#### **COUNTY OF NAPA**

CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1195 THIRD ST., SUITE 210 NAPA, CA 94559 (707) 253-4416

#### Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration

- Project Title: Greenwood Commerce Center, Use Permit (P08-00312-UP) and Parcel Map (P08-00313-PM)
- 2. Property Owner(s): Napa Gateway Holdings, LLCs and Napa 34 Holdings LLC
- 3. Napa County contact person and phone number: Sean Trippi, Principal Planner, 253-4417, strippi@co.napa.ca.us.
- 4. **Project location and APN:** Located on a 18.72 acre property plus a 0.57 acre portion of a 33.85 acre property on the southwest corner of Airport Boulevard and the Devlin Road terminus within an Industrial Park: Airport Compatibility (IP:AC) zoning district. APN: 057-210-055 & 056 (portion). Napa.
- 5. **Project Sponsor's Name and Address**: Napa Gateway Holdings, LLC, 2841 Sunrise Blvd., Suite 200, Gold River CA 95670 (Kristen Pigman)
- 6. **Hazardous Waste Sites:** The project is not included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5.

#### 7. Project Description:

Approval of a Use Permit to construct three concrete tilt-up industrial buildings totaling 374,926 square feet of floor area for flexible warehousing/distribution and light industrial uses with ancillary office areas. Approximately 92% percent of the total floor area (344,512 sq. ft.) would be utilized by warehouse/distribution and light industrial uses with the remaining 8% of the total floor area used for office functions (30,414 sq. ft.). Access would be provided from new driveways on Airport Boulevard, opposite Gateway Drive, and Devlin Road which will be extended from its terminus at Airport Boulevard to connect with the existing leg of Devlin at the south end of the project site. Parking for 204 vehicles is provided on-site. An additional 62 on-site parking spaces could be provided if needed for subsequent tenant mix. Landscaping and a comprehensive sign plan are also included with the proposal. The project will connect to municipal water and sewer services provided by the City of American Canyon and Napa Sanitation District, respectively. The request also includes a tentative parcel map to subdivide the project site into four (4) parcels ranging in size from 0.62 to 8.90 acres. A parcel will be created for each building with the fourth parcel being common area including the parking areas. A lot line adjustment is proposed with the property to the east, subsequent to project approval, to accommodate the right-of-way and alignment for the Devlin Road extension.

#### PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION:

The Conservation, Development and Planning Director of Napa County has tentatively determined that the following project would not have a significant effect on the environment and the County intends to adopt a **mitigated negative declaration**. Documentation supporting this determination is contained in the attached Initial Study Checklist and is available for inspection at the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department Office, 1195 Third St., Room 210, Napa, California 94559 between the hours of 8:00 AM and 4:45 PM Monday through Friday (except holidays).

Sh JF

DATE: September 9, 2008

WRITTEN COMMENT PERIOD: September 12, 2008 to October 13, 2008

Please send written comments to the attention of Sean Trippi at 1195 Third St., Room 210, Napa, California 94559, or via e-mail to <a href="mailto:strippi@co.napa.ca.us">strippi@co.napa.ca.us</a>. A public hearing on this project is tentatively scheduled for the Napa County Planning Commission on Wednesday, October 15, 2008. You may confirm the date and time of this hearing by calling (707) 253-4416.

# COUNTY OF NAPA CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1195 THIRD ST., SUITE 210 NAPA, CA 94559 (707) 253-4416

