

WINERY WASTEWATER FEASIBILITY REPORT

SUMMERS ESTATE WINES 1171 TUBBS LANE CALISTOGA, CALIFORNIA

APN 017-160-015



PROPERTY OWNER:

Summers Winery Realty LLC 1171 Tubbs Lane Calistoga, CA 94515

Project# 4114023.0 June 27, 2014

1515 Fourth Street, Napa, CA 94559

www.rsacivil.com

707.252.3301.v 707.252.4966.f



TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	.2
EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM	. 2
EXISTING PROCESS WASTE WATER SYSTEMS	2
SITE EVALUATIONS	.3
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS	.3
DOMESTIC WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS	.4
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER - SURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION	. 4
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER - HOLD & HAUL	.6
DOMESTIC WASTEWATER – SUB - SURFACE DRIP	.6
STORMWATER DIVERSION	.7
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	7
CONCLUSION	7

APPENDICES

- 1. VICINITY MAP & USGS SITE MAP
- 2. REDUCED USE PERMIT PLAN SET
- 3. EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM DOCUMENTATION
- 4. SITE EVALUATION
- 5. WATER BALANCE FOR IRRIGATION AND STORAGE, IRRIGATION AREAS EXHIBIT



INTRODUCTION

The owner is applying to the County of Napa for a Winery Use Permit Modification. The modification will allow an increase in production to 100,000 gallons per year, and includes the addition of a new covered tank farm. The current Use Permit allows an 50,000 gallon per year winery on a 25.3 +/- acre parcel located at 1171 Tubbs Lane, Calistoga (APN 017-160-015). Access to the property is an existing driveway connecting to Tubbs Lane.

Most of the property is relatively level and is currently used for vineyards. The existing winery location is in the northeast corner of the property. Four wells exist on the site. Three are near the southern property line. The remaining well is located west of the office building near an existing water tank. The wells are all currently for winery use. Appendix 1 contains a Site Location Map and a USGS Site Map showing the parcel topography, features and boundary. Appendix 2 contains a reduced version of the proposed winery plan set.

This report will evaluate the disposal of wastewater consisting of winery process wastewater, and winery domestic wastewater.

EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEM

Information from Napa County files for the parcel shows an existing septic system for the house consisting of a 1500 gallon septic tank, and 721 feet of distribution line.

The distribution lines are located southwest of the existing residence. This area will likely be impacted by the proposed winery improvements. It is proposed that the existing drain field be abandoned.

EXISTING PROCESS WASTEWATER SYSTEM

The property also has an existing process wastewater system that consists of four 1500 gallon septic tanks and an 800 gallon pump tank that supplies a pressure distribution system. The pressure distribution field consists of 34 lines.

The distribution lines are located south of the existing winery building. It is proposed that the existing drain field be abandoned due to insufficient soil depth for a pressure distribution system and to allow beneficial reuse of treated winery wastewater.



SITE EVALUATION

Riechers Spence & Associates conducted a site evaluation on the subject parcel on June 3, 2014. Appendix 4 contains a map of test pit locations and test pit logs for the site evaluation.

The site evaluation was conducted by Brett Frasier of Riechers Spence and Associates and observed by Maureen Shields Bown of Napa County Environmental Management.

Representative soil samples were collected during the site evaluation and analyzed by RGH Consultants Inc. The soil samples underwent a soil texture analysis by Bouyoucos Hydrometer Method. The soil sample results are shown in Appendix 4. Site evaluation test pit logs are shown in Appendix 4.

WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS

The following is a summary of the winery wastewater characteristics:

Wine Production:	100,000 gallons of wine per year 2.38 gallons of wine per case 42,017 cases/year
Wastewater Production:	5 gallons of wastewater/gallon of wine 500,000 gallons/year
Peak Daily Waste Water Flow:	Crush Period = 60 days Annual wine production x 1.5 / 60 2,500 gallons/day
Average Daily Flow:	500,000/365 = 1,370 gallons/day

Monthly Wastewater Flows:

	IAE	IABLE 1										
	% By Month	Waste/Month										
Sep	15%	75,000	Gal/Month									
Oct	15%	75,000	Gal/Month									
Nov	11%	52,500	Gal/Month									
Dec	8%	37,500	Gal/Month									
Jan	4%	20,000	Gal/Month									
Feb	6%	30,000	Gal/Month									
Mar	6%	30,000	Gal/Month									
Apr	5%	22,500	Gal/Month									
May	6%	30,000	Gal/Month									
Jun	7%	35,000	Gal/Month									
Jul	9%	42,500	Gal/Month									
Aug	10%	50,000	Gal/Month									
Totals	100%	500,000	Gal/Year									

TABLE 1



DOMESTIC WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS

The winery domestic wastewater system has been sized to accommodate the unit values in Table 2 below. The number of visitors and employees is based on information provided by the owner. The projected flow is based on Napa County Environmental Management guidelines. The following is a summary of the estimated flows from the proposed winery.

Use	Source	Number	Projected Flow (gpd)	Total Flow No Event Day (gpd)	Total Flow Event Day (gpd)
	Full-time employees	2	15	30	30
	Part-time employees	2	15	30	30
WINERY	Harvest employees	2	15	30	30
	Visitors	20	3	60	60
_	Private Event w/ meals (catered)	30	10	0	300
	Event Staff	2	15	0	30
V	Vinery Subtotals			150	480
G	irand Total		Total Peak Flow	150	480

<u>Table 2</u>

The number of visitors is based on a <u>maximum</u> expected daily visitor count. Any combination of events where the expected total guest count exceeds 50 persons in a single day will require the use of portable sanitation facilities.

WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER - SURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION

According to Napa County Environmental Management Sewage Treatment System Design Guidelines, winery process wastewater must be treated prior to surface discharge. Based on our experience, winery wastewater characteristics are as follows:

Characteristics	Units	Average
рН		3.5
BOD5	mg/l	6000
TSS	mg/l	500
Nitrogen	mg/l	20
Phosphorus	mg/l	10



The treatment goal is 160 mg/l BOD and 80 mg/l TSS. To meet this treatment goal a treatment train including a septic tank, treatment tank with High Strength Membrane Bio-Reactor (HSMBR) unit, and pump tank are proposed. This treatment train may be modified for more desirable treatment processes prior to submitting construction plans. The following sections describe this process in more detail. This system is shown on Sheet UP3 contained in Appendix 2.

Septic Tank

The septic tank will serve to buffer peak flows and strengths from overwhelming the system and impairing treatment. The four existing 1,500 gallon winery septic tanks will be used subject to their condition being verified. Alternatively, a new tank will be provided. This tank will provide two days storage and will also serve to function as a primary settling basin. This tank will be 5,000 gallons.

Treatment Tank

The treatment tank will serve to treat wastewater flows using a High Strength Membrane Bio-Reactor (HSMBR) unit. This tank will be 30,000 gallons.

Pump Tank

The pump tank will serve to hold wastewater prior to distribution to the storage tank. This tank will house dual pumps. This tank will be 800 gallons.

Holding Tank and Dispersal Field

To provide a preliminary estimate of the amount of storage tanks required, we have prepared a monthly water balance, as shown in Appendix 5. Monthly wastewater production is based on a percentage of the total annual wastewater production. The amount of water allowed to be applied is estimated by the typical vine water demand. The irrigation will be applied to areas of vineyards outside well setback requirements. The area proposed for irrigation is shown in Appendix 5. An area of 5.27 acres of vineyard and 1.0 acres of cover crop has been used to calculate the storage capacity required. Based on monthly analysis 15,310 gallons of storage are required. Storage capacity of 20,000 gallons is provided for treated process wastewater generated during wet weather periods.

During the summer months all of the treated wastewater will be used for irrigation. During the wet winter months, a limited discharge will be consistent with landscape water demand and no discharge will occur within 48-hours of a forecasted rain event and also for 48-hours after a rain event. These irrigation scheduling constraints necessitate installing tanks to store excess water that cannot be discharged during the winter months. All stored water will then be used for irrigation during the summer months.



WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER - HOLD & HAUL

Napa County Design Guidelines require a Hold and Haul volume equivalent to 7 days of peak process waste flow. This equates to 17,500 gallons of required storage for the proposed project at full production. Wastewater would be hauled to a facility permitted to accept winery process wastewater.

For this option pre-cast concrete holding tanks or equivalent capacity fiberglass tanks would be used. A high water alarm beacon, powered by the electrical system in the winery, will be located on an exterior panel.

DOMESTIC WASTEWATER - SUB SURFACE DRIP

The existing domestic wastewater system will be replaced by a new septic system and dispersal field for the proposed winery. A HOOT treatment system and a new dispersal field are proposed. The existing leach field and septic tank would be abandoned in accordance with Napa County Environmental Management requirements.

Domestic wastewater from the proposed tasting room will flow into a new HOOT H-600 tank. After pretreatment in the HOOT H-600, wastewater will be pumped to the proposed distribution field.

The existing connection for sanitary wastewater for the winery to the existing septic tank will be field verified for location and condition. A pump tank will be installed if required to pump sanitary wastewater from the winery to the proposed HOOT treatment system.

The subsurface drip field is sized to meet Napa County Environmental Management guidelines. The distribution field will be placed in the area of the site evaluation where the most limiting usable soil type was clay loam. The allowable application rate for clay loam is 0.6 gallons/square foot/day for pre-treated effluent. Peak daily domestic wastewater flow is 480 gallons/day.

Dispersal Field Area(primary) =
$$\frac{480 \, gpd}{0.6 \, gpd \, / SF}$$
 = 800 square feet

In addition to the primary dispersal area of 800 square feet, a 200% reserve area is required. The reserve area will be located adjacent to the primary field where the soil application rate is also 0.6 gallons/square foot/day.

Dispersal Field Area (reserve area) =
$$\frac{480 \text{ gpd}}{0.6 \text{ gpd} / SF}$$
 = 800 square feet

The total requirement for domestic wastewater reserve dispersal area is 1,600 square feet. Total combined area required for the primary and reserve is 2,400 square feet.

The system layout is shown on UP3 in Appendix 2.



STORMWATER DIVERSION

Operational areas including crush pad will be covered.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The winery process and domestic wastewater systems will be fully automated and will be designed so minimal input from winery staff is required. Per Napa County guidelines, a Registered Civil Engineer, Registered Environmental Health Specialist, or Licensed Contractor will provide semi-annual monitoring and evaluation of the system. The contract with the responsible party will be provided prior to the final inspection for the system installed.

CONCLUSION

This report demonstrates that enough dispersion area is available making a sub-surface drip system a feasible option for treating the Summers Estate Wines domestic wastewater. It has also been demonstrated that it is feasible to treat the winery process wastewater and distribute this to the vineyard using drip irrigation.

The above methodology results in a design that meets the Napa County Environmental Management Design standards for the treatment of winery and domestic wastewater.



APPENDIX 1

Vicinity Map & USGS Site Map









1515 Fourth Street Napa, Calif. 94559 v 707.252.3301 f 707.252.4966

MAY 29, 2014 4114023.0 Exh-Pitmap.dwg I OF 3





APPENDIX 2

Reduced Use Permit Plan Set











PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION



APPENDIX 3

Existing Septic System Documentation



SS STOPP	fee	N.P.0 017-160
HH-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	SUMMERS	
Dwner Winery	Expansion. Wants to abandon the existing siplem & start from scratch new area.	
	(E) System tor house (E) System (E) System (E) System (E) System (E) System (E) System (E) System	Tubbs Ln.
<u>N</u>	Hvy 128	





