"G"

Water Feasibility Study

Robert Sinskey Vineyards Major Modification P19-00161 Planning Commission Hearing December 2, 2020

WATER FEASIBILITY STUDY

ROBERT SINSKEY VINEYARDS

6320 Silverado Trail Napa, California, 94558 APN 031-230-017



Project No. 2019156 November 5, 2019

TABLE OF CONTENTS

System Description	1
Water Demand	2
Proposed Water Uses	2
Winery Process Water Demand	2
Domestic Water Demand	3
Maximum Daily Demand (MDD)	4
Management	4
Financial	4

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

Enclosure A:	Overall Site Plan
Enclosure B:	Well Completion Reports
Enclosure C:	Wastewater Generation and Water Demand

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Robert Sinskey Vineyards is applying for a Use Permit (UP) Modification for the existing winery facility to increase employees and daily, by-appointment visitors. There are no proposed changes to the approved wine production of 143,000 gallons per year. Summit has prepared the following Water Feasibility Study, which evaluates the capacity of the existing water system to meet the proposed facility demands. The existing Public Water System (PWS ID CA-28-01042) serving the winery property can meet the facility demands and consolidation with another existing water system is not required as this is an existing public water system.

The existing winery parcel (APN: 031-230-017) consists of a winery/hospitality building, onsite vineyards, landscaping, and both a process wastewater (PW) and sanitary sewage (SS) treatment system (see Enclosure A for an overall site plan). Water sources for the property consist of three active groundwater wells. Well completion reports for these wells are included in Enclosure B. These three wells are rotated in use to supply the water demand of the winery (Table 1). Vineyard and landscape irrigation water demand is supplied using the treated effluent from the PW constructed treatment wetlands. All three wells are capable of being used to supplement the irrigation demand if required. Additionally, a fourth well located on an adjacent parcel also owned by Robert Sinskey Vineyards is capable of being used to meet water demand. This fourth well will be excluded from this analysis due to the three on-site wells being more than capable of meeting on-site water demand.

Source	Primary Use	Status	Annular Seal Depth (ft)	Capacity (gallons/minute)
Well 1	Domestic/Process/Irrigation	Active	Unknown	12
Well 2	Domestic/Process/Irrigation	Active	21	30-40
Well 3	Domestic/Process/Irrigation	Active	51	30
			TOTAL	72-82

Table 1: Well source information.

The existing water treatment system includes an ozonation system (used to precipitate iron and manganese), media filtration, four concrete storage tanks (totaling 32,500 gallons), and a UV disinfection system prior to distribution to the winery. Additionally, treated PW water from the constructed wetlands is stored in two on-site storage tanks (totaling 132,000 gallons) for fire flows and irrigation. Approximately 69,000 gallons of this storage is reserved for irrigation of the on-site vineyards and landscaping.

With the proposed Use Permit modifications, the facility has an estimated average water demand of 3,886 gallons/day (gpd) and a peak demand of 6,036 gpd to meet all process and domestic needs (see Enclosure C). The anticipated water demand for the facility is expected to be met with the three existing wells that supply the potable water needs for the facility. Assuming a conservative 8-hour operational day cumulatively, the onsite wells are required to supply at least 13 gallons/minute (gpm) to meet peak demand. There are no major concerns of meeting this peak demand requirement considering wells 2 and 3 can meet this demand by themselves.

The maximum daily demand (MDD) for this facility is estimated to be 13,581 gpd based on the calculated peak demand of 6,036 gpd and a maximum peaking factor of 2.25. This MDD translates to a 28-gpm supply requirement for the on-site wells, over an 8-hour demand period. This demand can be met by using well 2 at maximum capacity (40 gpm) or a combination of wells 1, 2, or 3.

WATER DEMAND

The proposed UP modifications include an increase to the number of employees and daily, by-appointment visitors. Wine production volume will remain the same. The water demand increase is expected to correlate to the estimated wastewater generation flows for sanitary sewerage. Additionally, Robert Sinskey Vineyards will have to amend their Public Water System permit to account for the change from a Transient Non-Community (TNC) Water System to a Non-Transient Non-Community (NTNC) Water System. This change is the result of increasing the number of employees from 15 to 42.

Proposed Water Uses

Domestic water use at the facility will be based on the following needs:

- Process needs for production capacity of 143,000 gallons of wine per year
- Maximum Employees On-site = 42 per day
- Maximum Tasting Visitors = 257 per day (132 public and 125 by-appointment, 75 of which may have wine pairings)
- Every-Other-Week Marketing Event = 50 attendees max, 28 events per year
- Monthly Marketing Event = 80 attendees max, 12 events per year
- Biannual Marketing Event = 150 attendees max, 2 events per year

Winery Process Water Demand

Water demand for wine production is expected to correlate to the PW generated at the facility. Based on typical flow data from wineries of similar size and characteristics, the projected PW generation for wine production is calculated and summarized in Table 2.