# Initial Study Checklist (reference CEQA, Appendix G)

- Project Title: Greenwood Commerce Center, Use Permit (P08-00312-UP) and Parcel Map (P08-00313-PM)
- 2. Property Owner(s): Napa Gateway Holdings, LLC and Napa 34 Holdings LLC
- 3. Napa County contact person and phone number: Sean Trippi, Principal Planner, 253-4417, strippi@co.napa.ca.us.
- 4. Project location and APN: Located on a 18.72 acre property plus a 0.57 acre portion of a 33.85 acre property on the southwest corner of Airport Boulevard and the Devlin Road terminus within an Industrial Park: Airport Compatibility (IP:AC) zoning district. APN: 057-210-055 & 056 (portion). Napa.
- Project Sponsor's Name and Address: Napa Gateway Holdiongs, LLC, 2841 Sunrise Blvd., Suite 200, Gold River CA 95670 (Kristen Pigman)
- 6. General Plan description: Industrial
- 7. Zoning: Industrial Park: Airport Compatibility (IP:AC) and Industrial: Airport Compatibility (I:AC)
- 8. Project Description:

Approval of a Use Permit to construct three concrete tilt-up industrial buildings totaling 374,926 square feet of floor area for flexible warehousing/distribution and light industrial uses with ancillary office areas. Approximately 92% percent of the total floor area (344,512 sq. ft.) would be utilized by warehouse/distribution and light industrial uses with the remaining 8% of the total floor area used for office functions (30,414 sq. ft.). Access would be provided from new driveways on Airport Boulevard, opposite Gateway Drive, and Devlin Road which will be extended from its terminus at Airport Boulevard to connect with the existing leg of Devlin at the south end of the project site. Parking for 204 vehicles is provided on-site. An additional 62 on-site parking spaces could be provided if needed for subsequent tenant mix. Landscaping and a comprehensive sign plan are also included with the proposal. The project will connect to municipal water and sewer services provided by the City of American Canyon and Napa Sanitation District, respectively. The request also includes a tentative parcel map to subdivide the project site into four (4) parcels ranging in size from 0.62 to 8.90 acres. A parcel will be created for each building with the fourth parcel being common area including the parking areas. A lot line adjustment is proposed with the property to the east, subsequent to project approval, to accommodate the right-of-way and alignment for the Devlin Road extension.

Exterior building materials consist primarily of concrete tilt-up wall panels with multi-colored textured finishes. The building entries include stone veneer wall panels with painted steel accent strips that wrap the outside corners of the stone panels, glass office fronts with ribbed metal wall panels, and metal shed roofs with exposed tube steel supports and wood glu-lam beams. Tenant signs are shown above the office fronts. All buildings include depressed truck docks, at grade level roll-up doors and man doors, and faux window areas. All buildings are single-story. Building's A and B have 34-foot high parapet walls with 40-foot high stone veneer entry feature walls. Building C has 28-foot high parapet walls and a 36-foot high stone veneer entry wall.

Building A, the largest building with 234,223 sq. ft. of floor area, is located at the rear or south portion of the site. It is designed with office front entry areas at the northwest and northeast corners of the building, both corners being visible from Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road, respectively. A faux office front is also provided at the southeast corner of the building as well. Faux window areas accented with the ribbed metal wall panels are provided at the midpoint of the north, east and west building elevations. Additional faux window areas run the length of the north building elevation between the two entry features. The south building elevation includes six man doors and backs up to existing buildings on the adjoining properties. Both the east (facing Devlin Road) and west elevations include 10 roll-up doors grouped in pairs of five in two depressed loading docks, four at grade roll-up doors and four man doors. A portion of this building has been preleased to a glass manufacturer for the storage and distribution of wine bottles.

Building B, with 119,681 sq. ft. of floor area, is situated at the corner of Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road. Building B has the most expansive entry treatment at the northeast corner of the building addressing Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road. This corner is the focal point of the project site. Additional site enhancements proposed at this corner including a 35-foot high sculpture in the shape of a wine bottle, a curved stone-clad landscape wall that includes project signage, and landscaping. The northwest corner also includes an entry treatment. The rear (south) elevation includes 12 roll-up doors in two depressed loading docks grouped in pairs of six, four at grade roll-up doors and four man doors. The north and east elevations include additional faux windows.

Building C, the smallest of the three buildings with 21,022 sq. ft. of floor area is located at the northwest corner of the property, with its entry feature dominating the north and east building elevations. All four building elevations include additional faux window areas. The rear (south) elevation includes two depressed loading docks, one at grade roll-up door and two man doors.

