

Water Availability Analysis

Raymond-Ticen Ranch Winery, P15-00307-MOD Planning Commission Hearing, February 1, 2017

WATER AVAILABILITY ANALYSIS

Raymond Vineyards

Napa, California APN 030-270-012 & 030-270-013 & 030-050-31



CIVIL STRUCTURAL ELECTRICAL WATER | WASTEWATER

Project No. 2015074 September 15, 2015 Revised January 26, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROJECT SUMMARY	3
SITE DESCRIPTION	3
WATER DEMAND	4
EXISTING WATER DEMAND	
PROPOSED WATER DEMAND	
WINERY PROCESS WATER DEMAND	4
DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND	5
IRRIGATION WATER DEMAND	6
TOTAL WATER DEMAND	
WATER AVAILABILITY	
DROUGHT CONSERVATION	.0
CONCLUSION	.0

LIST OF ENCLOSURES

Enclosure A:	Overall Site Plan Ticen Parcel Landscape Site Plan
Enclosure B:	Existing Sanitary Sewer and Process Wastewater Generation Proposed Sanitary Sewer and Process Wastewater Generation Water Demand Comparison

Enclosure C: Well Log

PROJECT SUMMARY

Boisset Family Estates (BFE) is applying for Use Permit Modification for the Raymond Vineyards winery facility located at 849 Zinfandel Lane, near the town of St. Helena (APN 030-270-013). BFE intends to merge the Raymond Vineyards and Cellar parcel with the adjacent Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012). The Use Permit Modification involves the conversion of various existing buildings within the Ticen parcel to accommodate hospitality services (e.g. conversion of the existing residence to a private tasting venue with kitchen) as well as modifications within the Raymond Vineyards and Cellar parcel to provide additional parking spaces. In addition to the conversion of existing buildings, the proposed modification will also include an increase in employees. No change in wine production or visitation is requested. Summit has prepared the following Water Availability Analysis, which provides a comparison between the proposed water use and the estimated available water capacity on the property.

SITE DESCRIPTION

Raymond Vineyards is made up of two parcels. The parcel with the winery (APN 030-270-013) has a total of 60.2 acres with 37.7 acres of vineyard. The existing winery facility consists of offices, tasting and winery production buildings. The facility is located in an agricultural area with vineyards to the north, west and south and east. Zinfandel Lane runs parallel with the northern edge of the property. The second Raymond Vineyards parcel (APN 030-050-031) has a total of 27.7 acres with 25.8 acres of vineyard. The Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012) has a total of 25.5 acres with 20.7 acres of vineyard and an existing residence, barn and shed building.

Water sources for the property consist of four wells, one on the Raymond Vineyards and Cellar winery parcel, one well on the adjacent Raymond Vineyard parcel, and two wells on the Ticen parcel. Well 01, located on the Raymond Vineyards and Cellar parcel (APN 030-270-013), supplies all domestic and process water for the winery. Well 02, located on the adjacent Raymond Vineyard parcel (APN 030-050-031), supplies vineyard irrigation water for the Raymond parcels. Well 03 located on the Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012), is used for landscape and vineyard irrigation within the current Ticen parcel. Well 04 located on the Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012), is not operable.

Treated process wastewater, stored in the existing wastewater ponds on the Raymond Vineyards and Cellar parcel, is reused as vineyard irrigation water to complement irrigation supply from Well 02 on the Raymond parcel. Sanitary sewage is and will continue to be disposed of in a separate disposal system.

Refer to the Overall Site Plan attached for a general layout of the project components. These plans also include approximate property boundaries, existing buildings and agricultural development.

