## GARY LIEBERSTEIN

District Attorney, County of Napa
By: RICHARD A. ZIMMERMAN, CA State Bar No 197862
Deputy District Attorney
931 Parkway Mall
Napa CA 94559-2647
Phone: (707) 253-4211
Telefax: (707) 253-4041


PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, $\mid$ Case No. NSC 26-39559
Plaintiff,
vs.
FINAL JUDGMENT
ANTHONY PEJU, et al.
Defendants

## SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF NAPA

Plaintiff appears through its attorneys, Gary A. Lieberstein, District Attorney of Napa County, by Richard A. Zimmerman, Deputy District Attorney, and defendants appear through their attorneys, the Law Offices of James R. Rose by James R. Rose.

The Court finds that the parties hereto have stipulated and consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without the taking of proof, without this Final Judgment constituting evidence or an admission by defendants regarding any issue of fact alleged in the complaint, and without defendants admitting any liability herein. The Court having considered the matter and the pleadings, and good cause appearing therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED as follows:

1. The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto.
2. Unless designated otherwise herein, the injunctive portions of this Judgment are applicable to defendants Anthony Peju, individually, as trustee of the Peju Family Revocable Trust Dated October 9, 1993, and as president and a director of Peju Province Corporation; Herta Peju, individually and as trustee of the Peju Family Revocable Trust Dated October 9, 1993; Persephone Ranch LP, a California limited partnership; Peju Provence Corporation, a California corporation and general partner of Persephone Ranch LP ( hereinafter "DEFENDANTS"), and also to each of their agents, servants, employees, representatives, partners,
successors and assigns, and to all persons, employees, and other entities who are acting in concert or participating with DEFENDANTS, with actual or constructive notice of this Judgment.
3. Defendants Anthony Peju, individually, as trustee of the Peju Family Revocable Trust Dated October 9, 1993, and as president and a director of Peju Province Corporation; Herta Peju, individually and as trustee of the Peju Family Revocable Trust Dated October 9, 1993; Persephone Ranch LP, a California limited partnership; and Peju Provence Corporation, a California corporation and general partner of Persephone Ranch LP, are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS." Certain mandatory injunctive portions of this Judgment designated below are applicable specifically to the POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS, and apply to the real estate parcels identified as Napa County Assessor's Parcel Numbers 018-090-078-000 and 018-090-049-000, hereinafter referred to as the "POPE VALLEY PROPERTIES."
4. Defendants Anthony Peju and Herta Peju, individually and as trustees of the Peju Family Revocable Trust Dated October 6, 1993 are hereinafter referred to collectively as the "DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS." Certain mandatory injunctive portions of this Judgment designated below are applicable specifically to the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS and apply to the real estate parcel identified as Napa County Assessor's Parcel Number 018-060-068-000, hereinafter referred to as the "DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY."

## I. PROHIBITORY INJUNCTION APPLICABLETO ALL DEFENDANTS:

5. Pursuant to Fish and Game Code sections 1615 and 5650.1, Business and Professions Code section 17203, Civil Code section 731, Civil Code section 3494 and Government Code section 2652, DEFENDANTS are hereby permanently prohibited, enjoined and restrained from doing, directly or indirectly, any or all of the following:
A.) Engaging in any activity that would divert or obstruct the natural flow of, or substantially change the bed, channel or bank of any river, stream or lake designated by the Department of Fish and Game unless defendant first notifies the Department, obtains an agreement pursuant to Fish and Game Code section 1602 et seq. from the Department of Fish and Game, and does not exceed the scope of, or fail to abide by and follow each and
every provision and condition of said agreement;
B.) Depositing in, permitting to pass into, or placing where it can pass into any waters of the State of Califomia, any sediment, soil, grading spoils, or any other substance or material arising from grading, earth moving or construction activities that is deleterious to fish, plant life or bird life in violation of Fish and Game Code section 5650;
C.) Engaging in any activity within Napa County for which a soil erosion control plan pursuant to Napa County Code chapter 18.108, Conservation Regulations, would be required, including but not limited to engaging in vegetation removal, earthmoving activity or grading within an erosion hazard area, without first obtaining an approved soil erosion control plan from the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning pursuant to the requirements of Napa County Code chapter 18.108, Conservation Regulations;
D.) Engaging in any activity within Napa County for which a grading permit would be required without having first applied for and receiving an approved grading permit from the Napa County Department of Public Works pursuant to the requirements of Napa County Code chapter 15.08, Building and Excavations, Article II, Excavations Not Requiring a Building Permit;
E.) Engaging in any activity within Napa County that would contravene the floodplain restrictions placed on the real estate parcel identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 018-090-078-000 by the covenant in Napa County Recorder's Parcel Map No. 7 PM 54/55, or would alter the hydraulic characteristics of the special flood hazard area associated with the parcel without first having obtained a floodplain permit pursuant to Napa County Code section 16.04.520; and
F.) Engaging in any new construction, earthmoving activity, grading or removal of vegetation or agricultural uses within a stream setback pursuant to Napa County Code section 18.108.025, General provisions--Intermittent/perennial streams, unless an exception has been granted through a use permit pursuant to Napa County Code section 18.108.040.