Access to the site will be from Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road. The applicant will be extending Devlin Road south of its current terminus at Airport Boulevard to connect with an existing leg of Devlin at the south end of the site. A left turn pocket on west bound Airport Boulevard is proposed at the new driveway on Airport Boulevard opposite Gateway Drive. Interior and perimeter landscaping will be installed throughout the project in accordance with County standards. A total of 204 parking spaces are dispersed throughout the project.

The applicant will be extending public utilities into the project site and within the right-of-way of the Devlin Road extension. Utilities extended as part of this project include but are not limited to, City of American Canyon water lines; Napa Sanitation District sewer lines; reclaimed (purple pipe) water lines; and PG&E and telephone transmission lines. The applicant will be required to dedicate all necessary easements and conveyances prior to commencing construction.

Approximately 5,600 cubic yard of fill will need to be imported to facilitate development of the proposal. An approximate 230-foot long, 10 to 12 foot high retaining wall is proposed at the southeast corner of the property. A 4 to 5 foot high berm is proposed between the retaining wall and the Devlin Road extension running from the southwest corner of the property to the south side of the new driveway on Devlin Road. A U-shaped retaining wall approximately 4 to 8 feet high is proposed on the north side of the Devlin Road driveway.

#### 9. Environmental setting and surrounding land uses:

The site is vacant containing primarily non-native grasses and has historically been used for cattle grazing. There is a cluster of four (4) Blue Gum Eucalyptus trees in the western portion of the site and a few Coast Live Oak trees and a Blue Gum tree near the southeast corner of the site. Existing elevations of the site range from ±30-feet above mean sea level (M.S.L.) in the western part of the site to ±65-feet above M.S.L. at the southeast corner of the property. A seasonal drainage swale flows east to west on the northern third of the site. The site generally drains toward the swale from the north and southeast portions of the site. The swale exits the site at a drainage culvert that runs under Airport Boulevard just south of the proposed driveway. The swale is identified as jurisdictional wetlands by the project biologist. A portion of the swale will be piped through the site. A PG&E gas line and easement run diagonally across the northeast corner of the property. The site has been designated for industrial development for over 20 years. The project site is in close proximity to the Napa County Airport, and is located with Airport Land Use Compatibility Zone D, the Common Traffic Pattern. This is an area of frequent aircraft overflight at low elevations.

On the northern boundary of the site is Airport Boulevard, which connects to the current terminus of the leg of Devlin Road between Airport Boulevard and Soscol Ferry Road. Devlin Road is planned to ultimately connect to Green Island Road, paralleling Highway 29, and serving as an internal industrial collector street intended to reduce truck and industrial traffic from Highway 29. Presently several segments of Devlin Road are not yet completed, most notably the segment south of South Kelly Road to Green Island Road, and the segment north of Tower Road crossing Fagan Creek and connecting with Airport Boulevard.

There are a variety of land uses surrounding the project site. In general, the vicinity is a developing urban area focused on industrial development. Most of the industrial development has been related to the wine industry. Specifically, to the north/northwest of the site are existing office/light industrial buildings. Northeast of the site is the Gateway hotel and retail complex (the hotel is currently under construction). West of the project site is the Sheriff's facility. East of the site is vacant property.

10. Other agencies whose approval is required: Discretionary approval required by Napa County consists of a use permit. The proposed project would also require various ministerial approvals by the County including, but not limited to building permits, encroachment permits and grading permits. Permits to connect to water and sewer utilities are required from the City of American and Napa Sanitation District, respectively. A Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) is required to meet San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board standards which is administered by the County Public Works Department. A permit from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and written notification to the California Department of Fish and Game will be required regarding alteration of the drainage swale.