WATER DEMAND

EXISTING WATER DEMAND

Current water use at the facility is based on the following needs:

- Process needs for the production capacity of 750,000 gallons per year (for a 3 year average) with a peak of 950,000 gallons per year.
- Employees = 26 per day
- Tasting Visitors = 400 maximum per day
- Deliveries = 5 per day
- Irrigation of 37.7 and 25.8 acres of vineyard within the Raymond parcels
- Irrigation of 3.2 acres of landscape within the Raymond parcel and 0.6 acres within the Ticen parcel
- Frost protection for vineyard

PROPOSED WATER DEMAND

Water use at the facility will be based on the following needs:

- Process needs for the production capacity of 750,000 gallons per year (for a 3 year average) with a peak of 950,000 gallons per year.
- Full Time Employees = 70 per day
- Part Time Employees = 10 per day
- Seasonal Employees (Harvest) = 10 per day
- Tasting Visitors = 400 maximum per day
- Event Visitors
 - o 24 events per year with up to 100 persons
 - o 104 events per year with up to 30 persons
 - o 365 events per year with up to 10 persons
- Irrigation of 37.7 and 25.8 acres of vineyard within the Raymond parcels, and 20.7 acres of vineyard within the Ticen parcels
- Irrigation of 3.2 acres of landscape within the Raymond parcel and 0.63 acres within the Ticen parcel.
- Frost protection for vineyard

WINERY PROCESS WATER DEMAND

Water demand for wine production is expected to correlate to the process wastewater (PW) generated at the facility. The winery production capacity is currently 750,000 gallons per year (for a 3 year average) with a peak of 950,000 gallons per year. No production increase is proposed with this UP modification. Based on typical flow data from wineries of similar size and characteristics, the approximate process wastewater generation for the current wine production is calculated as follows:

Proposed Annual production	=	750,000 gal wine/year
PW generation rate	=	6 gal PW/gal wine ^a
Annual PW Flow	=	750,000 gal wine x 6 gal PW/gal wine
	=	4,500,000 gal PW/year
Average PW Flow	=	(4,500,000 gal PW/year) / (365 days)
	=	12,330 gal PW/day
Peak PW Flow	=	(4,500,000 gal PW/year x 16.4 ^b %)/(30 day)
	=	24,600 gal PW/day
Annual Production Water Demand	=	(4,500,000 gal water/yr) / (325,851 gal/ac-ft)
	=	13.8 ac-ft. water/year

^a Generation rate based on industry standards and water data for similar wineries

^b Percentage of flows accounted during the harvest month of September, based on water data for similar wineries

Process wastewater generation is expected to be equivalent to the water demand for production. The expected annual water use associated with the average production capacity is 4,500,000 gallons of water per year, or 13.8 ac-ft. /yr. Winery process water demand will continue to be provided by Well 01. See Enclosure B for flows estimates and water demand calculation.

DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND

Domestic water use at the facility is determined based on the total number of employees, daily visitors and event guests. Using Napa County Environmental Management's Table 4 from "Regulations for Design, Construction, and Installation of Alternative Sewage Treatment Systems", the existing annual domestic water usage is estimated as follows:

	Maximum	Water	Daily	Number of	Annual
Use Type	Quantity	Demand	Demand	Days	Water Use
	(persons/day)	(gal/person)	(gal/day)	(days/year)	(gal/year)
Employee	26	20 ^b	520	365	189,800
Tasting Visitors ^a	400	3	1,200	365	438,000
Deliveries	5	5	25	365	9,125
			To	tal Water Use	636,925
			Peak Wat	ter Use (gpd) ^c	1,745
		Т	otal Water U	se (ac-ft. /yr.)	2.0

Table 1. Existing Domestic Water Use for Raymond Vineyards

^a Peak tasting is assumed to occur every day of the year to be conservative.

^b Demand per person based on sanitary system design by Mahorney and Associates, see Enclosure B

^c Peak water use based on existing sanitary system disposal capacity.

Current sanitary sewage generation is expected to be equivalent to the water demand for domestic uses. The current annual domestic water use is 636,925 gallons per year, or 2.0 ac-ft. per year. Domestic water demand is currently provided by Well 01. See Enclosure B for flows estimates and water demand calculation.