Tuble 2. Existing and projected which process watch demand.						
Parameter	Value	Units				
Existing Annual Production	143,000	gal wine / year				
PW Generation Rate ^a	5.0	gal PW / gal wine				
Annual PW Flow	715,000	gal PW				
Total Annual Winery Process Water Demand	715,000	gal water / year				
Average PW Flow/Process Water Demand (based on 365 days/year)	1,960	gal PW / day				
Peak PW Flow/Process Water Demand ^b	3,910	gal PW / day				
Annual Production Water Demand	2.2	acre-ft water/year				
Notes:						
a. Generation rate based on observations by Robert Sinskey Vineyards.						
b. The harvest month of September accounts for approximately 16.4% of the annual water demand in wineries of similar size						

Table 2: Existing and projected winery process water demand.

The expected annual water use for the existing 143,000 gallons of wine/year production capacity is 715,000 gallons/year (2.2 acre-ft/year), with an average demand of 1,960 gpd, and a peak demand of 3,910 gpd. Winery process water demand will be provided by the existing domestic wells serving the public water system.

Domestic Water Demand

Domestic water use at the facility is determined based on the total number of employees, daily visitors, and event guests. SS generation is expected to be equivalent to the water demand for domestic uses. Using Napa County standards, the proposed domestic water demand for the winery facility is estimated using the following scenarios:

Daily Tasting w/o Events							
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15	gpcd	=	630	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3	gpcd	=	546	gal/day
Total					=	1,626	gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ 5 days/week Event							
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15	gpcd	=	630	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3	gpcd	=	546	gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	50	х	6	gpcd	=	300	gal/day
Total					=	1,926	gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Every-Other-Week Event							
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15	gpcd	=	630	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3	gpcd	=	546	gal/day
Event Guests w/ Catered Dinners	50	х	10	gpcd	=	500	gal/day
Total					=	2,126	gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Monthly Marketing Event							
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15	gpcd	=	630	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	80	х	6	gpcd	=	480	gal/day
Total					=	2,106	gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Biannual Event							-
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15	gpcd	=	630	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3	gpcd	=	546	gal/day
Event Guests w/o Pairings	150	х	3	gpcd	=	450	gal/day
Total = 2.076 gal/day						gal/day	
ASSUMPTIONS							- · ·
1) From the conditions of approval of UPVMM #P11-00	441-VMM,	up to	75 of t	the tastin	g visitors	are allow	ed pairings
with their wine							

2) Food service is excluded for the biannual event. All other events may have food services as detailed in the conditions of approval for UPVMM #P11-00441-VMM

32,500 Gallons

=

Robert Sinskey Vineyards Water Feasibility Study November 5, 2019

The estimated average demand with increased employees and visitation is 1,626 gpd, and the estimated peak demand is 2,126 gpd. Domestic water demand will be provided by the existing domestic wells serving the public water system.

MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND (MDD)

Existing Storage Onsite

The MDD will occur during the facility's peak months (September – October) and is determined based on the peak projected water demand for process and domestic water as follows below:

Table 3: Estimated MDD for Proposed UP Modification.								
Demand	Flow (gpd)	-8	hr Demand(gpm)					
Process Water	3,910		8.1					
Domestic Water	2,126		4.4					
TOTAL	6,036		12.6					
Estimated MDD 6,036 gpd X 2.25		=	13,581 Gallons					

The existing public water system's 32,500 gallons of treated water storage is still capable of meeting the new MDD associated with the proposed increase in use.

MANAGEMENT

Sinskey Vineyards, Inc., owns and operates Robert Sinskey Vineyards and is responsible for all finances, operations, compliance requirements, and establishment of policies. The facility's domestic water system will be classified as non-transient, non-community and is managed by employees of the winery. Major repairs, replacements and other engineering and professional services are contracted out.

FINANCIAL

Sinskey Vineyards, Inc., is not currently encumbered by any judgements, liens, or other financial liability that would prevent the operation of the Robert Sinskey Vineyards water system. The operating and maintenance costs of the system are covered by the income from retail wine sales. There will be no expected primary financial impacts since the current water system has sufficient supply capacity to meet the increase in water demand.

ENCLOSURE A OVERALL SITE PLAN



A0.1

I.D.



ENCLOSURE B WELL COMPLETION REPORTS



Providing quality laboratory analysis since 1967

4

BACTERIOLOGICAL EXAMINATION OF WATER

REPORTED TO: Robert Sinskey Winery 6320 Silverado Trail Napa, CA 94558

DATE REPORTED: July 10, 2019 COLLECTED BY : AM/B&R Labs

Log Number	Date Collected	Date Set	Date Completed	Sample Source	Total Coliform	E coli
719- 14571	07/03/19	07/04/19	07/05/19	Tasting Room kitchen sink UV treated	Absent	Absent
				7		
		Č.,				

Std. Mthds. 9223B Colilert

COPY SENT TO: NCHD

Called _____ Date _____

Approved By BRELJE & RACE LABORATORIES, INC.