#### Responsible (R) and Trustee (T) Agencies

San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board City of American Canyon Napa Sanitation District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers State Department Fish and Game (DFG) Other Agencies Contacted
City of Napa

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS AND BASIS OF CONCLUSIONS:**

The conclusions and recommendations contained herein are professional opinions derived in accordance with current standards of professional practice. They are based on a review of the Napa County Environmental Resource Maps, the other sources of information listed in the file, and the comments received, conversations with knowledgeable individuals; the preparer's personal knowledge of the area; and, where necessary, a visit to the site. For further information, see the environmental background information contained in the permanent file on this project.

On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have prepared.	e a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be
i find that although the proposed project could have	a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significan	at effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
environment, but at least one effect 1) has been ader has been addressed by mitigation measures based or REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effer I find that although the proposed project could have a been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGA	entially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the quately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2 in the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT acts that remain to be addressed. In significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have a ATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided on DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the
Signature C	$\frac{Q/10/08}{Date}$
Sean Trippi, Principal Planner	Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST FORM**

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No impact		
1.	AE	STHETICS. Would the project:		·	•			
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				$\boxtimes$		
	b)	Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?		П	П	$\boxtimes$		
	c)	Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			$\boxtimes$			
	d)	Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			$\boxtimes$			
Discu	ssion:	·						
a/b.	rock o	proposed project would not be located within an area which would damage putcroppings, or historic buildings. The proposed project site is currently a not visible from a designated scenic highway or any scenic routes.	any known scenic vacant and located	vista, or damage s within a developir	cenic resource ng industrial pa	es, trees, ark. The		
c.	The project is located within a fairly developed portion of the Napa County Airport Industrial Area Specific Plan (AIASP) that allows a mix of industrial developments. The building is located on the southwest corner of Airport Boulevard and the Devlin Road extension. The street facing building elevations include tenant storefronts, vertical elements that extend above the parapet and standing seam metal shed roofs over the tenant entry doors. The loading areas for the buildings are generally located at the rear of the buildings, and would not be visible from the street. Building A has loading areas that face Devlin Road (once it's extended) that will be screened by dense landscaping and the grade separation between Devlin Road and the project site. A 35-foot tall metal wine bottle is proposed at the corner of Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road. The wine bottle is opened framed and would not block views of any surrounding natural or man-made features. The overall design is equivalent to other similar industrial projects approved and constructed within the specific plan boundaries, and meets the minimum design requirements for the specific plan's industrial park area. Therefore, the project will not substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and surrounding area.  The new facility will result in a minor increase in the nighttime lighting. In accordance with County standards, all exterior lighting will be the minimum necessary for operational and security needs. Light fixtures will be kept as low to the ground as possible and include shields to							
	being As de	et the light down. Avoidance of highly reflective surfaces will be required, cast skyward. This is an area routinely overflown by low flying aircraft white signed, and as subject to standard conditions of approval, the project will n	ch necessitates stro	ng controls on sky	ward nighttime	lighting.		
<u>Mitiga</u>	tion M	easures: None required.						
			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
II.	Cali	RICULTURE RESOURCES. In determining impacts to agricultural resources a fornia Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared bessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:	re significant environr by the California Dept.	nental effects, lead	agencies may	refer to the del to use in		
	a)	Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Important (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				$\boxtimes$		
	b)	Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?	П	П	П	$\boxtimes$		
	c)	Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?						
Croon		ommerce Center 5						

- a. The project site is located within a developing industrial park. The project would not result in the conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland or Farmland of Statewide Important as shown on the Napa County Important Farmland Map 2004 prepared by the California Department of Conservation District, Division of Land Resource Protection, pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency.
- b. The project site is not subject to a Williamson Act contract, and is zoned for industrial development.
- c. The project site is surrounded by developing industrial park land. Although farming activities occurred on these lands in the past, the area has been designated for industrial development for over 20 years. The project will not result in the conversion of existing farmland.