and the second s and the second second states and the second second and the subscription of th TPPLICAL 1998 400 STAR BARTEN 1. [..... 1 int ADDRESS 1171 MANY ALL NORMA CHORLANA 066 MATLING ADDREAD Construction Altre ADDRENA Actediter TNETVIDUAL () NEW CONSTRUCTION J REPATR CADE () ALTERATIONS) PRIVATE BEWAGE DISFORAL STATEM () SPRCIAL DESIGN k .) A k KANDLORN PLAD. UNITE $D D K M_{cl}$ COMMERICAL/INDUSTRIAL GALS/DAY OTHER (Explain 1 . C. H. G. M. M. SBORMS + 3BREM ADDITION 281 1) Public individual Parka SUPPLY: () Functed that of neurost sewage disposal system 100 feet Sistance from well to any part of neurost sewage disposal system 100 feet Additional nearby wells 1007. (Sketch of site to accompany application). feett Consty road aetback 30+ feat from center line, () Bldg. Dept. Form Received reaster's concretention correlated (theat one of the following) A certificate of ourrest worker's compensation Insurance on file with this office. A certificate of ourpest worker's compensation Insurance is being filed with this opplication. If certify that is the performance of the Work for which this permit is issued i shall not enjoy any person is any manner without complying with the Worker's Compensation laws is california. TERNS OF PERMIT applicant agrees that: lioant agrees that: Santtarian will be notified a minimum of 14 hours prior to requiring inspection(s). Sanitarian and engineer's inspection, when indicated, will be obtained prior to scorring the system. The germit and a copy of the approved sewage disposal system design shall be available at the sol ÷. 1 eite at all times. eite at all times. Any deviation from approved plan and specifications without prior approval of this office will be cause for stopping work until the changes are fully justified and approved. From stopping cooupancy of any building with an engineered designed system a signed size. Prior to authorizing obsupancy of any build to be untermode and any neared designed system a signed size ment by the design engineer certifying that the system was installed in compliance with the approved plan must be submitted to the Public Health Officer. This permit is subject to revocation if found to be in nonconformace with Mapa County Code of Standarde. Standardes. Perore this office allows occupancy of a dwelling, an approved water source has to meet the quarter and everage epecifications of the county code. IT IS UNPERSIOND THAT THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT IN NO WAY INDICATES THAT A GUARANTEE OF PERFECT AND INDEFINITE OPERATION OF THIS SYSTEM IS MADE BY THE COUNTY OF MAPA PUBLIC HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND THAT INT CHARRY IS NEGRINED TO MAKE ANY REFAIRS NECESSARY TO CONFINE SEWAGE AS HEQUIADD BT COUST COLS. I REARRY ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAVE READ THIS APPLICATION AND STATE THAT THE ABOVE IS CORDERT AND ASHED T CONPLY WITH ALL COUNTY ONDINANCES AND STATE LAWS REGULATING CONSTRUCTION OF SEMAGE DISPOSAL STREETS. TRIS PERMIT SHALL EXPIRE BY LINITATION IF WORK AUTHORITED IS NOT COMMENCED WITHIN I TEAP. Mu over Owner or Authorized Agent/ Type Concrete size (Gallone) (N Septio Tank 1500 affications: 42" Trench Depth 24" Rock under Til o' Total Length Drainline: Depth Approximate Length Sewer Line: Type Alarm Type Tank Size Sump Pump: Designer See Special Design Plans Approved (Date) Designer See Private Sewer System Plans Approved (Date)





APPENDIX 4

Site Evaluation

Date:

Napa County Department of **Environmental Management**

SITE EVALUATION REPORT

Please attach an 8.5" x 11" plot map showing the locations of all test pits triangulated from permanent landmarks or known property corners. The map must be drawn to scale and include a North arrow, surrounding geographic and topographic features, direction and % slope, distance to drainages, water bodies, potential areas for flooding, unstable landforms, existing or proposed roads, structures, utilities, domestic water supplies, wells, ponds, existing wastewater treatment systems and facilities.