## 11. MANDATORY INJUNCTIVE CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THE POPE VALLCY DEFENDANTS

6. Pursuant to Fish and Game Code sections 1615 and 5650.1, Business and Professions Code section 17203, Civil Code section 731, Civil Code section 3494 and Government Code section 2652, the POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS are hereby ordered and mandated to do all of the following:
A.) Comply with the terms of State Water Resources Board License for Diversion and Use of Water No. 11940 and any new or revised water rights license that POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS may acquire for the POPE VALLEY PROPERTIES. The POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS shall not exceed the maximum total water storage capacity of 49 acre feet or use more than 49 acre feet of water per annum from the reservoir identified in Exhibit (1) hetero as the "Lower Reservoir" allowed pursuant to State Water Resources Board Water Appropriation License no. 11940 as modified on March 5, 2002, on the real estate parcel identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 018-090-078-000. Any inflow of water exceeding a total storage capacity of 49 acre feet shall be expeditiously discharged into the unnamed tributary connecting to Burton Creek either across the spillway or through bypass pumping in a manner that does not lead to increased sedimentation, bank instability, or other down stream impacts. POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS shall make and retain records of the excess quantities of water detained and subsequently discharged and shall disclose these quantities on the annual report to the watermaster required by the terms of State Water Resources Control Board License No. 11940 for Diversion and Use of Water pursuant to Condition 12 of the March 10, 1995 Settlement Agreement in the Sacramento Superior Court Case identified as Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 2565. If the POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS subsequently obtain from the State Water Resources Control Board a new or modified water diversion license for a greater storage capacity for, or increased annual water use from the Lower Reservoir, the requirements of this section shall be modified to comport with the new or modified license specifications for storage capacity and use.
B.) The POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS shall within 6 months of entry of this Judgment purchase an easement for development and use rights to three (3) acres of wetlands within the Burton Creek watershed to mitigate the loss of wetlands on the eastern inflow tributary to the Lower Reservoir. A recorded easement assigned to the Napa Land Trust or other mutually acceptable trustee shall permanently restrict the development and use rights for such wetlands. A copy of the easement filed with the Napa County Recorder's Office shall be provided to the Napa County District Attorney's Office within 7 days of filing.
C.) Within 90 days of entry of this judgment, the POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS shall submit a plan prepared by a professional engineer and any other necessary professional environmental consultants to remove the existing culvert bridge across Burton Creek on the real estate parcel identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 018-090-078-000, replace the bridge with a single span bridge designed with abutments outside of the bed, bank and channel of Burton Creek, and restore the bed, bank, channel and riparian vegetation of the area of Burton Creek affected by the bridge replacement project. This plan shall be submitted to the Department of Fish and Game and to the Napa County Department of Public Works, the latter in the capacity of engineer for the Napa County Flood Control District, for review and approval. The bridge replacement shall be completed by October 15, 2009.
G.) Within 90 days of entry of judgment, the POPE VALLEY DEFENDANTS shall submit a plan to the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning to remove or realign the existing access roads, agricultural roads, vineyard avenues, irrigation equipment, and vineyard plantings and trellises and any associated improvements shown on Exhibit2 hereto as encroachments within the stream setback requirements of Napa County Code section 18.108.025 along Burton Creek and the unnamed tributaries to Burton Creek on the real estate parcels identified as Assessors Parcel Numbers 018-090-078-000 and 018-090-049-000, the POPE VALLEY PROPERTIES. Any encroaching road or vineyard avenue and any associated

watercourses and wetlands in a report with accompanying maps and aerial photographs. Existing maps and photographs may be used as part of the report whenever deemed appropriate by the retained consultant. Any report required pursuant to this subparagraph shall be prepared through the use of appropriate narrative, topographical maps and/or aerial photographs indicating the location of each and every such watercourse and wetland and such report shall be submitted to the cognizant lead agency and shall be included in any CEQA review required for any discretionary permit for earthmoving, grading, construction, water rights or storage, or vineyard development on the parcel.