TRIPLICATE Owner's Copy

WE11#2

STATE OF CALIFORNIA THE RESOURCES AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WATER WELL DRILLERS REPORT

Do not fill in

No. 271120

Local Permit No or Date & 12.2.198. Other Well No. (1) OWNER: Name_Sinskey_Vineyards Address 6520.511verand Trail Image: Sinskey Vineyards (2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): Overs' Well Number	Notice of Intent No.	State Well No.
(1) OWNER: Name Sinskey Vineyards Addres 6320 Silverado Trail (12) WELL LOC: Total depth 423_ft Completed depth 420.ft from ft to ft Formation (Describe by color, character, size or material) (2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (3) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (4) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (5) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): (3) TYPE OF WORK: New Well 2D Depending (4) PROPOSED USE (5) TYPE OF WORK: New Well 2D Depending Reconstruction (4) PROPOSED USE (4) PROPOSED USE (5) EQUIPMENT (6) EQUIPMENT Material (6) EQUIPMENT Material (7) CASING NATALEE (8) Proposition (9) EQUIPMENT Material (9) WELL LOCATION SECTOR (9) EQUIPMENT Material (10) Proposition (11) ON TABLE (12) CASING NATALEE (12) ON TABLE (13) TYPE OF WORK:	Local Permit No. or Date <u>8-12-1988</u>	Other Well No.
City Napa, Ca,	(1) OWNER: Name <u>Sinskey Vineyards</u> Address <u>6320 Silverado</u> Trail	(12) WELL LOG: Total depth 42.3 ft. Completed depth 42.0 ft.
(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions): 0 - 25 Yellow Clay&Rock County Mapa Owner's Well Number - - Well addres if different from addition of the sec 25 - 45 Volcanic Ash-Sandy Township 7. Marth Range 4 West Section 29 - - Distance from cities, reads, rulroads fences, etc - - - - A.P. # 31-230-02 61 - 80 - - A.P. # 31-230-02 - - - - - A.P. # 31-230-02 - - - - - - A.P. # 31-230-02 - - - - - - - Beconditioning -<	City Napa, Ca. ZIP 94558	item it. rormation (Describe by color, character, size or material)
Well address if different from above 25 45 Volcanic Ash-Sandy Township Z. North Range 4. West Section 2.9 - Distance from dites, roads, railroads, fraces, etc. 45 - 61 - Nolcanic Ash-Volcanic Roc A. P. # 31-230-02 61 - 80 Volcanic Ash, Fractured A. P. # 31-230-02 61 - 80 - 90 Volcanic Ash, Fractured Beconstruction Reconstruction Beconstruction - 90 - 100 Crey Volcanic Cock Beconstruction Beconstruction Describe - - 100 - 100 Stards Betraction Beconstruction Describe - - - - Well Location & Skretch Beconditioning - - - - - Well Location & Skretch Beconditioning - - - - - - Betraction Beconditioning - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions):</td> <td>0 - 25 Yellow Clay&Rock</td>	(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions):	0 - 25 Yellow Clay&Rock
Idvariant Idva	Well address if different from above	_25 - 45 Volcanic Ash-Sandy
Datable from cities, roads, failed as, heres, etc. 45 - 0 Volcanic Ash-Volcanic Koc A.P. # 31-230-02 61 - 80 Volcanic Ash, Fractured A.P. # 31-230-02 61 - 90 Volcanic Ash, Fractured Beconstruction - - - - Reconstruction - - - - Borksonial Well Deepening - - Domestic - - - - Industrial - - - - WELL LOCATION SKETCH Performent - - - Well Noll - - - - Well Cold - - - - Well Roaver - - - - Well Roaver - - - - Well Roaver - - -<	Distance from sitility of the last section 29	
A.P. # 31-230-02 61 - 80 Volcanic Ash, Fractured A.P. # 31-230-02 (3) TYPE OF WORK. New Well & Deepening 80 - 90 Volcanic Ash, Fractured Reconditioning - 000 Gray Volcanic Rock Reconditioning - 000 Gray Volcanic Ash Horizontal Well - 000 Gray Volcanic Ash Destruction 100 - 105 Hard Volcanic Ash Destruction - 000 Gray Volcanic Ash Horizontal Well - 000 Gray Volcanic Ash Destruction 120 Gray Volcanic Ash Horizontal Well - 000 Gray Volcanic Ash Destruction - 000 Volcanic Ash Municipal - 000 Volcanic Ash WELL LOCATION SKETCH Pregibe WELL LOCATION SKETCH - 000 Volcanic Ash Well & LOCATION SKETCH - 000 Volcanic Ash Well & DOR SETCH - 000 Volcanic Ash Well & DOR SETCH - 000 Volcanic Ash Well & DOR SETCH - 000 Volcanic Ash Well & BOR Volcanic Ash - 000 Volcanic Ash Other Backed from 211 - 000 Volcanic Ash Test Well - 000 Volcanic Ash Beaverse - 000 Volcanic Ash Trape of plain volt and thead to the thea	Distance from cines, roads, railroads, fences, etc.	45 - bi Volcanic Ash-Volcanic Rock
(i) TYPE OF WORK: New Well & Deepening Reconstruction Reconstruction Botzizontal Well Destruction materials and pro- cedures in them 129 100 - 105 Harel Volcanic Rock Reconstruction Botzizontal Well Destruction materials and pro- cedures in them 129 100 - 105 Harel Volcanic Ash 50% 100 - 100 Crey Volcanic Ash 50% 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 105 Harel Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 105 Harel Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Crey Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Crey Volcanic Ash 100 - 100 Crey Volcanic Ash 100 - 105 Harel Volcanic Ash 100 - 105 -	A.P. # 31-230-02	61 - 80 Volcanic Ash, Fractured
New Well & Depending 9.0	(3) TYPE OF WORK:	80 - 90 Volcanic Ash, Fractured
Reconditioning IDD IDD IDD IDD Horizontal Well Destruction II (Describe) IDD IDD IDD IDD Destruction materials and proceed of them 120 Sandistone 40% IDD IDD IDD (4) PROPOSED USE - Sandistone 40% Domestic Industrial Idd Idd Idd Industrial Item 120 Sandistone 40% WELL LOCATION SKETCH Biocker Sandistone 60% WELL LOCATION SKETCH (G GRAVEL & ACK: 2002	New Well 🕱 Deepening 🗆 Reconstruction	90 - 100 Grey Volcanic Bock
Horizontal Well 100 - POS Hard Volcanics Destruction Describe destruction materials and procedures in tem 12) Sandistone 40% (4) PROPOSED USE Sandistone 40% Domestic Irrigation Industrial 1400 Volcanic Ash Horizontic 1400 Volcanic Ash WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe (5) EQUIPMENT: (a) CRAVEL BACK: Rotary S Revene Cable Air Other Backet Pagestibe 200/- 280 Volcanic Ash Other Backet Pagestibe 200/- 280 Volcanic Ash Other Backet Pagestibe	Reconditioning	
destruction materials and pro- cedures in item 12) 120 Grey Volcanic Ash 50% (4) PROPOSED USE Domestic 20 - 140 Volcanic Inrigation Industrial 140 - 180 Volcanic WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe Other Sandstone 60% Other Describe Reverse Cable Other Bucket Patter Sandstone 60% Other Bucket Patter Sandstone 50% Cable Air Steel Plante Concerce Patter Size of them (6) PERPORTIONS - (7) CASING INSTALLED (8) PERPORTIONS: Steel Plante Concerce Type of performation or size of schem - - Type of performation or size of schem - Type of performation or size of schem - (9) WELL SEAL: No I If yes, to depth 21 Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No I If yes, to depth -	Horizontal Well	100 - 105 Hard Volcanics
(4) PROPOSED USE - Sandstone 408 Domestic Irrigation - - Irrigation Industrial - - Industrial - - - WELL LOCATION SKETCH Object - - WELL LOCATION SKETCH Object - - (5) EQUIPMENT: (a) GRAVEL FACK - - Cable Air - - - Cable Air - - - Other Buckes - - - Cable Air - - - Cable Air - - - Cable Buckes - - - Steel Plastic Concrete - - (f) CASINC INSTALLED (g) PERPORATIONS - - - Steel Plastic Concrete Type of perforation or size of acceed - - (f) CASINC INSTALLED (g) WELL SEAL: - - - - (g) WELL SEAL:	destruction materials and pro- cedures in Item 12)	105 120 Grey Volcanic Ash 60%
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	(4) PROPOSED USE:	Sandstone 4018
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Domestic Invigetion	120 - 140 Volcanic Ash
