



Agenda Date: 11/7/2006  
Agenda Placement: 6F

## NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Board Agenda Letter

---

**TO:** Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** Shelli Brobst for Randy Snowden - Director  
Health & Human Services  
**REPORT BY:** Sharon Bull, Fiscal Analyst, Substance Abuse Services, 253-4718  
**SUBJECT:** Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Supplemental Funding

---

### **RECOMMENDATION**

Director of Health and Human Services requests approval of the following actions related to Fiscal Year 2006-2007 funding for the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000:

1. Approval to apply for supplemental funding from the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs in the amount of \$149,635 for Offender Treatment Programs (OTP); and
2. Adoption of a resolution verifying the availability of matching funds in the amount of \$16,626.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Approval of the requested actions will allow HHS to apply for additional Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 funding for Offender Treatment Programs (OTP). Assembly Bill 1808, passed last summer, provides supplemental funding for counties willing to invest their own resources into the program. HHS's Fiscal Year 2006-2007 budget includes \$153,783 in County funding for STOP. If approved, this application would provide \$149,635 in additional funding. The additional funding requires verification of \$16,626 as match. This amount is already included in the Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Board-approved budget.

### **FISCAL IMPACT**

|                             |  |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Is there a Fiscal Impact?   | Yes  |
| Is it currently budgeted?   | No   |
| What is the revenue source? | The additional revenue will be provided by the State from supplemental funding for the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA) program approved in Assembly Bill 1808 (passed as a trailer bill to the Fiscal Year 2006-2007 state budget). The \$16,626 in matching funds is included in the |

|                                   |   |
|-----------------------------------|---|
|                                   | approved Fiscal Year 2006-2007 Substance Abuse Services budget.   |
| Is it Mandatory or Discretionary? | Discretionary   |
| Discretionary Justification:      | The requested actions are discretionary in that there is no mandate to provide these additional services. The funding provided by this bill is in addition to the \$504,000 in state funding provided to Napa County in Fiscal Year 2006-2007 for SACPA and will enable the County to provide additional services in Offender Treatment Programs. |
| Is the general fund affected?     | No  |
| Future fiscal impact:             | Assembly Bill 1808 provides funds to improve Offender Treatment Programs for the period October 1, 2006 through June 30, 2008. There is no further fiscal impact attributable to the requested actions.   |
| Consequences if not approved:     | If this agreement is not approved, the County will not be eligible to receive \$149,635 in additional funding and will not be able to offer additional services in targeted treatment areas.  |
| Additional Information:           |   |

### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT**

ENVIRONMENTAL DETERMINATION: The proposed action is not a project as defined by 14 California Code of Regulations 15378 (State CEQA Guidelines) and therefore CEQA is not applicable.

### **BACKGROUND AND DISCUSSION**

Assembly Bill 1808, passed as a trailer bill to the State budget this summer, adds \$25 million to the \$120 million previously dedicated to fund the Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000 (SACPA), also known as "Proposition 36" or "1210 Penal Code," administered by California's counties. A University of California Los Angeles study of the Proposition 36 program over the last five years shows a state savings of \$2.50 for every \$1 spent on treatment.

Receipt of these additional funds, identified as Offender Treatment Program (OTP) funds, is dependent on several conditions outlined in the State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs' All County Lead Agencies Fiscal Year 2006-2009 letter issued Sept. 12, 2006:

1. The establishment and maintenance of a dedicated court calendar with regularly scheduled reviews of treatment progress for persons ordered to drug treatment.
2. The existence or establishment of a Drug Court and willingness to accept defendants who are likely to be committed to state prison.
3. Establishment and maintenance of protocols for the use of drug testing to monitor offenders' progress in treatment.
4. The establishment and maintenance of protocols for assessing offenders' treatment needs and the placement of offenders at the appropriate level of treatment.

Counties are eligible to receive up to 30% of their original SACPA allocation (\$149,635 for Napa County) if the conditions above are met and:

1. At least 95 percent of the previous year's allocation is projected to be spent or encumbered by the end of Fiscal Year 2006-2007.
2. The County is willing to invest County General Funds in the program, which the State will match at a ratio of 9:1 (an investment of \$16,626 for Napa County). To be counted as "match," County funds must be restricted to direct treatment and client services.
3. The County submits a plan for expenditure of OTP funds that describes new or expanded activities employed to achieve the State goal of "improving program outcomes or offender accountability."
4. OTP funds are not used to supplant previously existing programs or services.
5. OTP and local matching funds are tracked separately so the State can audit expenditures to program funding requirements.

Napa County's Fiscal Year 2006-2007 SACPA budget includes \$153,783 in County General Funds, of which \$16,626 can be used as match required to qualify for the additional funding. Napa has a Drug Court willing to accept persons with felony charges; drug testing protocols are already in place; assessment protocols are already established; and the Superior Court has agreed to dedicate calendar time when these funds are received. Napa County's dedication to and support of recovery programs historically should give the County an advantage in the competitive application process for these supplemental funds.

HHSA SACPA staff has identified the following target areas for improvement:

1. Treatment for primary Spanish language speakers.
2. Treatment program elements targeted to young adults 20 to 30 years of age (the largest group referred to SACPA but the most difficult population to retain in treatment).
3. Improved accountability by co-locating a new 0.5 FTE SACPA case manager at the Adult Recovery Program to free up treatment staff to focus on treatment programs.

The first two goals will be pursued through a Request for Proposals for a provider contract. The third goal will require an additional 0.5 FTE limited term staff person in the HHSA alcohol and drug treatment program. If granted the funds, staff will return to the Board in January to request the additional 0.5 FTE position.

## **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

A . Resolution

CEO Recommendation: Approve

Reviewed By: Lorenzo Zialcita