## III. MANDATORY INJUNCTIVE CONDITIONS APPLICABLE TO THIE DUTCH HENRY DESENDANTS

7. Pursuant to Fish and Game Code sections 1615 and 5650.1, Business and Professions Code section 17203, Civil Code section 731, Civil Code section 3494 and Government Code section 2652, the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS are hereby ordered and mandated to do all of the following:
A.) Within 90 days of the entry of this judgment, submit for approval to the Conservation Division of the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning the following remediation plan for the southern vineyard area:
i.) A plan to prevent erosion and sedimentation of the bed bank and channel of Unnamed Tributary No. 1 to Dutch Henry Creek, shown on Exhibit 3 hereto, that the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS altered during installation of the southern vineyard on the DUTCH HENRY CANYON PROPERTY. At least five feet of a permanently vegetated set-back, located wholly on the subject property, shall be maintained between the bank of Unnamed Tributary No. 1 and any road, vineyard avenue or turnaround.
ii.) A plan to reroute the course of the watercourse designated as Unnamed Tributary No. 2, shown on Exhibit 3 hereto, on the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY starting at the upstream opening of the existing $24^{\prime \prime}$ culvert. The flow-diffusion basin shall be removed and the existing culvert through which this tributary is directed shall be
permanently sealed. The watercourse shall be re-routed to cross the lower vineyard area such that it takes a south-westerly direction from the existing flow-diffusion basin and around the perimeter of the existing vineyard. Such watercourse shall be designed and installed to have sufficient capacity to handle maximum downstream flows calculated for the watershed of Unnamed Tributary No. 2 and shall connect with and be continuous with Unnamed Tributary No. 1 along the southern edge of the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY. There shall be a minimum ten (10) foot setback of the watercourse from the vineyard or any vineyard avenue or turnaround, located wholly on the subject property. There shall be no in-channel road crossing of the rerouted watercourse. Any access road to the west / north-west portion of the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY shall be over a culvert crossing. This setback shall be seeded with a permanent ground cover suitable for erosion control and shall be permanently maintained. The watercourse shall be designed and installed to minimize any sedimentation arising from water flow into and through it, e.g. planted or seeded with appropriate plant species. Temporary erosion control measures shall be implemented within the channel of Unnamed Tributary No. 2 to minimize sedimentation from downstream flows.
iii.) A plan to remove or realign the existing access vineyard avenues and vineyard plantings and trellises and associated improvements shown on Exhibit 2 hereto as encroachments within the stream setbacks adjacent to Dutch Henry Creek pursuant to the provisions of Napa County Code section 18.108.025. The plan and its review shall comport with the requirements of Paragraph 8 below.
iv.) A plan for the permanent implement erosion control measures on the planted southern vineyard area and for any other disturbed areas on the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY in accordance with the requirements of Napa County Code section 18.108.080 (A) and (B) and 18.108.025 on the existing vineyard and disturbed areas on the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY.
B.) All required remediation and permanent erosion control measures required by
subparagraph 7(A) above shall be implemented and completely installed by October 15 , 2009 and permanently maintained pursuant to the requirements of Napa County Code section 18.108.135, Oversight and Operation. All encroachments within the applicable stream set-back requirements of Napa County Code section 18.108 .025 shall be removed and the set-back restored and re-vegetated by October 15, 2009. The plan to restore the creek setback encroachments and its review shall comport with the requirements of Paragraphs 8 and 9.
C.) Within 60 days of the entry of this Judgment, the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS may apply for a use permit exception for any existing stream set-back encroachment on the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY pursuant to Napa County Code section 18.108.040. If application is not timely made, the provisions of subparagraph 7(B) apply. Any application for a use permit must be complete and competent; if the application is found to be incomplete, any corrections or revisions or additional information shall be made or provided in accordance with the timing and procedures in Paragraphs 8 and 9 below. The burden of proof that a use permit exception is appropriate rests on the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS. All encroachments within the applicable stream set-back requirements of Napa County Code section 18.108 .025 not subject to an approved use permit exception or a pending application filed pursuant to Napa County Code section 18.108 .040 within 60 days of the entry of this judgment shall be removed and the set-back restored and revegetated by October 15, 2009.
D.) Unnamed Watercourses No. 1 and No. 2 shall be considered under the jurisdiction of the Department of Fish and Game and any further modification after the remediation measures required by subparagraph 7(A), above, shall require a streambed alteration agreement from the Department of Fish and Game.
E.) Prior to any new earthmoving, vineyard development or new land-clearing activity on the DUTCH HENRY PROPERTY, including any planting in any previously cleared and disturbed areas, but not planted area, the DUTCH HENRY DEFENDANTS shall apply for and obtain from the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and

Planning an approved agricultural erosion control plan pursuant to the Napa County Code chapter 18.108, Conservation Regulations. The approval of any such plan requires an environmental review pursuant to the Califormia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA.)