Test Well If the image is a constraint of the image is a	Industrial	A L A SV
Municipal Other Municipal Other WELL LOCATION SKETCH Describe (5) EQUIPMENT: Rotary I Reverse Cable Air I Reverse Other Bucket Plastic I concrete From To Dia. Gage or ft ft gn. Wall (6) GRAVEL PACK: No Size Street Plastic I concrete (7) CASING INSTALLED: Steel Plastic I concrete ft ft gn. Wall (6) PERPORATIONS: Import Performation or size of acceen I to 420 (to - Import Partice I concrete ft ft gn. Wall - From To Dia. Gage or ft ft gn. Wall (7) CASING INSTALLED: Import Performation or size of acceen I to 420 (to - Import Partice I concrete ft ft gn. Wall (8) PERPORATIONS: Import Performation or size of acceen I to 420 (to - Import Partice I concrete ft gn. Wall - Year Atta sealed against pollution? Yes (to depth 21 ft Import Performation of size of acceen I to 420 (to wartate sealed against pollution? - Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes (to depth 21 ft Import Performation of size of acceen I to a sealing <u>Concrete I</u> to be the sealing to 19 & Completed & -17 19 & B Completed & -17 19 &	Test Well	1401-180 Volcanic Ash
WELL LOCATION SKETCH WELL LOCATION SKETCH WELL LOCATION SKETCH (5) EQUIPMENT: Reverse □ Cable □ Air ⊠ Cable □ Air ⊠ Cable □ Air ⊠ OUT Size 3 Sandstone 60% Cable □ Air ⊠ OUT Size 3 Size 3 Sandstone 60% Cable □ Air ⊠ Cable □ Air ☑ Cable □	Municipal	180 - 200 Volcanic Ash 40%
(5) EQUIPMENT: Rotary Reverse \Box Cable \Box Air \Box Other \Box Bucket \Box Plantete of bore \Box Reverse \Box Plantete \Box Plantetee \Box	WELL LOCATION SKETCH	Sandstone 60%
Rotary II Reverse Its II No Size 3 Spece Cable Air II Planieter of bore Size 3 Spece A00 Grey Volcanic, Shale Other Bucket Facted from 21 420 - - (7) CASINC INSTALLED: (8) PERFORATIONS: - - Steel Plastic Concrete Type of performation or size of screen - From To Dia. Gage or ft The minimum to the size - +1 423 F-480 75 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes II No If yes, to depth 21 ft Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes II No If yes, to depth 21 ft - Wethod of sealing Concrete Work started 8-10 19 88 Completed 8-17	(5) EQUIPMENT: (6) GRAVEL PACK	200 - 280 Volcanic Ash
Cable Air Dimetrix of bore 3.4 400 Crey Volcanic, Shale Other Bucket Racked from 21 0.420 - (7) CASING INSTALLED: (8) PERFORATIONS: - - Steel Plastic Concrete Type of partonation or size of science - From To Dia. Cage or To - ft ft ft Vall ft - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/8 - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No<	Rotary X Reverse Reverse No Size 3/8002	
Other Bucket Racked from A 20 A - (7) CASING INSTALLED. (8) PERFORATIONS: - - Steel Plastic Concrete Type of perforation or size of screen - From To Dia. Gage or From To Slot - +1 42.3 5 F-480 75 11/5 1/8 - +1 42.3 5 F-480 75 11/5 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft - Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft - Work started 8-10 19 8.8 Completed 8-17 19 8.8 (10) WATER LEVELS: WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT: The cell of the cel	Cable Air Diameter of bore	280) ² 400 Grey Volcanic, Shale
(7) CASING INSTALLED: (8) PERFORATIONS: - Steel Plastic I Concrete Type of perforation or size of screen - From To Dia. Gage or Mail To ft ft ft Vall To Slöt - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/8 - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft. - Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes No If yes, to depth 1ft. - Work started 8-10 19 88 Completed 8-17 19 88 (10) WATER LEVELS: - WetLL DRILLER'S STATEMENT: This med uncertain the started with the starte	Other Bucket Racked from 1 to 420 (A	
Steel Plastic II Concrete II Type of performation or size of acceen - From ft To Dia. Gage or Wall Toom ft Stot - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/75 1/8 - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/75 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes II No II fyes, to depth 21 ft - Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes II No II fyes, to depth 21 ft - Method of sealing Concrete Work started 8-10 19 8.8 Completed 8-17 19 8.8 (10) WATER LEVELS: WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT: The net line is in the net is	(7) CASING INSTALLED: (8) PERFORATIONS:	<u>ي</u>
From To Dia. Gage or Wall Rom To Slot size - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/5 1/8 - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/5 1/8 - +1 423 5 F-480 75 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: 335 419 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft. Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes No Interval ft. - Wethod of sealing Concrete Work started 8-10 19 88 Completed 8-17 19 88 (10) WATER LEVELS: 160 ft. The well were defined of the sealing 19 88 Completed 8-17 19 88 Depth of first water, if known 160 ft. The well were defined of the sealed wave black 19 10 10 10 10 <t< td=""><td>Steel Plastic Concrete Type of perforation or size of screen</td><td></td></t<>	Steel Plastic Concrete Type of perforation or size of screen	
+1 423 5 F=480 75 1/8 - +1 423 5 F=480 75 1/8 - 195 1295 1/8 - - - (9) WELL SEAL: - - - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft. Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes No If yes, to depth 21 ft. - Wethod of sealing	From To Dia. Gage or From To Slot	
195 1/8 - (9) WELL SEAL: 335 1/8 - Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes X No I If yes, to depth 21 ft - Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes No X Interval ft - Wethod of sealing	+1 423 5 F-480 75 (175) 1/8	_
(9) WELL SEAL:	195 295 1/8	
(9) WELL SEAL: Was surface sanitary seal provided? Yes INO □ If yes, to depth ft. Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes □ No Interval ft. Method of sealing CONCRETE Work started 19_88_ Completed 1988_ (10) WATER LEVELS: Depth of first water, if known 160 ft.	335 419 1/8	
Were strate sample against pollution? Yes No If yes, to depthft Method of sealing	(9) WELL SEAL:	
Method of sealing	Were strata sealed against pollution? Yes Vio	
(10) WATER LEVELS: Depth of first water, if known160ft. This water, if known160ft.	Method of sealing COncrete	
Depth of first water, if known160ft.	(10) WATER LEVELS:	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT
	Depth of first water, if knownft. ,	
Standing level after well completion <u>120</u> (11) WELL TESTS:	Standing level after well completion <u>120</u> ft. [1]	this well was artiled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief
Was well test made? Yes X No If yes, by whom? Driller Signed (Well Driller)	Was well test made? Yes X No I If yes, by whom? Briller	Well Driller)
Depth to water at start of test 120 ft. At end of test 380 ft. NAMEHuckfeldt Well Drilling (Person firm or composition) (TypePor printed)	Depth to water at start of test 120 ft. At end of test 380 ft	(Person firm, or corporation) (Uneffor printed)
Discharge 75 gal/min after 4 hours Water temperature Address 2110 Penny Lane	Discharge 7.5 gal/min after 4 hours Water temperature 4	Address 2110 Penny Lane
Chemical analysis made? Yes No If yes, by whom? City <u>Napa</u> Ca ZIP <u>94559</u>	Chemical analysis made? Yes No 🙀 If yes, by whom? (City Napa Ca. ZIP 94559
The second result is report 10 - 5 - 1988	IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED USE NO	License No. <u>433740</u> Date of this report <u>10-5-1988</u>

