

Agenda Date: 3/7/2017 Agenda Placement: 9E

Set Time: 9:30 AM PUBLIC HEARING Estimated Report Time: 30 minutes

# NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

**TO:** Board of Supervisors

FROM: Steven Lederer - Director of Public Works

Roads Department

REPORT BY: Rick Marshall, Deputy Director of Public Works - Engr - (707) 259-8381

SUBJECT: Status report on Special Events/Filming ordinance First reading and intent to adopt- update from

2016

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

First reading and intention to adopt an ordinance amending Chapter 10.24 (Special Events on Public Roadways) of the Napa County Code, incorporating minor changes that were identified since the major update that was adopted in 2016

**ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION:** The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On February 9, 2016, the Board of Supervisors adopted major changes to Chapter 10.24 of the Napa County Code, which governs the conduct of special events and filming activities on County roads. At that time, the Board directed staff to monitor the effect of these changes and report back with a status report and recommendation for any additional needed revisions. A status report has been prepared and is attached to this staff report. Based on the experiences of the past year, minor ordinance changes are recommended dealing with date reservations, application sequence, fee waivers and ordinance exemptions.

## PROCEDURAL REQUIREMENTS

- Open Public Hearing.
- 2. Staff reports.
- 3. Public comments.
- 4. Close Public Hearing.
- 5. Clerk reads the Ordinance Title.
- 6. Motion, second, discussion and vote to waive the balance of the reading of the ordinance.

7. Motion, second, discussion and vote on intention to adopt the ordinance.

#### FISCAL IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact? Yes
Is it currently budgeted? No

What is the revenue source? Funds collected in application fees will offset expenses incurred by staff in the

Roads Division of Public Works, as well as the Emergency Medical Services

Division of the Health and Human Services Agency.

Is it Mandatory or Discretionary? Discretionary

Discretionary Justification: The proposed revisions to the ordinance governing the conduct of special

events and filming activities on public roadways will improve safety and quality of life for participants, as well as residents and businesses in Napa County. Additionally, the proposed changes will allow Public Works to move towards full cost recovery related to services provided for special event permits such as application administration and course preparation by Roads crew staff prior to

the event.

Is the general fund affected? Yes

Future fiscal impact: The Department of Public Works, including its Roads Division, will have a fee

structure that allows for full cost recovery.

Consequences if not approved: Existing regulations, Chapter 10.24 of the Napa County Code, will remain in

effect.

Additional Information:

## **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

## BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Chapter 10.24 of the Napa County Code establishes the requirements for conducting special events on public roadways under the jurisdiction of Napa County. On February 9, 2016, the Board of Supervisors adopted Ordinance No. 1411, which made major changes to these requirements. At that time, the Board directed staff to monitor the effect of these changes and report back with a status report and recommendation for any additional needed revisions. A status report has been prepared, and is attached to this staff report. Based on the experiences of the past year, minor ordinance changes are recommended dealing with date reservations, application sequence, fee waivers and ordinance exemptions. The ordinance effecting these changes is attached in both "clean" and "track changes" formats.

## Background

The ordinance changes adopted in February, 2016 included the following topics:

- 1. Frequency of events/impacts to arterial roadways
  - a. Events are now limited to a maximum of two per month, and a maximum of one per weekend, on any arterial roadway. A second event may be permitted on the same date as another, if the two combine to a total of less than 3,000 participants.
  - b. Full closure of any arterial roadway is only permitted for events with at least 1,000 participants.
- 2. Date reservation system -- the ordinance established the following sequence for permit applications:
  - a. Written request for date up to one year in advance
  - b. Deposit six months in advance
  - c. Complete application including remaining fee ninety days in advance
- 3. Notification requirements -- organizers must place message boards along the event course for all events (not just those with road closures).
- 4. Fees
  - a. Fees for special events are now configured with a "base fee" and an "hourly fee" representing different parts of the application review process.
  - b. Waivers may only be granted for events in which a minimum 25% of net revenue goes to a qualifying local organization.
- 5. Emergency Medical Services -- these requirements have been clarified.
- 6. Route cleanup -- organizers are required to post a "cleaning deposit" to ensure the course is left in good shape.
- 7. Filming permits -- this activity has been consolidated into the rules governing special events.
- 8. Relay events -- these are no longer permitted on State Route 29 or Silverado Trail north of Trancas Street.
- 9. Impacts to agricultural activities -- applicants must commit to limit the impact of their events on agricultural activities.

## **Experiences During 2016**

The details of special event permit activity during the past year are provided in the attached report. In general, applications were processed for 26 events with good results and few complaints from the public overall. Reservations for dates in 2017 have started out strong, with 21 events requesting dates already, one of which is a new event.

#### Proposed Changes

During the year since the Board's actions, the conduct of special events and the processing of their applications has gone smoothly. It is recommended to retain all the changes that were made in February, 2016. In addition, staff has identified some additional modifications which are recommended with today's action, for improved customer service or clarification of requirements.

