Planning Commission Mtg. AUGUST 5, 2020 Agenda Item # 8A

From: Antonia Allegra <antonia.allegra@gmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 6:04 PM

To: PC < PC@countyofnapa.org>

Cc: Hawkes, Trevor < trevor.hawkes@countyofnapa.org>

Subject: Aug. 5 Public Scoping Meeting, 9 a.m. Re: #8A - Inn at the Abbey

[External Email - Use Caution]

8-4-2020

TO: Trevor Hawkes and members of the Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services

Based on the County of Napa basics request re the <u>Aug. 5, 9 am Public Scoping Meeting, #8A</u>, I send you a comment on behalf of the 12 families that reside on Byrd Hill Road, on the western hill opposite Freemark Abbey/the proposed Inn at the Abbey.

Some of us will attend the meeting tomorrow morning, mostly to listen and learn. However, what we plan to do is to send a letter to our contact, Trevor Hawkes, with our thoughts and comments. The letter will arrive well before August 24, the cut-off date for such submission.

Thank you for including us in this public scoping meeting as well as any future meetings regarding planning, building and environmental services regarding the Inn at the Abbey.

Best, Antonia Allegra antonia.allegra@gmail.com 707-244-5029 **From:** gecalo@comcast.net < gecalo@comcast.net>

Sent: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 6:21 PM

To: Hawkes, Trevor < trevor.hawkes@countyofnapa.org>

Subject: FW: P18-00038 Inn at the Abbey / EIR SCOPING COMMENT

[External Email - Use Caution]

Dear Trevor,

One more thing on this application:

The standard for employees for high end hotels and resorts is 2 to 2-1/2 per key (room). This is the standard the hotels in Calistoga have adhered to and the Inn at the Abbey not of lesser quality should also adhere to. That number for the Inn ought to be in the vicinity of 160.

Recently, the hotel at Farmstead in St. Helena also submitted the lesser standard number of employees which has been challenged in the record. This new approach is designed to downplay their impact, the most important being the employee housing these hotels should provide. In the past, the in lieu payment per housing unit has been completely unrealistic given the high quality specifications imposed by the State when grants are sought to finance them which is always the case.

At the very minimum, if such housing is not provided ideally on site (as was the case with Solage which donated the property), a site in close proximity must be identified. Thank you,

George