707-255-7044	JOE IMBO WELL TESTING • FLOW PUR STATE LICENSE NO. C-6	DDEN RITY • MINERAL 1-150427	Napa, Califorr 945
	EST. 1946 1032 Pueblo Av	renue	TEST DATE 2/19/91
SINSKE	WINERY		INVOICE NO.
6320 51	LVERADO TR	AIL	1/89
	NAPA, C	<u>h</u>	
REALTOR	SALES	PERSON	
PARCEL NO. 21 220	ESCRC	W NO.	
BUYER			
SELLER			
WOLL DIA-	5" PUC. DEPTH-	423' Leve	4-320'
TIME	A 7 3 4 5		
IME	<u> </u>	Leve	L
10:45	40	220-5	TATIC Level
10:40	28	2.50	
11:45		0.60	
12:15	58	268	
12:45	28 1	280	
1:15	38	. 180'	
1:45	38	280'	
2:15	38	280.5	TARALIZEN
	\cap		Level
	ties ha	landan	
	<u>A. P. L </u>	Section of the	
ER THE MECHANICS LIEN LAW ICALIEODALE COLL OF	A FINA	NCE CHARGE OF 112% PER MONTH	J TV
BCONTRACTOR LABORER SUPPLIER OR OTHER PERSON WHO HI RK OR SUPPLIES HAS A RIGHT TO ENFORCE A CLAIM AGAIN	LPS TO IMPROVE YOUR PROPERTY BUT IS NOT PAID FOR MENC	ER YEAR) WILL BE CHARGED COM-	1200

ORIGINAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA DWR USE ONLY --- DO NOT FILL IN File with DWR WELL COMPLETION REPORT Refer to Instruction Pamphlet STATE WELL NO./STATION NO. Page ____ of ____ No. 697960 Owner's Well No. LATITUDE LONGITUDE 193 , Ended 12 Date Work Began of S.H. Local Permit Agency _Count Vapa 33 403 193 Permit Date 12 Permit No. _ WELL OWNER **GEOLOGIC LOG** -Name Sinsky Winery ORIENTATION (∠) VERTICAL _ HORIZONTAL ___ ___ ANGLE __ (SPECIFY) Mailing Address 16.320 DEPTH TO FIRST WATER _____ (Ft.) BELOW SURFACE Inail DEPTH FROM SURFACE Yountuille 299555 DESCRIPTION Ft. Describe material, grain size, color, etc. to Ft WELL LOCATION 4 0 100 Soll Address Same 26 4 1201 Ash Tax City . Loose 88 26 Brown Val County _ Page 230 88 109 Can APN Book 31 Parcel_ Roc Hard Township _____ Range ___ 109 123 _ Section _ As 130 G Latitude ______ 127 FP. NORTH Longitude _ WEST DEG. MIN SEC Fracted 130 200 Hard - LOCATION SKETCH - ACTIVITY () - NORTH 2 722 00 NEW WELL 280 Shale XeWell 2 2 2 20 MODIFICATION/REPAIR Vountuille Hard Rock 280 282 ___ Deepen Shale 28-2 290 100 ___ Other (Specify) Mix 290: 475 5 DESTROY (Describe Procedures and Materials Under "GEOLOGIC LOG", PLANNED USE(S) EAST WATER SUPPLY ____ Domestic Public Irrigation Industrial Napa "TEST WELL" CATHODIC PROTEC TION OTHER (Specify) - SOUTH -Illustrate or Describe Distance of Well from Landmarks such as Roads, Buildings, Fences, Rivers, etc. PLEASE BE ACCURATE & COMPLETE. DRILLING Air METHOD FLUID . - WATER LEVEL & YIELD OF COMPLETED WELL DEPTH OF STATIC 119' _ (Ft.) & DATE MEASURED _________ WATER LEVEL __ ESTIMATED YIELD . GPM) & TEST TYPE . TOTAL DEPTH OF BORING ______ (Feet) TEST LENGTH _____ (Hrs.) TOTAL DRAWDOWN ______ (Ft.) TOTAL DEPTH OF COMPLETED WELL _2 30' (Feet) * May not be representative of a well's long-term yield. CASING(S) ANNULAR MATERIAL DEPTH DEPTH BORE FROM SURFACE FROM SURFACE TYPE (1) TYPE HOLE INTERNAL SLOT SIZE GAUGE DIA. SCREEN-CON-DUCTOR MATERIAL / CE. REN. PIPE BLANK OR WALL DIAMETER IF ANY FILTER PACK (Inches) GRADE MENT TONITE FILL Et. Et to (Inches) (inches) Ft. (TYPE/SIZE) Ft. to (ビ) (∠) (⊻) 9 " 60 PUC 480 5 200 0 51 C 5 " 8" 3/8 Pc ï. 11 11 60 100 200 475 51 11 11 11 230 5 100 200 030 ATTACHMENTS (2) -**CERTIFICATION STATEMENT -**I, the undersigned, certify that this report is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. Geologic Log Well Construction Diagram NAME Geophysical Log(s) Soil/Water Chemical Analyses ADORES __ Other Signed ATTACH ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, IF IT EXISTS. INTATIVE DWR 188 REV. 7-90 IF ADDITIONAL SPACE IS NEEDED, USE NEXT CONSECUTIVELY NUMBERED FORM