Mitigation Measures: None required.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impa
III. A	R QUALITY. Where available on to make the following	ilable, the significance criteria established by th determinations. Would the project:	e applicable air quality manag	ement or air pollution	control district n	nay be relie
ē		ct implementation of the applicable air quality pla			$\boxtimes$	
t	Violate any air qualit projected air quality vi	y standard or contribute substantially to an ex olation?	isting or			
C	which the project region ambient air quality s	ely considerable net increase of any criteria poll on is non-attainment under an applicable federal tandard (including releasing emissions which s for ozone precursors)?	or state	П	$\bowtie$	П
d	Expose sensitive rece	ptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			$\boxtimes$	
e	Create objectionable of	odors affecting a substantial number of people?				

Discussion: The following analysis is based on the "BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines – Assessing the Air Quality Impacts of Projects and Plans" (December 1999).

- a. The proposed project would not conflict with or obstruct the implementation of any applicable air quality plans. The project site lies at the southern end of the Napa Valley, which forms one of the climatologically sub regions (Napa County Sub region) within the San Francisco Bay Area Air Basin. The topographical and meteorological features of the valley create a relatively high potential for air pollution. Potential air quality impacts could result from construction activities. Construction emissions would have a temporary effect consisting mainly of dust generated during grading and other construction activities, exhaust emissions from construction related equipment and vehicles, and relatively minor emissions from paints and other architectural coatings. BAAQMD recommends incorporating feasible control measures as a means of addressing such impacts. These measures are set forth at Table 2 of the BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines. If the proposed project adheres to these measures, then BAAQMD recommends concluding that construction-related impacts will be insignificant. These measures will be incorporated into the proposed project as conditions of approval. In accordance with BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, these impacts are considered less than significant.
- b.-e. See (a) above. There are no projected or existing air quality violations in this area that this proposed project would contribute to. Nor would it result in any violations of any applicable air quality standards.

The BAAQMD defines public exposure to offensive odors as a potentially significant impact. During project construction, the project has the potential to generate substantial amounts of dust or other construction-related air quality disturbances. As a standard practice for County development projects, application of water and/or dust palliatives are required in sufficient quantities during grading and other ground disturbing activities on-site to minimize the amount of dust produced. These Best Management Practices will reduce potential temporary changes in air quality to a less than significant level.

Mitigation Measures: None required.

				***		
IV.	BIC	DLOGICAL RESOURCES. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a)	Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
	b)	Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations				
		or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?		$\boxtimes$		
	c)	Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, Coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
	d)	Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				
	۵١				$\boxtimes$	
	e)	Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				$\boxtimes$
	f)	Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				. 🖾

a-c. The project site is highly disturbed and is not in a native state. The site is located within a developing industrial park and is bordered on three sides by existing development. Industrial development has been progressing in the general vicinity since the late 1980's. Some disturbance of the subject property occurred when Airport Boulevard was constructed across the property's northern boundary to provide improved access to the Napa County Airport. The previous roadway to the airport was constructed across the property's southern boundary and has since been abandoned. The site is vacant and is dominated by non-native grasses with a cluster of four (4) Blue Gum Eucalyptus trees in the western portion of the site and a few Coast Live Oak trees and a Blue Gum tree near the southeast corner of the site which provide potential suitable nesting habitat. The site generally does not meet DFG's criteria as suitable foraging habitat given its urbanized characteristics. A seasonal drainage swale flows east to west on the northern third of the site. The swale exits the site at a drainage culvert that runs under Airport Boulevard just south of the proposed driveway. According to the project Biologist, the drainage is considered a seasonal wetland a portion of which is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and possibly the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) and the California Department of Fish and Game (CDF).

