

## **Public Comments**

Mr. David Morrison Napa County Planning, Building & Environmental Services Department 1195 Third Street, Suite 210 Napa, CA 94559

RE:

**KENEFICK WINERY – USE PERMIT #P16-00021** 

New 20,000-gallon per year winery

Dear Mr. Morrison,

This letter is to acknowledge our support of the above referenced project as described in the Public Notice dated January 16, 2019.

We reside at 30 Rosedale Road in Calistoga. We are neighbors of Dr. Kenefick (since 2004) and our properties shares a common border. Dr. Kenefick has been a responsible and good neighbor. We are winegrowers ourselves (albeit very small) and we appreciate the care and attention to detail that Dr. Kenefick and his team give to his property and our neighborhood.

The addition of a new winery that showcases and shares this special place we are fortunate to call home has our full support.

Sincerely

Tom Poggi

**Poggi Wines** 

30 Rosedale Road Calistoga, CA 94515

707-942-1310

cc:

Emily Hedge
Napa County Planning, Building & Environmental Services Department
1195 Third Street, Suite 201
Napa, CA 94559
emily.hedge@countyofnapa.org

From: <u>Allen, Garrett@Wildlife</u>

To: <u>Hedge, Emily</u>

Subject: CDFW"s Informal Comments on the Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Kenefick Winery (SCH# 2019012033)

**Date:** Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:02:52 PM

Dear Ms. Hedge,

Thank you for giving CDFW the opportunity to review the Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) for the Kenefick Winery (Project). CDFW is submitting the following <u>informal</u> comments to be incorporated into the MND:

First, CDFW recommends that nesting bird surveys be performed by a qualified biologist no more than 5 days prior to construction activities (instead of 14 days prior) because some bird species could begin nest building between the time of the survey and the start of construction activities. CDFW also recommends the following language change to Mitigation Measure BIO-1:

In the event that nesting birds are found, the Permittee shall identify appropriate avoidance methods and exclusion buffers, attaining minimum distances surrounding each identified nest site of at least 50-feet for small songbirds, and a minimum 300-foot radius around active nests for raptors unless otherwise determined by the qualified biologist in consultation with the County Conservation Division and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or CDFW prior to the initiation of the project.

Second, CDFW recommends adding that a qualified bat expert will supervise tree removal of trees containing suitable bat roosting habitat on the first day of the two-day phased tree removal method outlined in Mitigation Measure BIO-3.

Lastly, mitigating for lost oak trees at a 2:1 ratio is insufficient, especially for the removal of old growth oak trees (native oak trees that are greater than 15 inches in diameter). Mitigation Measure BIO-4 should be revised to reflect the following oak tree mitigation ratios:

- 4:1 replacement for impacted trees 5- to 10- inches in diameter
- 5:1 replacement for impacted trees greater than 10- to 15-inches in diameter
- Trees greater than 15-inches in diameter are considered old growth oaks and shall be mitigated at a ratio of 15:1

CDFW agrees with the monitoring as proposed for Mitigation Measure BIO-4.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions about the comments above. Thank you,

Garrett Allen

Environmental Scientist (Covering: Napa County, Vallejo, Benecia) California Department of Fish and Wildlife – Bay Delta Region Habitat Conservation Program

2825 Cordelia Road, Suite 100 Fairfield, CA 94534