	Maximum	Water	Daily	Number of	Annual
Use Type	Quantity	Demand	Demand	Days	Water Use
	(persons/day)	(gal/person)	(gal/day)	(days/year)	(gal/year)
FT Employee	70	15	1,050	365	383,250
PT Employee	10	15	150	365	54,750
Seasonal Employee (Harvest)	10	15	150	120	18,000
Tasting Visitors ^a	400	3	1,200	365	438,000
Event Visitors	100	15	1,500	24	36,000
Event Visitors	30	15	450	104	46,800
Event Visitors	10	15	150	365	54,750
			To	tal Water Use	1,031,550
			Average Wa	ater use (gpd)	2,830
			Peak Wat	ter Use (gpd) ^b	4,050
		1	otal Water U	se (ac-ft. /yr.)	3.2

Table 2. Proposed Domestic Water Use for Raymond Vineyards

^a Peak tasting is assumed to occur every day of the year to be conservative

^b Peak water use is based on the peak sanitary sewage generation which includes employees, tasting visitors, and marketing event visitor's flows.

Sanitary Sewage generation is expected to be equivalent to the water demand for domestic uses. The expected annual domestic water use for the proposed marketing and visitation plan is 1,031,550 gallons per year, or 3.2 ac-ft. per year. Domestic water demand will continue to be provided by Well 01. See Enclosure B for flows estimates and water demand calculation.

IRRIGATION WATER DEMAND

<u>Vineyard Irrigation</u>

Water from Well 02 and from the treated wastewater ponds is used to irrigate 37.7 acres of vineyards within the Raymond Vineyards & Cellar winery parcel and 25.8 acres of vineyards within the adjacent Raymond vineyard parcel. The facility used approximately 10,201,854 gallons (31.3 ac-ft. /yr.) for drip irrigation of the vineyard on the Raymond parcels in 2011. It is assumed that the current annual water demand for irrigation and has not changed since 2011, as vineyard acreage remains the same. For comparison, vineyard irrigation annual demand was estimated using a rate of 0.5 ac-ft. per acre of vineyard. Napa County Phase 1 Water Availability Analysis water use guidelines for vineyard irrigation are 0.2 to 0.5 ac-ft./acre/year.

37.7 acres x 0.5 ac-ft./acre/year = 18.9 ac-ft./yr.

25.8 acres x 0.5 ac-ft./acre/year = 12.9 ac-ft./yr.

Total estimated vineyard irrigation demand = 31.8 ac-ft. /yr.

The projected vineyard irrigation demand for the Raymond parcels is based on the most conservative approach, which is the estimated 31.8 ac-ft. per year of water demand.

Water from Well 03 is used to irrigate 20.7 acres of vineyards in the Ticen parcel. The irrigation water demand for the Ticen parcel is estimated using a rate of 0.5 ac-ft. per acre of vineyard.

20.7 acres x 0.5 ac-ft./acre/year = 10.4 ac-ft./yr.

Vineyard irrigation will typically begin in June when onsite soils begin to dry and continue until October, with the peak irrigation period between July and August. All vineyard irrigation water, other than what is supplied by reclaimed process wastewater, will be supplied by the irrigation Well 02 that is located on the adjacent Raymond parcel (APN 030-050-031) and by Well 03 located on the Ticen parcel.

Landscape Irrigation

The water demand for landscape irrigation was based on the California Department of Water Resources guidelines for Estimated Total Water Use (ETWU) per year:

$$ETWU = (ETo)(0.62) \left(\frac{PF \times HA}{IE} + SLA \right)$$

Where:

ETWU = Estimated Total Water Use per year (gallons) ETo = Reference Evapotranspiration (inches) PF = Plant Factor from WUCOLS (see Section 491) HA = Hydrozone Area [high, medium, and low water use areas] (square feet) SLA = Special Landscape Area (square feet) 0.62 = Conversion Factor IE = Irrigation Efficiency (minimum 0.71)

Assumptions:

- Low water use plant types with a plant factor of 0.3 (yarrow, buckbrush, redbud, etc.)
- High water use plant types with a plant factor of 0.9 (grass)
- Napa reference evapotranspiration of 49.4 per CIMIS, 1999
- o Irrigation efficiency of 90% for drip systems or similar

The Raymond parcel has approximately 3.2 acres (139,392 SF) of existing landscape which consists of grass (turf) and a biodynamic garden with a herbarium and other trees. In our previous WAA, we had indicated a proposed increase in landscape in the Raymond parcel. After further revisions of the landscaping plan it was determined that no additional landscape is proposed for the Raymond parcel. Landscape will continue to be irrigated with water from wells 01 and 02. A conservative plant factor of 0.9 will be used for existing landscaping.