DATE 7/2/93 FEE 6/19.00 RECEIPT NO. 3-40 BY	A.P.# 31-230-17 NAPA COUNTY DEPT. OF ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT APPLICATION & PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A WATER WELL
NAME Sinskey (Owner	Wincry ADDRESS 6320 Silverado Trail (Job Location)
Well I	ADDRESS
TYPE OF New Class WORK New Class Well Recon Well Destr	I PERMIT Test Hole Date Called In II PERMIT U.S.G.S. Map Received struction Well Deepening Horizontal Well uction High Hazard Low Hazard Hand Dug
PROPOSED DOMESTIC USE TEST WELL	IRRIGATION INDUSTRIAL MUNICIPAL HOT WATER (D.O.G. Clearance) OTHER
Sewage Disposal Sys Distance from well Septic System Locat Plot plan of well 1	tem (existing or proposed) Public Individual Private to any part of nearest sewage disposal system >/00 (200+) feet.
I certify that I shall not emp Compensation 1a	<pre>in the performance of the work for which this permit is issued, loy any person in any manner so as to become subject to the Worker's ws in California. ************************************</pre>
1) Call at least 24 2) Prior to receivin Resources "Water Old Wells to be Dest Other Remarks: No	hours in advance to schedule an inspection. g a Final Clearance on the well, a copy of the Department of Water Well Drillers Report" (DWR-188) must be returned to our Department.
	man se is within 200 no flood plain.
Can /	2/5 /93
0120120 ********************************	OF Applicant Date Date FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
	Date By Remarks
City Clearance	
re-Inspection	
lass II Approval	
Permit Teened	
Const. Insp.	
Vell Log Rec.	
inal Insp.	
/hite-Office Yellow CHM Form Letter#6 / 1	-Owner Pink-Contractor 2-14-88

