Notice of Determination

MAY 2 2 2002

JOHN TUTEUR
Napa County Recorder - County Clerk
By DEPUTY OF CORDER - CLERK

() Office of Planning and Research 1400 Tenth Street, Room 121 Sacramento, CA 95814 From: Napa County
Conservation, Development & Planning Dept.
1195 Third Street, Room 210
Napa, CA 94558

(x) County Clerk County of Napa

Subject:

To:

Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

Calistoga Cellars Winery/Calistoga Partners Use Permit Request #01074-UP

Project Title Robert Nelson 707- 253-4416 State Clearing House Number (If submitted to Clearinghouse) Robert Nelson Area Code/Telephone/Extension

On 19.84 ± acres (following a lot line adjustment) located on the south side of Tubbs Lane, approximately 800 feet northeast of its intersection with State Highway 128, Calistoga Napa County.

Project Location (include county)

Project Description: Use Permit application to establish a 20,000 gallon/year winery, including 25% (5,000 gallons/year) of the production capacity used for custom production (crushing, fermentation, barrel aging, bottling, retail wine sales, tasting, distribution/shipping) for 2 entities; the construction of a 3,043 sq. ft. winery structure, maximum 35 feet in height; 2 full-time employees; retail wine sales, tours and tasting by appointment, marketing activities, a business identification sign and two off-site directional signs on Assessor's Parcels APN: 17-210-008,018, 013

Michael Butler
1148 Tubbs Lane
Calistoga CA 94615-1054

This is to advise that the <u>Board of Supervisors</u> has approved the above described

(X)Lead Agency ()Responsible Agency

project on May 7, 2002 and has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

(Date)

- 1. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2. A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
- 3. Mitigation measures were made a condition of the approval of this project.
- 4. A statement of Overriding Considerations was not adopted for this project.
- 5. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

Signature Date Deputy Phanning Director

Title

DRAFT MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The Conservation, Development and Planning Director of Napa County has tentatively determined that the following project, with Mitigation measures incorporated, would not have a significant effect on the environment. Documentation supporting this determination is on file for public inspection at the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department Office, 1195 Third St., Room 210, Napa, California 94559. For further information call (707) 253-4416.

Project title: Calistoga Cellars Winery/Calistoga Partners Use Permit Request #01074-UP

Project location: on 36.36± acres located on the south side of Tubbs Lane, approximately 800 feet northeast of its intersection with State Highway 128, Calistoga APN: 17-210-008,018, 013, 017., within the Agricultural Watershed zoning district and Agricultural Resource General Plan land use designation.

Description of Project: Approval to establish a 20,000 gallon/year winery, including 25% (5,000 gallons/year) of the production capacity used for 2 custom producers (crushing, fermentation, barrel ageing, bottling, retail wine sales, tasting, distribution/shipping); the construction of a 3,043 sq. ft. winery structure, maximum 35 feet in height; 2 full-time employees; retail wine sales, tours and tasting by appointment (25 visitors on the busiest day), and marketing activities; (proposed monthly events with a maximum 30 persons, and two events with a maximum of 100 persons), a business identification sign and two off-site directional signs.

Mitigation measures included in the subject project are specified in the Project Revision Statement attached to the appended Initial Study.

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD: January 31 to February 20, 2002

DATE: January 29, 2002

BY THE ORDER OF

CHARLES WILSON
Director
Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department

COUNTY OF NAPA CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1195 Third Street, Rm. 210 Napa, California, 94559 (707) 253-4416

Initial Study Checklist (reference CEQA, Appendix G)

1.	Project title:	Calistoga Cellars Wir	ery/Calistoga Partners	Use Permit Red	quest #01074-UF
----	----------------	-----------------------	------------------------	----------------	-----------------