1. Date reservations -- The 2016 revisions included a provision that applicants may submit a written request to reserve a date, up to one year in advance. The request must include the date requested, a map depicting the event course, and the expected number of participants. There are also new limitations on the frequency of events, as noted above. During the outreach to stakeholders which led to the adoption of the 2016 revisions, there was a discussion that in the application of these frequency limitations, priority would be given to recurring events on the basis of seniority. However, the application of this during the past year identified an unintended possibility. If new or less-senior events reserve dates early in a given month, exactly one year ahead of the dates they are requesting, a more-senior event later in the month may not be able to comply with the frequency limitations if it has to wait until exactly one year in advance of its desired date. Staff is recommending a change to create a reservation "window" so that any dates during a given month may be requested during the first seven days of that month, during the previous year. After the seven-day window, staff will sort out the seniority of the requests and assign dates which comply with the frequency limitations. Other date requests can still be submitted after this seven-day window, and will then be handled on a first-come, first-served basis.

- 2. Application sequence -- The 2016 revisions created a three-step process, as noted above (date request, fee deposit, complete application). Staff has identified that separating collection of the fee into two payments has created an additional administrative burden without significant benefit. It is proposed to eliminate the initial deposit at the 6-month point and simply require a complete application and complete fee payment no later than 90 days in advance of the event.
- 3. Fee waivers -- One provision of the 2016 revisions dealt with the requirements to qualify for a waiver of the fee. The ordinance states that an applicant must be a local 501(c)3 organization, or designate a local 501 (c)3 partner, in order to qualify. During the past year, it was observed that at least one longstanding event which has routinely qualified for a fee waiver is conducted by an organization which is a 501(c)4, not a 501 (c)3. The IRS defines a total of 29 categories of tax-exempt non-profit organizations in Section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code. Staff feels that it is consistent with the Board's action in 2016 to include any bona fide tax-exempt non-profit organization, as long as it is locally based, and proposes to modify the ordinance to simply specify "501(c)" as qualifying. It is also recommended to add language to clarify that all organizations, even those which qualify for a waiver of the fee, are required to post the fully-refundable "cleaning deposit," which ensures that the event course is left free of litter, debris or damage.
- 4. Blackout dates -- County staff has worked together with the California Highway Patrol to identify a list of dates with regional-scale events which pose a substantial burden on the workload of law enforcement, emergency medical and other essential services. No permits are issued on these dates, which include Bottle Rock, Auction Napa Valley, major holiday weekends and events at the Sears Point raceway in neighboring Sonoma County. During the past year, staff has identified the need to add one more item to this list -- the Safeway Open PGA Golf Tournament. The list of blackout dates is not a function of the ordinance so no ordinance revision is needed.
- Complaint process -- the Board's action in 2016 included direction to staff to develop a formal process for responding to complaints received regarding the conduct of special events and filming activities. A proposed process has been developed and is included as an attachment to this staff report.
- Ordinance exemptions -- Section 10.24.010 of the Napa County Code includes definitions for terms which are used throughout the rest of the chapter. One item, which was not changed by the Board's action in 2016, was the definition of Special Event itself, which included an exemption for certain events "for which there are expected to be more than 50 participants, but for which participants are required to comply with the California Vehicle Code as it applies to persons riding a bicycle upon a highway." Such events are subject to two following sections of the ordinance, which deal with insurance requirements and a "hold harmless" agreement, and organizers are required to notify Public Works in writing at least 30 days in advance of such an event. During the past year, three event organizers notified staff that they intended their events to be considered eligible for processing under this exemption, the first time such a request has been made since this provision was added to the ordinance many years ago. As staff proceeded to work on these requests, it was identified that there is an internal conflict in the wording of the exemption. The insurance and hold harmless requirements both refer to the issuance of a permit, so it is not clear how to engage these requirements in cases where an event is exempt from a permit. Staff recommends modifying the ordinance to delete the "exemption" language from the definition. All events benefit from going through the permit process, because the process includes a mechanism in which Public Works' agency partners, such as CHP and EMS, are aware of the activities in their service areas and can ensure the safety of the public and all event participants. When events do not go through the permit process, it is not possible to keep all stakeholders informed and thereby enable them to coordinate the provision of their services.
- Other -- some very minor edits are proposed to clean up wording and address gender-specific language, where this need has been identified by staff.

#### Feedback on Proposed Modifications

Staff provided the attached update report to stakeholders during the Fall of 2016. This group includes event organizers, agency partners, representatives of local organizations (such as the agricultural organizations) and others who have expressed interest in this topic over the past couple years. Responses were received from the

Davis Bicycle Club. Their correspondence is attached to this staff report. Public Works staff has reviewed the comments received and offers the following responses:

- 1. Davis Bicycle Club
  - a. Exemption language in definitions -- for the reasons cited above, staff feels it is important for all events to go through the permit process. Staff does not support the change to the ordinance definition language which is requested here.
  - b. Fee waivers -- the request is to waive fees for events which meet certain location criteria or seniority criteria. All events, regardless of location or seniority, require staff time in the review of the application and in the preparation of the event course. Waivers are available for non-profit organizations that are local to Napa County, but this would not include the Davis Bicycle Club. Staff feels that the current system of fees and fee waiver criteria is consistent with the direction the Board has provided and does not recommend any change in this area.

# **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

- A . Ordinance clean
- B. Ordinance track changes
- C . Update Report
- D. Complaint Process
- E . Comments from Davis Bicycle Club
- F. Powerpoint

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Bret Prebula