## IV. PLAN PREPARATION SUBMISSION AND APPROVAL

8. The following requirements apply to the preparation of plans required by this Judgment:
A) Any watercourse and/or creek set-back restoration and re-vegetation plan required by this Judgment shall include the following provisions:
(i) The Restoration Plan shall be prepared by a qualified professional with restoration experience and shall include a native species planting program that matches the existing native flora of upstream area helps stabilize the banks to prevent undercuts, slides, erosion, and sedimentation and provides a riparian corridor which can be utilized by terrestrial species;
(ii) The Restoration Plan shall provide for repairing slide areas and the undercuts and erosion of stream banks by implementing a combination of vegetation and erosion control matting, and by repairs using native rock armoring, sloping back of the stream banks and/or stream barbs to train and divert energy away from stream banks
(ii) The Restoration Plan shall also include an implementation schedule, planting density/quantity, seeding rate, plant sizes, planting details, success criteria, and monitoring and maintenance narrative/details
(B) Any erosion control plan required by this judgment shall include the following provisions:
(i) The plan shall be prepared by a licensed civil engineer and submitted to the Conservation Division of the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning. The plan shall provide for erosion control measures in accordance with the requirements of Napa County Code sections 18.108.080 (A) and (B), and 18.108.025. The plan shall detail a program to minimize erosion
and off-site sedimentation arising from the DEFENDANTS' prior disturbance and modification of land and the planting of vineyard through mechanical or physical methods and the installation of vegetative cover. The Implementation Plan shall ensure that any encroaching vineyard, vineyard avenue, road, irrigation equipment, or structure is removed or realigned outside of the stream setback area required by Napa County Code section 18.108 .025 and the required stream setback properly re-vegetated.
9. The following procedure shall be implemented for submission of any plan for review by any cognizant agency:
A.) Each plan prepared by DEFENDANTS' consultant(s) shall be submitted to the cognizant agency at the address listed in Paragraph 9(G), below.
B.) In the event DEFENDANTS are notified in writing by a cognizant agency that a submitted plan is incomplete, DEFENDANTS shall submit a revised plan to the Agency that addresses and cures all noted deficiencies within thirty (30) days of receipt of the deficiency notice. This process shall be repeated until DEFENDANTS receive a plan approved by the agency but in no event shall more than one hundred and twenty (120) days be allowed for submission of a complete and competent application.
C.) Upon receipt of written approval of a plan, DEFENDANTS shall cause all terms, provisions and conditions of the approved plan to be implemented, pursuant to and without significant deviation from the terms, provisions and conditions of the approved plan.
D.) DEFENDANTS shall not commence or cause to commence any activity included in a plan without written approval from the cognizant agency. No work within the bed, bank and channel of any watercourse shall occur between October 15 of any year and April 1 of the subsequent year; likewise no earthmoving activity shall occur in any erosion hazard area as defined by Napa County Code section 18.108 .030 between October 15 of any year and April 1 of the subsequent year.
E.) All activity required for the preparation and implementation of a plan shall be performed by licensed civil engineers, qualified environmental consultants, and contractors hired and retained by DEFENDANTS.
F.) DEFENDANTS shall pay the then hourly plan review rate established administratively by each agency for staff time associated with review of remediation plans submitted after entry of this Judgment. Invoices for such review costs shall be paid timely and within no later than 60 days of an invoice.
G.) Plans for review shall be submitted as follows:

To the Conservation Division of the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning:

Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning Attention; Supervising Planner - Conservation 1195 Third St., Suite 210 Napa CA 94559

To the Napa County Department of Public Works:
Napa County Department of Public Works
Attn: Supervising Civil Engineer
1195 Third St., Suite 201
Napa CA 94559.
To the Department of Fish and Game:
Department of Fish and Game
Lake and Streambed Alteration program
Bay-Delta Region
P.O. Box 47