- 2. **Lead agency name and address**: Napa County Conservation, Development & Planning Department 1195 Third Street Rm 210, Napa California, 94559
- 3. Contact person and phone number: Robert Nelson (707) 253-4417
- 4. **Project location:** on 36.36± acres located on the south side of Tubbs Lane, approximately 800 feet northeast of its intersection with State Highway 128, Calistoga APN: 17-210-008,018, 013, 017.
- Project sponsor's name and address: Calistoga Partners, LP 3241 Ehlers Lane St. Helena CA 94574
- 6. General Plan description: Agricultural Resource
- 7. **Zoning:** Agricultural Preserve
- 8. **Description of Project:** Approval to establish a 20,000 gallon/year winery, including 25% (5,000 gallons/year) of the production capacity used for 2 custom producers (crushing, fermentation, barrel ageing, bottling, retail wine sales, tasting, distribution/shipping) and for the the construction of a 3,043 sq. ft. winery structure. The winery will be 35 feet in height with wood frame and plaster siding, ceiling-mounted porch lights, and low level security lighting. Operations will occur six days a week 7:00 AM to 5:00 PM with 2 full-time employees and two part-time employees and will include retail wine sales, tours and tasting by appointment (25 visitors on the busiest day); and marketing activities; (proposed monthly events with a maximum 30 persons, and two events with a maximum of 100 persons) A business identification sign is proposed at the driveway entrance and two off-site directional signs are to be located about 500 feet from the winery on Tubbs Lane. The project is located over several parcels, and the lot lines will be adjusted to result in a 19.84 acre parcel where all winery facilities will be located. A new well will be drilled for the new parcel, but during interim, water will be provided from an existing well on an adjacent parcel. Projected winery water use will be .53 acre feet per year.
- 9. **Surrounding land uses and setting:** Nearly level area (slopes typically 0-2%) elevation 390 MSL, located ½ mile northwest of the City of Calistoga. Foundation materials consist of Quaternary fluvial deposits overlain by Class II soils of the Bale loam and Bale clay loam series. Runoff is slow and the hazard of erosion is slight. A portion of the property lies within the designated 100 year floodplain of the Napa River, but the site of the proposed improvements is outside the floodplain. Vegetative cover is primarily vineyards with tree windbreak plantings along the property lines. The existing land use is agriculture, an 18 acre vineyard. Surrounding land uses include agriculture, residential and commercial activities (market, and tourist attraction-Old Faithful Geyser). The closest residences are approximately 500 feet (to east) and 700 feet (to south) from the winery site. There is geothermal activity in the area, with noted steaming areas and an active geyser to the north of the property.
- 10. Other agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement).

Alcohol Control Board

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

JURISDICTIONAL BACKGROUND: Public Plans and Policies

Based on an initial review, the following findings have been made for the purpose of the Initial Study and do not constitute a final finding by the County in regard to the question of consistency.

	YES	NO	N/A
Is the project consistent with:			
a) Regional and Subregional Plans and Policies?			\boxtimes
b) LAFCOM Plans and Policies?		\Box	$\overline{\boxtimes}$
c) The County General Plan?	$\overline{\boxtimes}$		
d) Appropriate City General Plans?			$\overline{\boxtimes}$
e) Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals of the	_	_	
Community?			\boxtimes
f) Pertinent Zoning?	\overline{oxtime}		\Box

Initial Study	
Calistoga Cellars/Calistoga	Partners
Page 2	

ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The "Pot	enviro	nmental factors checked below wou Significant Impact" as indicated by	uld be p	potentially affected by the	is project, invo	lving a	t least one imp	act that is a	
	\square	Aesthetics		Agriculture Resources			Air Quality		
		Biological Resources	Ø	Cultural Resources			Geology / Soils	i.	
		Hazards & Hazardous Materials		Hydrology / Water Quali	ity		Land Use/Plan		
		Mineral Resources	\square	Noise			Population/Hou	ısing	
		Public Services		Recreation			Transportation/	- Maria	
		Utilities / Service Systems		Mandatory Findings of Si	gnificance	_			
	e basis	ATION. (by the Lead Agency) s of this initial evaluation: that the proposed project COULD	NOT I	have a significant effect	on the enviro	nment,	and a NEGAT	IVE DECLAR	ATION
Ø	I find	e prepared. that although the proposed project s case because revisions in the p	could	have a significant effect	on the enviro	nment	there will not b	e a significant	t effect
	NEGA	TIVE DECLARATION will be prepa	ared.				•		
	is requ	that the proposed project MAY have uired.							
	standa An EN I find t effects and (b	that the proposed project MAY have a vironment, but at least one effect ards, and 2) has been addressed by IVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT that although the proposed project is (a) have been analyzed adequated) have been avoided or mitigated ion measures that are imposed upon	i) nas y mitig lis req could ely in a	been adequately analy: ation measures based ouired, but it must analyz have a significant effect in earlier EIR or NEGAT pant to that earlier EIR	zed in an earl on the earlier a e only the effe t on the enviro IVE DECLAR or NEGATIVE	ier doc analysis ects tha onment ATION	ument pursuants as described tremainto be a because all pursuant to an	t to applicable on attached s addressed. otentially sign	legal heets.
Signati	UV ure	Wyntress Chatman Balcher, Plann	ing Cor	nsultant				Date	
		E	NVIRO	NMENTAL CHECKLIST	FORM				
l.	AEST	THETICS. Would the project:			Potentially Significant Impact	S Wit	ess Than ignificant h Mitigation corporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impa
	a) H	lave a substantial adverse effect or	n a scei	nic vista?				Ø	