ETWU (existing) = (49.4 in/year) (0.62) (
$$0.9*139,392$$
 SF) = 4,269,298 gal/yr. = 13.1 ac-ft. /yr.
0.9

The Ticen parcel has approximately 0.6 acres (26,136 SF) of existing landscaping and propose to add 2,498 SF of additional landscape consisting of low water use plant types such as yarrow, buckbrush, redbud, etc. (see Enclosure A for Landscape Plan). The proposed total acreage of landscape for the Ticen parcel is 0.66 ac (28,634 SF). Landscape will continue to be irrigated with water from well 03. A conservative plant factor of 0.9 will be used for existing landscape and a plant factor of 0.3 will be used for the proposed new landscape.

ETWU (existing) = (49.4 in/year) (0.62) ($0.9^{*}26,136 \text{ SF}$) = 800,493 gal/yr. = 2.5 ac-ft. /yr. 0.9 ETWU (additional) = (49.4 in/year) (0.62) ($0.3^{*}2,498 \text{ SF}$) = 25,503 gal/yr. = 0.1 ac-ft. /yr. 0.9 ETWU (total) = 2.5 ac-ft./yr. + 0.1ac-ft./yr. = 2.6 ac-ft./yr.

• Frost Protection Water usage

Water from well 02 and the treated wastewater ponds is used for frost protection of vineyards on the Raymond parcels. In our previous WAA, we had indicated a projected frost protection water demand of 47.7 ac-ft. per year. In further follow-up with the facility, this demand was an estimate based on assumed hours of sprinkler operation. Since this estimate is not based on actual data we have revised this analysis to reflect a rate of 0.25 ac-ft. per acre of vineyard, which is the standard for Napa County Phase 1 Water Availability Analysis water use guidelines for vineyard frost protection.

37.7 acres x 0.25 ac-ft./acre/year = 9.4 ac-ft. /yr.

25.8 acres x 0.25 ac-ft./acre/year = 6.5 ac-ft. /yr.

Total estimated frost protection demand for 63.5 acres of vineyard = 15.9 ac-ft. /yr.

The projected vineyard frost protection water demand for the Raymond parcels is 15.9 ac-ft. per year. Frost protection for the Ticen parcel is provided by a stationary fan.

• Fire Protection Water usage

An existing pond supplies the required water for fire protection. Water demand for fire protection is not included in the total water demand since is not directly provided by any water source.

TOTAL WATER DEMAND

The total water demand at the facility is expected to be 90.8 ac-ft. per year. If vineyard irrigation and frost protection demands for the Raymond parcel are excluded (since these demands are provided by Well 02 on the adjacent Raymond parcel) the annual water demand for the winery parcel is 32.7 ac-ft. per year. Some of the required vineyard irrigation water demand will also be supplied by treated process wastewater and will offset the amount of irrigation well water required.

Water Use	Peak Gallons per day	Gallons per year	Acre-Feet per year		
Wine Production	24,600	4,500,000	13.8		
Domestic Use	4,050	1,032,000	3.2		
Vineyard Irrigation ^c	51,000 ^a	13,751,000	42.2		
Landscape Irrigation	19,000 ^a	5,116,000	15.7		
Frost Protection ^d	172,700 ^b	5,181,000	15.9		
Total	271,350	29,580,000	90.8		
Total Water Demand required/provided by onsite potable well32.7					
Total Water Demand required/provided by irrigation wells58.1					
-					

Table 3. Total Proposed Annual Water Demand

^a Estimated assuming 9 months of irrigation (no irrigation during winter)

^b Estimated assuming 30 days of frost season

^c Water for vineyard irrigation is provided by Ticen wells and offsite Raymond well

^d Frost protection water is provided by offsite well and treated wastewater pond

