corrections request approval of the following establishing a fixed asset in each department for the purchase of three (3) LiveScan fingerprinting systems:

1. Approval of Budget Transfer No. 1 increasing appropriations in the Sheriff, Probation and Corrections budget units with offsetting revenues from the Sheriff's Fingerprint ID Trust in the amount of \$51,401 within each budget unit for a total of \$154,203; (4/5 vote required) and
2. A waiver of competitive bidding requirements and sole source award of the purchase of three LiveScan fingerprinting systems from Identix, Incorporated, Ontario, California, for a total of \$154,203 pursuant to County Ordinance Code 2.36.090.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Today's action is the first phase of the County's Automated Fingerprint Information System. Funding is provided from Department of Motor Vehicles who since 1998 have been collecting \$1 for each vehicle registered in Napa County which has been deposited into the Sheriff's Fingerprint ID Trust account. Sheriff, Corrections, and Probation will each receive one LiveScan Fingerprinting System at a cost of \$51,401 for a total of \$154,203.

FISCAL IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	No
What is the revenue source?	The revenue is in the Fingerprint ID Trust Fund which is to be used for the purchase, operation and maintenance of automated mobile and fixed location fingerprint equipment. Funds are deposited into the Trust pursuant to Napa

County Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 98-92, dated July 4, 1998 authorizing the Department of Motor Vehicles to collect the \$1 Fingerprint Identification Fee for vehicles registered in Napa County.

Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Mandatory
Is the general fund affected?	No
Future fiscal impact:	Future fiscal impact will be the maintenance for the multi department system, approximately \$4,436, and the Sheriff's Department Fingerprint ID Trust Fund will be the funding source.
Consequences if not approved:	Department of Justice no longer accepts inked hard copy prints. The general fund would be required to purchase this equipment.
Additional Information:	None

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

The Automated Fingerprint Information System (AFIS) will be a shared system of fingerprints between the Sheriff's Department, Department of Corrections, Probation Department and Department of Justice. The purchase of the three live-scan system represents Phase I of the AFIS Project. Phase II of the project will be implementing the actual database set up with components such as mobile fingerprinting, image processing, transmission between departments, and archiving prints. We will be returning to the Board at a future date for the implementation of Phase II. Funding was not included in the recommended budget because at the time the budgets were prepared the purchase price was unknown.

The three live-scan units are replacements for current machines that are older technology that is no longer supported by the manufacture. The three new live-scans also take palm prints, which has never been done electronically in Napa County, is very new technology and those agencies who have used it have noted a significant increase in solved cases through this identification. The Sheriff's Department system will fingerprint all prospective employees for background purposes.

Phase II will included a local database for storage of the finger/palm prints captured from criminal offenders by the three live-scan devices. This will allow local law enforcement agencies to compare latent prints from crime scenes to the database for identification of the offender. Current comparisons require investigators to identify individuals who may have been involved in the crime and, by use of "hard copy" fingerprint cards, have a fingerprint expert then compare the latents to the cards using a very time consuming manual examination process. This system will eventually allow the sharing of finger/palm prints images from County to County.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

None

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Helene Franchi