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	b.)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?			☑	
	c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			☑	
	d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?		Ø		
of the a style lightin low.	3,04 e fred g, in There	sussion: The project site is not located on a scenic vista and would stand sq.ft. winery building will be a 35-foot, two story wood frame structured quently used, incorporating a covered porch. The winery will utilize troducing a new source of light into the area affecting nighttime view efore, the exterior lights should be limited to the amount necessary fund and shielded downward, to reduce any significant adverse impa	ture with plaster low voltage grou s in the area, w or security and	siding and a standi und, safety, accent li here the residential safety and that such	ng seam metal ighting and ext density is relat	l roof in erior ively
l.	age	RICULTURE RESOURCES. In determining impacts to agricultu- encies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and ot. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts	Site Assessmen	nt Model (1997) pre	pared by the (
	a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Important (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?			Ø	
	b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?			Ø	
	c)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversation of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?			☑	
Impact process	Disc ing o	ussion: The project is not currently under a Williamson Act Contract fagricultural produce, therefore supporting continuation of agricultu	ct. The project pre.	proposes to establis	h a facility for t	he
III.		QUALITY. Where available, the significance criteria established trol district may be relied upon to make the following determinations			gement or air	pollution
	a)	Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				Ø
	b)	Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			团	
	c)	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?			Ø	

Initial Study Calistoga Cellars/Calistoga Partners Page 4

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact				
	d)	Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			Ż					
	e)	Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?			Ø					
concerr	Impact Discussion: The uses proposed do not include activities that would be expected to generate odors or air emissions, reducing any concern to a level of insignificance. Compliance with County health regulations regarding the storage of all solid waste from the facility will ensure that potential odors will not be generated, reducing odor concerns to a level of insignificance.									
I.	BIC	LOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:								
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?			Ø					
	b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?			Ø					
	c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, Coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?			Ø					
	d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			Ø					
	e)	e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			Ø					
	f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?			Ø					
Environ	ment	ussion: The site of the proposed structure is not located within an a al Resource Maps. The project is located outside the 100 year flood t. Therefore no adverse biological impacts would be expected to re	plain of the Naj	pa River and no wetl						
I.	CUI	TURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:								
	a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?			☑					

				Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	b)		use a substantial adverse change in the significance of an chaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5?			Ø	
	c)		rectly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource site or unique geological feature?		团		
	d)		sturb any human remains, including those interred outside of mal cemeteries?			Ø	
County materia	Env	ironn e ex _l	sion: The site of the proposed winery is not located within an are mental Sensitivity Maps, however, there are areas of sensitivity pected, there is still a potential and the discovery of any artifactuated. (see environmental mitigation measure).	nearby. Althoug	h no adverse impac	t to archaeolog	ical
VI.	GE	OLC	DGY AND SOILS. Would the project:				
	a)		pose people or structures to potential substantial adverse ects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
		i)	Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			Ø	
		ii)	Strong seismic ground shaking?			Ø	
		iii)	Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?			\square	
		iv)	Landslides?			\square	
	a)	Re	sult in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
	b)	wo	located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that uld become unstable as a result of the project, and tentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, osidence, liquefaction or collapse?			☑	
	d)		Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to or property?			Ø	
Sensitiv Althoug	vity M h thi:	laps. s is a	ion: The project is not located within an area designated as ser. The property is located on slopes that are less than 5% and n area of interesting geological features, such as hot springs armental impacts from geological hazards is expected.	o significant am	ounts of soil erosion	would be expe	ected.
VII.	НА	ZAR	DS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				
	a)	thro	eate a significant hazard to the public or the environment bugh the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous terials?			Ø	

