



Agenda Date: 5/10/2005  
Agenda Placement: 6C

## NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** Shelli Brobst for Randolph F. Snowden - Director  
Health & Human Services  
**REPORT BY:** Shelli Brobst, Contracts Analyst, 253-4720  
**SUBJECT:** Amendment No. 1 to Agreement with Alternatives for Better Living, Inc. (STOP)

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Director of Health and Human Services requests approval of and authorization for the Chair to sign Amendment No. 1 to Agreement No. 4924 with Alternatives for Better Living, Inc., incorporating agency-wide changes to the General Terms and Condition, revising the Scope of Work to include a higher level of treatment services, adding required funding source language, increasing the amount by \$25,000 for a new maximum of \$55,000 for Fiscal Year 2004-2005, and establishing a maximum of \$100,000 for Fiscal Year 2005-2006 to provide services under the Substance Abuse Treatment Options Program (STOP).

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Alternatives for Better Living provides substance abuse treatment programs at various levels of intensity for adults. This contract provides individual and group treatment services to Napa County residents who have a substance abuse or chemical dependency diagnosis. The amendment incorporates agency-wide changes to the General Terms and Conditions, revises the Scope of Work to add a new, higher level of treatment services, adds source of funds language that is required under Title 9 of the California Code of Regulations, and revises the maximum compensation for Fiscal Year 2004-2005 and Fiscal Year 2005-2006.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

Is there a Fiscal Impact?	Yes
Is it currently budgeted?	Yes
Where is it budgeted?	Substance Abuse Services
Is it Mandatory or Discretionary?	Mandatory
Is the general fund affected?	Yes

Future fiscal impact: Although the agreement terminates June 30, 2006, the agreement contains an automatic renewal, which allows the County the option to continue these services into the next fiscal year. The agreement also includes a maximum dollar amount of \$100,000 for Fiscal Year 2005-2006.

Consequences if not approved: The County would be out of compliance with Title 9 regulations by not including the Proposition 36 funding language in the agreement.

Additional Information: None

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

Napa County has implemented the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 (Proposition 36) by the creation of the Substance Abuse Treatment Options Program (STOP), which oversees the court processing and treatment of persons convicted of certain non-violent drug offenses. Proposition 36 entitles STOP participants to receive treatment in lieu of incarceration, and the law requires that a range of treatment and related services be available.

The contractor currently provides specialty outpatient treatment and intensive case management services to clients referred by the STOP program staff. With this amendment, the contractor will be able to offer a higher level of intensive treatment to Proposition 36 clients.

In addition, pursuant to State regulations, all Proposition 36 contracts must include language that identifies the source of funds and strictly prohibits the use of the State funding to pay for drug testing. In previous versions of this agreement, that language was not included. The language is now being added to the contractor's Scope of Work. The department has an executed Business Associate agreement on file with this provider.

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

None

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Meegan Condon