Permit #: E14-00309

017-160-015

(County Use Only) Reviewed by:

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL INFORMATION

Property Owner		
Summers Winery Realty, LLC		New Construction Addition Remodel Relocation Conter:
Property Owner Mailing Address		
1171 Tubbs Lane		Residential - # of Bedrooms: Design Flow : gpd
City	State Zip	 •
Calistoga	CA 94515	Commercial – Type: Winery
Site Address/Location		Sanitary Waste: 555 gpd Process Waste:
Same] Other:
		Sanitary Waste: gpd Process Waste: gpd

Evaluation Conducted By:

Company Name Riechers Spence & Associates	Evaluator's Name Brett Frasier		Signature (Civil Engineer, R.E.H.S., Geologist, Soil Scientist)				
Mailing Address: 1515 Fourth Street	An L.		Telephone Number 707-252-3301				
City Napa	State Zip CA 9455		Date Evaluation Conducted June 3, 2014				
Primary Area		Expansion Area					
Acceptable Soil Depth: 24 in. Test pit	#'s: 1,2,3,5-10	Acceptable Soil Depth: 24 in. Test pit #'s: 1,2,3,5-10					
Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day): 0	.6	Soil Application Rate (gal. /sq. ft. /day): 0.6					
System Type(s) Recommended: Geo-flor	v subsurface drip	System Type(s) Recommended: Geo-flow subsurface drip					
Slope: 1.7% Distance to nearest water	source: 161 ft		nce to nearest water source: 161 ft				
Hydrometer test performed? No	🗋 Yes 🛛 (attach results)	Hydrometer test perfor					
Bulk Density test performed? No	🛛 Yes 🔲 (attach results)	Bulk Density test perfo	rmed? No 🛛 Yes 🗌 (attach results)				
Percolation test performed? No	🛛 Yes 🗌 (attach results)	Percolation test perfor	med? No 🛛 Yes 🗌 (attach results)				
Groundwater Monitoring Performed? No	Yes 🗌 (attach results)	Groundwater Monitorir	ng Performed? No 🛛 Yes 🗌 (attach results)				
Site constraints/Recommendations:		I					

APN:

Test Pit # 1

X =	Unizan	Desugalary 0/ F	0/ Deals	T	0	Consistence					
Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	(Gra	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-24"	С	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	VFRB	vs	M/F-M	F/F-M	N/A
х	24"-33"	Bottom		С							Yes
Notes:											

Test Pit # 2

X =	= Horizon Bou	Boundary	%Rock	Taytura	Structure	Consistence			D	Roots	BB ((1-
Limiting Horizon	Depth (Inches)	воилиагу	76ROCK	Texture	(Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	(QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-25"	G	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	FRB	VS	M/F-M	F/F	N/A
х	25"-41"	Bottom		с							Yes
										-	
Notes:			L	I							

x =	Horimon	Boundary	%Deals	Tautura	04	С	onsisten	e	_		
Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-26"	С	<20%	CL	M/SB	SH	VFRB	S	M/F-M	C/F-M	N/A
х	26"-44"	Bottom	<30%								Yes
Notes:											

Test Pit # 4

× -		Danialaria	0/ D 1	(0		С	onsisten	ce	_	_	
X = Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock		Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-20"	С	<20%	CL	M/SB	SH	VRB	S	M/F-M	C/F-M	N/A
х	20"-46"	Bottom									Yes
Notes:											
l											

Test Pit # 5

X =	Horizon	Doundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure	C	onsisten	ce		-	Mottling
Limiting Horizon	Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%ROCK	Texture	Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-32"	С	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	FRB	S	M/F-M	M/F-C	N/A
х	32"-43"	Bottom	<40%								Yes

Notes: Sa	indy creek b	ed at 32"		L							

X _		D			.	С	onsisten	ce	_		
X = Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-26"	С	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	VFRB	S	C/F	C/F-M	N/A
х	26"-40"	Bottom									Yes
Votes: Si	gns of groun	d water and ol	d stream se	ediment at	bottom						

Test Pit # 7

Horizon Depth (Inches) 0-24"	Boundary C	%Rock	Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots	Mottling
0-24"	с	450/		Shape)				(02177 0126)	(QTY / Size)	(QTY / Size/ Contrast)
		<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	FRB	S	M/F-M	C/M-C	N/A
24"-42"	Bottom	<35%	С	M/SB	SH	VFR B	VS	M/F-M	F/F	N/A
	4 - 42	4 -42" Bottom	4 -42" Bottom <35%	4 -42 Bottom <35% C	4 -42" Bottom <35% C M/SB	4 -42" Bottom <35% C M/SB SH				