Yountville CA 94599-0047

## V. CIVIL PENALTIES AND COSTS; PAYMENTS

10. DEFENDANTS shall pay pursuant to Fish a nd Game Code section 1615, a civil penalty of ten thousand dollars $(\$ 10,000$.) Such penalty shall be paid to the Napa County District Attomey's Office pursuant to the schedule in paragraph 15 and shall be apportioned as set forth in Fish and Game Code section 1615(f).
11. DEFENDANTS are liable for civil penalties of sixty thousand dollars $(\$ 60,000)$ pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206. In lieu of such penalties, DEFENDANTS shall pay, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, cy pres restitution of $\$ 60,000$ to the following specific environmental projects:

| Milliken Creek Flow Study |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| (Napa County Resources Conservation District) | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Napa County Wildlife Conservation Commission | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Hazardous Waste Trust Fund, Napa District Attorney's | $\$ 5,000$ |

Such restitution shall be paid pursuant to the schedule in paragraph 15 to the Napa County District Attorney's Office for distribution.
12. DEFENDANTS shall pay, pursuant to Business \& Professions Code section 17203, costs in the amount of three thousand one hundred ninety two dollars and forty eight cents $(\$ 3,192.48)$ to the Department of Fish \& Game. Such costs shall be paid pursuant to the schedule in paragraph 15 to the Napa County District Attomey's Office for distribution.
13. DEFENDANTS shall pay pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, costs in the amount of nine thousand three hundred fifty two dollars and fifty cents $(\$ 9,352.50)$ to the Conservation Division of the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning. Such costs shall be paid pursuant to the schedule in paragraph 15 to the Napa County District Attorney's Office for distribution.
14. DEFENDANTS shall pay, at the time of the filing and entry of this Judgment, pursuant to Business \& Professions Code section 17203, costs in the amount of three thousand four hundred fifty eight dollars and twenty five cents $\$ 3,458.25$ to the Napa County Department of Public Works. Such costs shall be paid pursuant to the schedule in paragraph 15 to the Napa County District Attorney's Office for distribution.
15. Civil penalties, cy pres restitution and costs for a total of eighty six thousand three dollars and twenty three cents $(\$ 86,003.23)$ are payable in this matter. A payment of seventeen thousand two hundred dollars and sixty five cents $(\$ 17,200.65)$ is payable upon entry of this judgment. The remaining sixty eight thousand eight hundred two dollars and fifty eight cents $(\$ 68,802.58)$ shall accrue interest at the legal rate of ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) per annum until paid and shall be paid in four quarterly installments of eighteen thousand two hundred eighty eight dollars and ninety six cents $(\$ 18,288.96)$ pursuant to the following schedule:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { July 1, 2009 } & \mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 2 8 8 . 9 6} \\ \text { October 1, 2009 } & \mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 2 8 8 . 9 6}\end{array}$

All interest accrued shall be allocated as cy pres restitution to the Napa County Wildife Conservation Commission.

If any installment payment payable has not been paid within seven (7) calendar days after the payment due date by presentation of payment at the Napa County District Attorney's Office; all unpaid installments shall become immediately due and payable. This provision is selfexecuting and plaintiff may enter all unpaid installments as an abstract of judgment against DEFENDANTS and may record a judgment lien for such unpaid installments against DEFENDANTS.

## VI. JURISDICTION, EFFECT AND NOTICE

16. NOTICE: Any notice or report required to be submitted by DEFENDANTS to the Napa County District Attomey's Office shall be submitted by first class mail, courier delivery, or personal delivery to:

Consumer / Environmental Protection Division<br>Napa County District Attorney's Office<br>Re: People v. Peju et aI, NSC 26-39559<br>931 Parkway Mall<br>Napa CA 94559-2647

17. The remediation actions undertaken by or required of the DEFENDANTS pursuant to the Judgment are not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and to be categorically exempt from the requirements of the CEQA. (Public Resources Code, section 2100 et seq.) pursuant to the Califormia Code of Regulations, title 14, division 6, chapter 3 ("CEQA Guidelines"), sections 15300 et. seq., including, but not limited to, section 15321 (Enforcement Actions) and section 15333 (Small Habitat Restoration Project).
18. Jurisdiction is retained for the purposes of enabling any party to this Final Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such order or directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction of or carrying out of this Final Judgment, for the modification or termination of
any of the injunctive provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance herewith, or for the punishment of violations hereunder.
19. This Final Judgment shall take effect immediately upon entry thereof.


FRANCISCA P. TISHER
Judge of the Superior Court