WATER AVAILABILITY

Based on the Water Availability Analysis method for allotted water use for Napa Valley Floor Areas (1 acft/acre/year), the Raymond Vineyards & Cellar parcel would be allotted 60.2 ac-ft /yr, the Raymond Vineyard parcel would be allotted 27.7 ac-ft/yr, and the Ticen parcel would be allotted 25.5 ac-ft/yr; therefore, the total allotted water use for all three parcels would be 113.4 ac-ft /year. The estimated water demand for process, domestic, and landscape uses of 32.7 ac-ft /year represents 29% of the water available. Based on the proposed increase in employee, visitation, and landscape acreage, there will be an overall increase in projected water demand of about 1.3 ac-ft/year (see Table 4).

Table 4. Water Availability								
Parcel & Uses	Parcel Size (acres)	Water Allotment (ac-ft./year)	Existing Demand (ac-ft./year)	Proposed Demand (ac-ft./year)	Water Source Parcel			
030-270-012	25.5	25.5						
030-270-013	60.2	60.2						
030-050-031	27.7	27.7						
Wine Production			13.8	13.8	030-027-013			
Domestic Use			2.0	3.2	030-027-013			
Vineyard Irrigation			42.2	42.2	030-050-031 & 030-270-012			
Landscape Irrigation			15.6	15.7	030-027-012 & 030-027-013			
Frost Protection			15.9	15.9	030-050-031			
TOTAL	113.4	113.4	89.5	90.8				

Table 4. Water Availability

There are 3 wells that are currently used for water supply for the Raymond and Ticen parcels, as indicated on the attached Site Plan (Enclosure A):

Well 01 was drilled in 1978 to a depth of 410 feet, and has a 20 ft. annular seal. The existing domestic well yields approximately 100-120 gpm. There has been no evidence of groundwater depletion. The peak domestic water demand should account for 24,600 gal/day of process water and 4,050 gal/day of domestic water, for a total of 28,650 gal/day. Well 01 would need to supply approximately 60 gpm over 8 hours to meet the potable water demand, and should have sufficient capacity to meet the peak domestic water demand (see attached well log in Enclosure C).

Well 02, located on the adjacent Raymond parcel (APN 030-050-031), has a capacity of 60 gpm and is sufficient to supply all irrigation water demand for the Raymond parcels.

Well 03, drilled in 2006 and located on the Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012), has a capacity of 40 gpm and is sufficient to provide irrigation demands for the Ticen parcel.

Well 04, drilled in 1984 and located on the Ticen parcel (APN 030-270-012), has a capacity of 12 gpm, but is currently non-operable.

DROUGHT CONSERVATION

The facility plans to continue to treat the process wastewater generated at the facility and reuse it for vineyard irrigation purposes, offsetting the water demand for irrigation uses. Domestic wastewater will be treated and disposed of in a subsurface system, recharging the groundwater table through infiltration.

CONCLUSION

Total annual water demand at Raymond Vineyards and Ticen for process, domestic and landscape uses is projected to be 32.7 acre-feet, which is below the allowable water allotment of 113.4 acre-feet (90.8 ac-ft. with vineyard irrigation and frost protection included). The anticipated peak daily potable water demand for the parcel can be met with the existing potable water supply (well 01) operating for 8 hours per day at 60 gpm.

Contact: Gina Giacone gina@summit-sr.com (707) 636-9162



SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. 463 Aviation Blvd., Suite 200 Santa Rosa, CA 95403 707 527-0775 sfo@summit-sr.com

