

Agenda Date: 2/2/2010 Agenda Placement: 7I

NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS **Board Agenda Letter**

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Don Ridenhour - Director

Public Works

REPORT BY: Rick Marshall, Deputy Director of Public Works - Engr - (707) 259-8381

SUBJECT: Resolution of intent to abandon excess right-of-way on White Lane

RECOMMENDATION

Director of Public Works requests adoption of a resolution of intent to conduct a public hearing on March 2, 2010, regarding the abandonment of excess right-of-way on White Lane, south of Saint Helena.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This resolution will signify the County's intent to hold a public hearing on March 2, 2010, to consider the abandonment of excess right-of-way on White Lane, south of Saint Helena.

FISCAL IMPACT

Is there a Fiscal Impact? No

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: **Categorical Exemption Class 1**: It has been determined that this type of project does not have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act. [See Class 1 ("Existing Facilities") which may be found in the guidelines for the implementation of the California Environmental Quality Act at 14 CCR §15301; see also Napa County's Local Procedures for Implementing the California Environmental Quality Act, Appendix B.]

BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION

Staff received a request from property owners along White Lane seeking abandonment of a portion of the road right-of-way which has excess width. White Lane is a non-county-maintained road, extending easterly from State Highway 29 south of Saint Helena, which is improved the full width of the 16.5-foot right-of-way which extends from Highway 29 to its eastern terminus. In its central area, an additional 39.5 feet was dedicated which brings the total to 56 feet. The additional width was depicted on the Record of Survey of property of Jack Moorman, recorded March 27, 1964, and on the Record of Survey of property of Bart Taylor, recorded on July 20, 1964. These records of survey were prepared during a period in which this was an allowable mechanism to implement land divisions of the type known today as Parcel Maps. This right-of-way may or may not be considered "public;" its status is unclear since land divisions by record of survey did not include the type of statements we currently use wherein the subdivider declares whether roads are to be public or private. In the absence of such statements, we can only infer that public status is potentially applicable to the entire width in question.

The additional 39.5-foot width shown in the two records of survey was never dedicated along properties at either end of White Lane, and the neighborhood in the vicinity is entirely built out to the extent permitted by zoning. Thus, staff does not see a reasonable potential for obtaining additional right-of-way along White Lane, and the additional width in the central area may be considered "excess" right-of-way. No improvements have been constructed within the excess right-of-way area and it is not needed for present or prospective public use.

No change is proposed for the status of the 16.5-foot right-of-way which will remain along the entire length of White Lane. It is important to note the distinction between "public" right-of-way, as discussed above, and "county maintained" right-of-way, in which the County government takes an affirmative action to accept a given street or road into its maintenance system. White Lane is not eligible to be considered for adoption into the County-maintained road system, since the 16.5 feet at its beginning at the state highway is below the 40-foot minimum which is required by State law for public agency operation and maintenance.

The presence of the excess right-of-way presents an adverse situation for the property owners in the area, as it affects the determination of setbacks for uses of the property including wells and septic systems. Thus, they have submitted a petition for abandonment of this excess right-of-way. The petition is supported by the owners of all affected properties except one, which did not respond to contact attempts. All affected property owners will be notified of the public hearing which will be scheduled for action on this request.

The requested action will set a public hearing, as required by the Streets and Highways Code, for March 2, 2010.

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

A . Resolution of intent to abandon public road

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Helene Franchi