

Agenda Date: 6/28/2005 Agenda Placement: 6A

NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

TO:	Board of Supervisors
FROM:	Carol Strobel for Gary Lieberstein - District Attorney District Attorney
REPORT BY:	Carol Strobel, Administrative Services Officer, 253-4215
SUBJECT:	District Attorney Environmental & Asset Forfeiture Trust Transfers

RECOMMENDATION

District Attorney requests approval of Budget Transfer No. 90 transferring \$2,701.79 from the Environmental Trust Fund and \$13,490.45 from the Asset Forfeiture Trust Fund to the District Attorney's budget for operating expenses. (4/5 vote required)

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Transfer of funds from Environmental & Asset Forfeiture trust accounts to reimburse operational fund for fiscal year 2004/2005 expenditures.

FISCAL IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	No
What is the revenue source?	Environmental & Asset Forfeiture trust accounts.
Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Discretionary
Discretionary Justification:	The majority of the Asset Forfeiture expenses were for public service or public notice announcements. The Environmental expenses were for furthering investigations for environmental cases.
Is the general fund affected?	Yes
Future fiscal impact:	These are one time only expenses.
Consequences if not approved:	The expenditures of the District Attorney's operating budget would not be reimbursed from the trust accounts.

Additional Information: None

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Legislation has provided that a portion of funds collected as a result of conviction in Environmental and Asset Forfeiture cases to be placed in a trust fund to be used in a number of ways to further protect the public. This has been done throughout fiscal year 2004/2005. The action of this agenda item is to replenish the District Attorney's operating budget for the expenditures in this interest for fiscal year 2004/2005. The majority of the Asset Forfeiture expenses were for public service or public notice announcements. The Environmental expenses were for furthering investigations for environmental cases or training.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

None

CEO Recommendation: Approve Reviewed By: Helene Franchi