ENCLOSURE A

OVERALL SITE PLAN

LANDSCAPE PLAN





PLOTTED ON: 9/16/2015 10:53 AM



P:\2015\2015074 RAYMOND USE PERMIT ASSISTANCE\CAD\CIVIL\USE PERMIT\15074-UP1-UP8.DWG

PLOTTED ON: 12/30/2015 3:40 PM

> ENCLOSURE B EXISTING AND PROPOSED WATER DEMAND

> > ENCLOSURE C



SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.	RAYMOND VINEYARDS & CELLAR Wastewater Feasibility Study Process Wastewater Flows			PROJECT NO. BY: CHK:		2015074 GG
PROCESS WASTEWATER						
Annual Volume						
Annual Production (projected)					=	750,000 gal wine/year
Generation Rate (assumed) ^a					=	165 gal wine/ton grape
Tons Crushed	750,000 gal wine/year	÷	165	gal wine/ton grapes	=	4,545 tons grapes/year
Process Wastewater (PW) Generation Rate ^b	(assumed)				=	6.00 gal PW/gal wine
Annual PW Flow	750,000 gal wine/year	x	6.00	gal PW/gal wine	=	<u>4,500,000</u> gal PW/year
Average Day Flow						
	4,500,000 gal PW/year	÷	365	days	=	<u>12,329</u> gal PW/day
Napa County Peak Day Flow						
Length of Harvest					=	60 days
Peak Flow	<u>750,000 gal wine/year</u> 60 days	x	1.5		=	<u>18,750</u> gal PW/day
Average Day Peak Harvest Month Flow						
	1 16.400% of the PW flows 2 30 days in October		d for du	ring October		
Peak Flow	4,500,000 gal PW/year	x	16.4%		=	<u>24,600</u> gal PW/day
	3	0 days			=	<u>24,600</u> gal PW/day

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.	RAYMOND VINEYARDS & CELLAR	PROJECT NO.	2015074
	Wastewater Feasiblity Study	BY:	CL
	Sanitary Sewage Flows	CHK:	GG

SANITARY SEWAGE

WINERY

Non-Harvest Peak Tasting w	//o Event				
Employee (full-time)	70 x	15 gpcd	=	1,050 gal/day	
Employee (part-time)	10 x	15 gpcd	=	150 gal/day	
Employee (seasonal)	0 x	15 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Public Tasting Visitors	400 x	3 gpcd	=	1,200 gal/day	
Private Tasting Visitors	0 x	3 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Peak Event (catered)	0 x	15 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Total		31	=	2,400 gal/day	
Harvest Peak Tasting w/o E	<u>vent</u>				
Employee (full-time)	70 x	15 gpcd	=	1,050 gal/day	
Employee (part-time)	10 x	15 gpcd	=	150 gal/day	
Employee (seasonal)	10 x	15 gpcd	=	150 gal/day	
Public Tasting Visitors	400 x	3 gpcd	=	1,200 gal/day	
Private Tasting Visitors	0 x	3 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Peak Event (catered)	0 x	15 gpcd	=	<u>0</u> gal/day	
Total			=	2,550 gal/day	Average Domestic Water Demand during
					Peak Period (w/out event)
Non-Harvest Peak Tasting w					
Employee (full-time)	70 x	15 gpcd	=	1,050 gal/day	
Employee (part-time)	10 x	15 gpcd	=	150 gal/day	
Employee (seasonal)	0 x	15 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Public Tasting Visitors	400 x	3 gpcd	=	1,200 gal/day	
Private Tasting Visitors	0 x	3 gpcd	=	0 gal/day	
Peak Event (catered)	100 x	15 gpcd	=	<u>1500 gal/day</u>	
Total			=	3,900 gal/day	
Lienwest Deck Testing w/ Ev	ant				
Harvest Peak Tasting w/ Eve		1E gnod		1 OEO gol/dov	
Employee (full-time)	70 x	15 gpcd	=	1,050 gal/day	
Employee (part-time)	10 x 10 x	15 gpcd	=	150 gal/day	
Employee (seasonal) Public Tasting Visitors	400 x	15 gpcd 3 gpcd	=	150 gal/day 1,200 gal/day	
5	400 X 0 X	01	=		
Private Tasting Visitors Peak Event (catered)	100 x	3 gpcd	=	0 gal/day 1500 gal/day	
Total	100 X	15 gpcd	=	4,050 gal/day	
TUIAI			-	4,000 gai/uay	
DESIGN FLOW			=	4,050 gal/day	