			Potentially Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			☑	
	c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			Ø	
	d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			Ø	
	e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			Ø	
	f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				☑
	g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				Ø
	h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wild-land fires, including where wild-lands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wild-lands?			Ø	
minor ite	ms ı	ussion: The project will not require the utilization or transport signiused in normal winery production. Therefore, no adverse environmes not located within an airport area nor located in a high fire hazard	ental impact for l			
VIII.	HYI	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
	a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			Ø	
	b)	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?			Ø	
	c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			Ø	

Less Than

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?			☑	
	e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			Ø	
	f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			\square	
	g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				⊡
	h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				Ø
	i) ł	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				
	j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				Ø
winery w The proj winery, v project is prepared use) for v property comply v onto adja	rill be ect in whice s now if for wine with ecer	cussion: The southeasterly portion of the property is located within the placed a substantial distance from the flood hazard area and no a includes a waste disposal feasibility study which found that an enging the will be constructed in compliance with County regulations, reducing a located within an area designated as a water shortage area. The put the project estimates that there will be an water use increase on the erry operations, which is within the established threshold for the proposition on lands that slope less than 2% and all improvement plans of Public Works regulations that drainage shall be accomplished to avoid the properties, reducing drainage concerns to a level of non-significant that the properties is a level of non-significant that the properties is reducing drainage concerns to a level of non-significant that the properties is reducing the properties and the properties is reducing the properties and the properties is reducing the properties are the properties are the properties and the properties are the properties are the properties are the properties are the properties and the properties are the	Iterations to the leered waste dispose or ojected water of property of .53 erty and not export the winery thought the diversion	river or vegetation a posal system will be all concerns to a leve use outlined in the Pacre-feet (3% over lected to affect adjact introduce impervious	pproval is proper required for the first proper to the first proper to the provided the first proper to the existing virtuent wells. The pus surfaces metals are provided to the first provided the first p	oosed. ne nce. The tudy neyard e nust
IX.	LAI	ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:				
	a) b)	Physically divide an established community? Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?				V
	c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				☑

Impact Discussion: The project is a use that is permitted within the zoning district, consistent with the goals and standards of the County General Plan, and is not located within an area delineated as environmentally sensitive on the County Environmental Resource Maps.

X. MINERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:

IX.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				Ø
	b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				Ø
i mpac resour		cussion: The project is not located within an area designated as a naps.	nineral resource	area on the County	's environment	al
XI.	NC	DISE. Would the project result in:				
	a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			Ø	
	b)	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			Ø	
	c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?			Ø	
	d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
	e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			0	Ø
	f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				Ø

Impact Discussion: Construction activities and operational activities may generate noise that may have an adverse significant impact on adjacent residences. The closest residence is approximately 200 feet from the site of the proposed winery. Limitation on the hours of noise-production construction activities to weekdays during daylight hours would reduce construction noise impacts to a level of insignificance. The design of the operational equipment, to be placed below grade and mufflered, would not be expected to generate significant loud noise, reducing potential adverse noise from operational activities to a level of insignificance. (see mitigation measures)

XII. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
	a)	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?			. ⊠	
	b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			☑	
	c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?			Ø	
		cussion: The proposed number of employees is not significant and any existing housing.	there is no prop	oosal in the project w	vhich would rec	uire the
XIII.	PU	IBLIC SERVICES. Would the project result in:				
	a)	Substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:			Ø	
		Fire protection?			Ø	
		Police protection?			Ø	
		Schools?			Ø	
		Parks?			Ø	
		Other public facilities?			\square	
Impact service		cussion: The project would not require the development of new pub	lic facilities nor r	result in a significant	ly higher dema	nd for
XIV.	RE	CREATION. Would the project:				
	a)	increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?				Ø
	b)	Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				Ø