1

ENCLOSURE C WASTEWATER GENERATION AND WATER DEMAND

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.	Proposed	ROBERT SINSKEY VINEYAR Water Availability Analys Process Wastewater Flows	DS iis 5 (No Change)	PROJEC	T NO. BY: CHK:	2019156 JM GG
PROCESS WASTEWATER						
Annual Volume						
Annual Production (projected)					=	60,000 cases wine/year
Generation Rate (assumed) ^a					=	2.4 gal wine/case of wine
Annual Production		60,000 cases wine/year	x	2.4 gal wine/case of wine	=	143,000 gal wine/year
Generation Rate (assumed) ^b					=	165 gal wine/ton grapes
Tons Crushed		143,000 gal wine/year	÷	165 gal wine/ton grapes	=	867 tons grapes/year
Process Wastewater (PW) Generation Ra	te ^c (assumed))			=	5.00 gal PW/gal wine
Annual PW Flow		143,000 gal wine/year	x	5.00 gal PW/gal wine	=	<u>715,000 gal PW/year</u>

Average Day Flow

 Average, Day Peak Harvest Month Flow
 1
 16.4% of the PW flows are accounted for during September
 2
 30 days in September

Peak Flow	715,000 gal PW/year	x	16.4%	=	<u>3,909</u> gal PW/day
	3	0 days			
				=	<u>3,910</u> gal PW/day

a. 2.4 gallons of wine per case of wine

b. 165 Gal wine per ton of grapes is used as a wine industry standard

c. 6.0 gal of PW per gallon wine produced over the course of 1 year is based on the average of data from approximately 16 wineries

d. Peak week tonnage was based on input from winery (for existing production)

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.	ROBERT SINSKEY VINEYARDS	PROJECT NO.	2019156
	Water Availability Analysis	BY:	JM
	Proposed Sanitary Sewage Flows	СНК:	GG

SANITARY SEWAGE

Daily Tasting w/o Events					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Total				=	1,626 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ 5 days/week Event					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	50	х	6 gpcd	=	300 gal/day
Total				=	1,926 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Every-Other-Week Ev	ent				
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Catered Dinners	50	х	10 gpcd	=	500 gal/day
Total				=	2,126 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Monthly Marketing E	vent				
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	80	х	6 gpcd	=	480 gal/day
Total				=	2,106 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Biannual Event					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	х	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	х	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/o Pairings	150	х	3 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Total				=	2,076 gal/day
ASSUMPTIONS					

1) Peak tasting visitation (500) will not occur on days with events

1) From the conditions of approval of UPVMM #P11-00441-VMM, up to 75 of the tasting visitors are allowed pairings with their wine

2) Food service is excluded for the biannual event. All other events may have food services as detailed in the conditions of approval for UPVMM #P11-00441-VMM

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.	ROBERT SINSKEY VINEYARDS	PROJECT NO.	2019156
Consulting Civil Engineers	Water Availability Analysis	BY:	JM
	Proposed Water Demand	СНК:	GG

DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND

Daily Tasting w/o Events					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	x	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	x	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Total				=	1,626 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ 5/day/week Event					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	x	15 gncd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	x	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	x	3 gncd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	50	x	6 gpcd	=	300 gal/day
Total			0,000	=	1,926 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Every-Other-Week Event			45 1		620 1/1
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	x	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	x	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
lasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	x	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Catered Dinners	50	х	10 gpcd	=	500 gal/day
Total				=	2,126 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Monthly Marketing Event					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	x	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	x	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/ Pairings	80	x	6 gpcd	=	480 gal/day
Total				=	2,106 gal/day
Daily Tasting w/ Biannual Event					
Employee (maximum on-site)	42	х	15 gpcd	=	630 gal/dav
Tasting Visitors w/ Pairings	75	x	6 gpcd	=	450 gal/dav
Tasting Visitors w/o Pairings	182	x	3 gpcd	=	546 gal/day
Event Guests w/o Pairings	150	x	3 gpcd	=	450 gal/day
Total			- 01	=	2.076 gal/day

PROCESS WATER DEMAND

Average Day Flow	=	1,960 gal/day
Average, Day Peak Harvest Month Flow	=	3,910 gal/day

TOTAL WATER DEMAND

TOTAL WATER DEMAND					
	Average		Peak		
	gal/day	gal/min ³	gal/day	gal/min ³	
Domestic Water	1,926	4.0	2,126	4.4	
Process Water	1,960	4.1	3,910	8.1	
Total	3,886	8.1	6,036	12.6	
Peaking Factor	=	2.25			
MDD (based on peak demand)	=	13,581	gal/day		
3) Over 8 hours per day	=	13	gpm	(Peak)	
		28	gpm	(MDD)	

Contact: Gina Giacone gina@summit-sr.com (707) 636-9162



SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. 463 Aviation Blvd., Suite 200 Santa Rosa, CA 95403 707 527-0775 sfo@summit-sr.com