*portable toilets will be used for larger events greater than 150 persons



WELL LOG



		n An an an an	2.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Sugar 1				1	RAYMON	D VINEYARDS
DUPLICATE	STATE OF (ALIFORNIA				D
Driller's Copy	THE RESOUR					Do not fill in
\frown $$	DEPARTMENT OF V				No.	103316
of Intent No.	WATER WELL D	RILLERS	RE)	PORT	State Well No.	
Lucal Permit No. or Date	en len tel parte	•	, '	· . *	Other Well No	
(1) OWNER: Name Raymond Vine	vards	(12) WE	rr r	00.	4.349	Planned I
Address \$49 Zinfandel Lane	-J-hankabl	from ft, to	ы. н. fr.	Formation (Describe	Lillfr. Deput of	f completed weil-10_ft.
Chy St. Helena	zip94574	0 -	<u> </u>	Soil	- by calor, charac	ter, fize or material)
(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instru County Napa Owner	etions):	4	27 .	Clay grav	al imb	Harrison Harrison
County Napa Owner	Wall Number 0-270-03		38	Cemented	gravel	
Well address if different from aboveSAMO		Contraction of the local division of the loc	83	clay grav	Contraction of Contraction of Contraction	West and the second
Township	Section	Contraction of the local division of the loc	<u>92 -</u> 96	Cemented	kivel.	
				Gravel		
		118 -	138	Blue Elay Brown san	dy clay	IMD graved
		139 -1	143	Gemented		- Erracia
an a	(3) TYPE OF WORK:	143 A.	183	Blue clay		
	New Well 20 Deepening	183	225	Brown cla		lmb
	Reconstruction	(22) -4	35	Cemented	gravel	
blisheeler way)	Hurizontal Well	100		Sandy bra		x graver
= 2 34 mi -> (20'	_	WW.	87	Congnted		· · · · ·
	Destruction [] (Describe destruction materials: and penceduros in Item 12	387 -3	198			ders & gray sa
	(4) PROPOSED USE?	ā	100		C. S.	cday
	Domustic	2-366-2	Has	Sticky pr	own clay	
	Industrial	- An		<u> </u>	<u>, </u>	· · · ·
A F A	Industrial Test Well	GH11		<u> </u>	and the second	
.6	Study	- (1)	~	(Cno	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
NEST. HELENA HWY ").	Municipal)		1	- W	·····	
WELL LOCATION SKETCH	Other O	<u> </u>	27			9816 ¹¹ 9860.81
5) EQUIPMENT: (6) GRAVE		Ro-	9			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
abie Air (1) Depter No			> .			
Lable Air Delayator of b Delher D Buicket U Ruskett-from	20 410	AW^{-}			·····	
7) CASING INSTALLED (8) PERFOR	A TONS:	W				WV2-matter
	ration or size of screep	9 -		WWE man distant find an an and an an and		dimiteran
From To Dia Gine or From	To R. Side	-				energia de la companya de la company
It. ft [in. Wall ft	ft.	2 e		·	·	.1
0 90 188 90	410 12/8x3	- -				
	- Aller				*	g- transida, c
9) WELL SEAL:	Alle			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Pry Benedicidation &	
Vas surface sanitary seal provided? Yes	If yes, to depth_20_ft.	Terenti				
	1 Intervalft.					• Plannanching
10) WATER LEVELS:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Work started		8/1 19 78_	Completed_	8/11_10/28
Depth of first water, if known 92*	:ft.			'S STATEMENT		is true to the best of my
tanding level after well completion 25	ft.		heljef.	A A)	······································
11) WELL TESTS: Vas well test made?	whom Dri 1 lors	SIGNED	R/A	(Well	Driller)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Vas well text made? Yes provide No If yes, b No of text Pinnp Bailery		NAMEDOS	hie	r-Greason	Drilline	Inc
use_100_gal/min afterhours	At end of test 100 % ft		(19	apa-Valle	ation) (Typed or	printed)
dcal analysis made? Yes Nog2 If yos, h	Water temperature	CityValle		apan and	J	zin 94590
	tach copy to this report	License No. 2	~	0]r	Date of this report_	8/14/78
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						P V Contra Contra

Z00/T00

DOSHIEK CKEC2ON

02/11/2011 T0:10 EVX 1012261648

18

WATER WELL DRILLERS REPORT (Sections 7079, 7080, 7081, 7082, Water Code)

Do Not Fill In

12845

THE RESOURCES AGENCY OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

State Well No.____

Other Well No ...