Initial Study Calistoga Cellars/Calistoga Partners Page 10

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		cussion: The proposed project would not be expected to generate a error of employees involved.	significant demar	nds on recreational fa	acilities due to	the
a		or omproyeds involved.				
XV.	TR	ANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:				
	a)	Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?			Ø	
	b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?			Ø	
	c)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			Ø	
	d)	Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature, (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?			Ø	
	e)	Result in inadequate emergency access?			☒	
	f)	Result in inadequate parking capacity?			☑	
Tubbs L Toad is s deliverie weeks fo 10 visito would be Cottober construct owners a	ane straig es) w or no ers pe e exp 17, etion are r	eussion: The project has a 20-foot wide frontage on Tubbs Lane, a were 4,704 east of the State Hwy 128 intersection (7/28/99) and 4, ght and there is good sight distance from the future project driveway thich will now be processed on-site and two proposed deliveries will pon-harvest deliveries is expected. Operations will include two full-timer day, maximum of 25 visitors on the busiest day. Therefore, a tot pected, which will not be a significant impact on the traffic on the ar 2001, that based upon the number of trips estimated, a left tern lan agreement will be executed between the County and applicant to dequired to construct similar improvements or upon direction by the nificance.	384 west of the incomplete and the same cut the expected during me employees, to all of 20 vehicle to terial road. Publice on Tubbs Lane defer construction	ntersection with State irrently exported from ring harvest. One true wo part-time, with an rips during the periodic Works indicates in a thin until such time as r	e Hwy 29 (8/2/n this site (22 uck delivery every expected aver delivery of 7:00 am to 5:00 their memo of their mem	rery two rage of 00pm f deferred operty
KVI.	UTI	LITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:				
	a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?			Ø	
	b)	Require or result in the construction of a new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			Ø	
	c)	Require or result in the construction of a new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			Ø	

	d)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
	u)	project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?			Ø	
	e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?			☑	
	f) aco g)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to commodate the project's solid waste disposal needs? Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and			Ø	
	3,	regulations related to solid waste?				
XVII.	MA	ANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE				
XVII.	M .A	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a				
		rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			Ø	
	b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			Ø	
	c)	Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			Ø	

Discussion:. The project is not located within an area designated as an environmentally sensitive area on the County Environmental Resource Maps regarding geology, biology, or historic/cultural resources. The project will not generate significant amounts of traffic (less than 1% increase on the busiest day) on Tubbs Lane, an arterial county road providing access to properties between the two state highways providing access between Napa, Sonoma and Lake Counties. Grapes for the winery will be primarily grown on-site, reducing grape transit from the property, however, custom crush activities with deliveries from adjacent vineyards off-set the reduction in trips. New night-time light will be introduced into the area but not enough to result in substantial adverse effects on residents since proposed lighting will be located low to ground and directed downward.

PROJECT REVISION STATEMENT

I hereby revise my proposal to establish a 20,000 gallon/year winery, including 25% (5,000 gallons/year) o the production capacity used for custom production (crushing, fermentation, barrel aging, bottling, retail win sales, tasting, distribution/shipping) for 2 entities; the construction of a 3,043 sq. ft. winery structure maximum 35 feet in height; 2 full-time employees; retail wine sales, tours and tasting by appointment marketing activities, a business identification sign and two off-site directional signs on Assessor's Parcels APN: 17-210-008,018, 013, 017 (Use Permit Request # Request #01074-UP to include the measures specified below:

AESTHETICS (light glare)

1. All exterior lighting shall be shielded and directed downward, shall be located as low to the ground as possible, and shall be the minimum necessary for security, safety or operations, incorporating the use of motion detection lighting to the greatest extent possible.

CULTURAL RESOURCES

2. The applicant/property owner and their successor's-in-interest shall see that all work is halted within 35 feet of the find if concentrated artifactual materials (i.e., worked stone, bone, charcoal, human remains, etc) are encountered during any ground disturbing activities associated with this project. Said work shall remain stopped until a qualified professional archaeologist (on the Society of Professional Archeologist's (SOPA) list) has evaluated the find, developed any mitigation measures needed, prepared a report of his findings, and filed said report with the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department. All mitigation measures suggested shall, with the concurrence of the Director of the Planning Department, be implemented. All contractors doing work on this project shall be informed of, and bound by contract to honor, the requirement to stop work immediately if artifactual materials are encountered.

NOISE

- 3. All construction activities which would utilize vehicles and motorized equipment shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 AM and 5:00 PM, unless written waiver of this requirement by the inhabitants of every residence within 1300 feet shall be submitted to the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department.
- 4. All construction equipment shall be properly and adequately mufflered or acoustically shielded at all times. All noisy stationary construction equipment shall be placed as distant as possible from nearby residences

I further commit myself, and by recording this statement, my successors-in-interest, to communicate the above specified requirements to any future purchasers or successors to interest in the property prior to transfer of title.

I understand and explicitly agree that with respect to all deadlines of the Permit Streamlining Act, Government Code Sections 63920 - 63962, and applicable deadlines of CEQA, that the date of receipt of an executed copy of these revisions constitutes a new date on which the application herein modified is considered "complete."

Applicant	date	Property Owner	date