Test Pit # 8

X =	Horizon	Decoders	0/ Deck	Tautuma	Structure	С	onsisten	ce		-	
Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	(Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-26"	С	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	FRB	s	C/F-M	F/M	N/A
	26"-39"	Bottom									Yes
			1								
Notes:			L.,								

¥ _		- ·				C	onsisten	ce	_	_	
X = Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-28"	G	<15%	CL	M/SB	н	FRB	s	C/F-M	F/F	N/A
х	28"-39"	Bottom									Yes
	e										
Notes:			<u> </u>								

Test Pit # 10

X =	Heriner	Devendent	0/ De els	T	04	С	onsisten	ce	_	_	
Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
	0-24"	с	<15%	CL	M/SB	SH	FRB	S	C/F-M	F/F	N/A
	24"-44"	Bottom									Yes
Notes:				~~~~							

Test Pit

X =	Hovinon	Boundary	%Rock	Texture	Christen	С	onsisten	се	D	Data	Mottling
Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary		Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
				l							
Notes:											

×_		Desardant	%Rock		.	C	onsisten	се	_	_	
X = Limiting Horizon	Horizon Depth (Inches)	Boundary		Texture	Structure (Grade / Shape)	Side Wall	Ped	Wet	Pores (QTY / Size)	Roots (QTY / Size)	Mottling (QTY / Size/ Contrast)
								E			
Notes:					.		•				

RGH Soil Sample Index

Sample #1- This sample was taken from the first row of test pits. It is representative of the upper soil profiles (0-24").

Size/Density	Sample 1
+ #10 Sieve	5.6 %
Sand	30.2 %
Clay	38.8 %
Silt	31.0 %
Db g/cc	

Sample #2 - This sample was taken from the first row of test pits. It is representative of the lower soil profiles (below 24").

Size/Density	Sample 2
+ #10 Sieve	9.7 %
Sand	29.0 %
Clay	43.8 %
Silt	27.2 %
Db g/cc	

Sample #3 - This sample was taken from the second row of test pits. It is representative of the upper soil profiles (0-24").

Size/Density	Sample 3
+ #10 Sieve	7.1 %
Sand	40.2 %
Clay	37.8 %
Silt	22.0 %
Db g/cc	

SOIL PERCOLATION SUITABILITY CHART



Instructions:

- 1. Plot texture on triangle based on percent sand, silt, and clay as determined by hydrometer analysis.
- 2. Adjust for coarse fragments by moving the plotted point in the sand direction an additional 2% for each 10% (by volume) of fragments greater than 2mm in diameter.
- 3. Adjust for compactness of soil by moving the plotted point in the clay direction an additional 15% for soils having a bulk—density greater than 1.7 gm/cc.

Note:

For soils falling in sand, loamy sand or sandy loam classification bulk density analysis will generally not affect suitability and analysis not neccesary.

SUMMERS WINERY VICINITY MAP NAPA COUNTY CALIFORNIA



SCALE: |" = 2000'



1515 Fourth Street Napa, Calif. 94559 v 707.252.3301 f 707.252.4966

JUNE 9, 2014 4114023.0 Exh-Pitmap.dwg I OF 3







APPENDIX 5

Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage Irrigation Areas Exhibit

Reclaimed Process Wastewater Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage



Project Description					Annual	Process W	aste Flow	Volume					
Project Number:	4114023.0				Wine Produ		uste i lott	volume		100,000)	gal/year	
Project Name:	Summers Winery											0	
Prepared By:	Brett Frasier				Annual Proc	cess Waste per	r Gallon Wine	:		4		gal/year	
Date:	May 19, 2014				Total Annua	1 Process Wa	ste Generated	:		500,000)	gal/year	
Vineyard Irrigation Parameters		Landsca	pe Irrigati	on Paran	ieters								
Acres of irrigated vineyard:	5.27 acres	Crop type / 1	name:		Na	tive grass and	trees						
Row spacing:	8.0 feet	Total irrigate	ed acres of cr	op:		1.00	acres						
Vine spacing:	8.0 feet												
Total number of vines:	3,587 vines												
Water use per vine per month (peak):	26 gal												
Total peak monthly irrigation demand:	93,259 gal												
Monthly Process Wastewater Generati	ion												
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Monthly process wastewater generated as % of annua	il total:	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	9%	10%	14%	14%	11%	8%
Monthly process wastewater generated [gallons]:		20,000	30,000	30,000	25,000	30,000	35,000	45,000	50,000	70,000	70,000	55,000	40,000
Monthly Vineyard Irrigation Water Us	se												
(Based on per-vine water use)		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
Beginning of month reclaimed water in storage [gallor		15,310	7,341	0	0	0	0						
(This number brought forward from end of previous n	nonth)	15,510	7,341	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,050
Vineyard irrigation as % of peak month irrigation den	nand:	6%	6%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	10%
Irrigation per month per vine (gallons):		2	2	3	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	3	3
Total vineyard irrigation demand [gallons]:		5,596	5,596	9,326	93,259	93,259	93,259	93,259	93,259	93,259	93,259	9,326	9,326
Will vineyard be irrigated with reclaimed water this m		У	У	у	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У	У
Process wastewater generated this month, reclaimed for [gallons]		5,596	5,596	9,326	25,000	30,000	35,000	45,000	50,000	70,000	70,000	9,326	9,326
Remaining vineyard irrigation demand after using this [gallons]	month's process water	0	0	0	68,259	63,259	58,259	48,259	43,259	23,259	23,259	0	0
Drawdown from storage for remaining vineyard irriga	tion [gallons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Well water required to satisfy remaining vineyard irrig	gation demand	0	0	0	68,259	63,259	58,259	48,259	43,259	23,259	23,259	0	0
Net storage after vineyard irrigation drawdown [gallor		15,310	7,341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,050
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine for landscape irrigation[gallons]	yard irrigation, available	14,404	24,404	20,674	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45,674	30,674
		Water	r balance con	tinues on nex	t page for cov	er crop irriga	ation.						
Monthly Landscape Irrigation Water U	Jse												
(Based on evapotranspiration crop demand and irrigate	ed area)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine for landscape irrigation[gallons] (From sheet 1)	yard irrigation, available	14,404	24,404	20,674	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45,674	30,674
Reference ET (ETo) (in/month) (see note 1)		1.03	1.53	2.93	4.71	5.82	6.85	7.21	6.44	4.87	3.53	1.64	1.17
Crop Coefficient (k_c) (see note 2)		0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.80
Crop water demand per acre [inches]		0.82	1.22	2.34	3.77	4.66	5.48	5.77	5.15	3.90	2.82	1.31	0.94
Crop water demand per acre [gallons]		22,374	33,235	63,645	102,310	126,422	148,795	156,615	139,889	105,786	76,678	35,624	25,415
Total crop water demand for irrigated area [gallons]		22,374	33,235	63,645	102,310	126,422	148,795	156,615	139,889	105,786	76,678	35,624	25,415
Will landscape be irrigated with reclaimed water this n	nonth?	Y	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Process wastewater remaining after vineyard irrigation irrigation [gallons]	, reclaimed for landscape	14,404	24,404	20,674	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35,624	25,415
Landscape irrigation water required from storage or ot	her source [gallons]	7,969	8,830	42,971	0	0	0	0	0	0	76,678	0	0
Drawdown from storage for landscape irrigation [gallo	ns]	7,969	7,341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Process wastewater generated this month, unused for in and stored [gallons]	rigation, to be reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,050	5,259
Net end-of-month reclaimed water storage after all irrig	gation [gallons]	7,341	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10,050	15,310
				End of Wat	er Balance								
				-									

Peak Monthly Storage =

15,310 gallons

Notes:

1. Reference ETo from California Irrigation Management Information System

2. Crop Coefficient from Table 1 of "Estimating Irrigation Water Needs of Landscape Plantings in California", University of California Cooperative Extension, August 2000.

SUMMERS WINERY