Nº.

(1) OWNER:			(11) WELL LOG:
Name Roy Raymon	d		A second s
Address 1459 Karno	u Chroch	St. Helena;	Total depth 302 ft. Depth of completed well 302 ft.
Californi	a screet,	St. Helena,	Formation: Describe by color, character, size of material, and structure
(2) LOCATION OF W			0 - 4 top soil
County NaDa	Owner's numb	w. if my	Store Inchas
Township, Range, and Section	2		SOLE VOLLOW CIAY
Distance from citles, roads, railroads, etc	whit we	E Ud alware 00	THE GALLAND HE GAL
& Mi. so. of Z	infandel I	ano	38 - 60 soft yellow clay
(3) TYPE OF WORK	(check) .	846	<u>60 - 62 clay</u>
New Well M Deepening	Reconditioning	Denvert in the	62 - 68 gravely clay
If destruction, describe material an	d procedure in Item 1	Destroying	68 - 70 sand and gravel
(4) PROPOSED USE (d			70 - 79 sandy yellow clay
Domestic [] Industrial []	Municipal [(5) EQUIPMENT:	
Irrigation 🔀 Test Well	Other	RotaryReverse Cable	90 - 110 gravel and rocks
		Other	110 - 118 gravel (large)
(6) CASING INSTALL	ED.		<u>118 - 128 blue clay</u>
	- T.	f orman martine 1	128 - 130 blue sand
STEEL: OTHER	R: 1	f gravel packed	130 - 139 sandy blue clay
			139 - 142 sandy yellow clay
	Gage Diameter	F 1	142 - 150 sand and gravel
From To ft. ft. Diam.	or of	From To	150 - 155 sand and gravel large
	Wall Bore	ft. ft.	155 - 156 yellow clay
0 302 114	1/4 22"	0 302	156 - 185 blue grey clay
14"			185 - 194 sand and gravel
0' " plain, 14'	3/16" pla	th, louvered	155 - 197 yellow clay
Size of shoe or well ring:	Size of grave	1: <u>3/16"</u>	197 - 203 sand and gravel
Describe joint			203 - 205 blue clay
(7) PERFORATIONS O	R SCREEN:		205 - 207 sand and graval
Type of perforation or name of screen	factory 10	uvered	207 - 210 blue gray clay
	Perf. Rows		210 - 219 blue clay
From To	per per	Size	219 - 226 sand and gravel
	row ft.	in. x in.	226 - 248 rocks and gravel
144 168 10	uverad	_	248 - 254 blue clay
188 198 10	0 slot sci	e den	254 - 263 rocks and gravel
	uvered		and Tooling dild dry day
	a slot sor	ahn	and aloo winde entry
	o slot ser		266 - 286 rocks and gravel
(8) CONSTRUCTION:			286 - 300 blue clay
Was a surface sanitary seal provided? Yes		what depth 20 ft.	and the second
Were any strata sealed against pollution?		If yes, note depth of strata	
From ft. to	ft.	in fest note depen of strata	
From ft, to	ft.		Work started 10-14 19 71 . Completed 10-17 19 71
Method of sealing 2 COMON			
(9) WATER LEVELS: Depth at which water was first found, if			WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT: This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.
Standing level before perforating, if kno		ft.	
Standing level after perforating and devel		ft.	NAME Ben Barrow Co. (Person, firm, or corporation) (Typed or brinted)
(10) WELL TESTS:	loping	ft.	
Wranese extension and a second and a second		ŝ	Address P. O. Box 388, Woodland, Calif.
	If yes, by whom?	2	- / la X
Automatical Artes	ft. drawdows a chemical analysis madei		[SIGNED] (Well Driller)
Was also been to the contract of the	No D If yes, at		License No. 153008 Dated Oct 25 71

SKETCH LOCATION OF WELL ON REVERSE SIDE

U 38