North Fork Associates prepared a Biological Resources Assessment report, dated April 14, 2008, a Special-Status Plant Survey addendum, dated June 9, 2008, for the proposed project site and a Wetland mitigation requirement discussion dated September 3, 2008. The reports were prepared to identify biological communities on the site and to determine whether the site is likely to contain state or federally listed rare, threatened, or endangered plant or animal species, address potential impacts, if any, to protected species, and recommend mitigation measures as needed. According to the report, nonnative grassland and ruderal habitats such as those found on the site provide limited wildlife habitat. The site is not expected to support a diversity of wildlife due to its lack of important habitat features including nesting sites, escape and thermal cover, and a variety of food sources. The drainage swale provides only a seasonal source of water for wildlife. The cluster of trees on the site may provide nesting and roosting sites for birds and shelter for small rodents. However, the trees within the study area are unlikely to support nesting Swainson's hawk due to nearby human activity

Numerous listed wildlife and plant species are known to occur in the region surrounding the project site including Vernal Pool fairy shrimp, California red-legged frog, White-tailed kite, Burrowing Owl, Swainson's hawk and Big-scale balsom-root, Pappose tarplant, Contra Costa goldfields, Dwarf downingia, and Showy Indian clover. The report indicated that vernal pool crustaceans and California red-legged frog were not found on the site and the site does not support habitat for these species. The report also indicated that the site may provide suitable

nesting habitat for raptors and other migratory birds, but is unlikely to provide habitat for Burrowing owls due to dense vegetation and the lack small mammal burrows. The mitigation measures, below, will reduce impacts to any special-status wildlife species, including migratory birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act to a level of less than significant. The Special-status plant survey found no occurrence of the plant species listed above. No other special-status plant species were observed during the field surveys.

As noted above, North Fork Associates indicates the presence of both jurisdictional (0.62 acres) and non-jurisdictional (0.02 acres) wetlands on the project site, mostly contained within a seasonal drainage swale. The project is expected to permanently fill approximately 0.49 acres of the jurisdictional and all of the non-jurisdictional seasonal wetlands. Until the Corps determines the extent of its jurisdiction, acreages of impacts can only be estimated. For those wetland areas that cannot be avoided, appropriate permits shall be acquired from the Corps, RWQCB and CDF. The mitigation measures listed below will reduce potential impacts to wetlands to less than significant levels.

- d. There are no known wildlife corridors, native wildlife nursery sites, or sensitive plants identified on the property. The proposed project would not have a significant impact on the movement of native resident and migratory fish and wildlife species as there is no fencing or other obstructive barriers proposed for the project. The seasonal drainage swale does not support riparian habitat and provides no suitable habitat for any fish species known to occur in the area. Surveys will be conducted immediately prior to construction to ensure that raptors or other special status nesting birds or migratory birds, if present, are not disturbed.
- e. The project would not conflict with any local policies protecting biological species, such a tree preservation or the County's Conservation Regulations. The site is a semi-improved industrial lot with no native vegetation in the area proposed for development. In accordance with the requirements of the specific plan, new landscaping will be provided on the site. The project does not conflict with any County ordinance or requirement to preserve existing trees, and therefore is considered as not having potential for significant impact.
- f. The proposed project would not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plans, Natural Community Conservation Plans or other approved local, regional or state habitat conservation plans. There are no plans applicable to the subject parcel.

#### Mitigation Measures:

1. To avoid potential losses to nesting raptors and migratory birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, construction activities shall occur outside the critical breeding period from March through-August to the extent possible. If construction occurs during the breeding period, the site shall be surveyed for active nests by a qualified Biologist no more than 30 days prior to commencing construction activities. If active nests are found, the nest location and a buffer area designated by the biologist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game, shall be avoided until the nest has been vacated. If no nests are found on or immediately adjacent to the project site, tree removal could proceed without further surveys.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: The permittee shall have a nesting bird survey completed prior to any construction activities scheduled to occur on the site from the beginning of March through August. The survey results shall be provided to the Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department. In the event any special-status or other protected nesting birds are found to occur on-site construction activities will be scheduled to avoid nesting and breeding periods.

- 2. To avoid potential losses to the Western Burrowing owl a nesting survey shall be conducted by a qualified Biologist no more than 30 days prior to commencing construction activities. If active nests are found, the nest location and a buffer area designated by the biologist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game, shall be avoided until the nest has been vacated. If no nests are found on the project site construction activities could proceed without further surveys.
  - Method of Mitigation Monitoring: The permittee shall have a nesting western Burrowing owl survey completed prior to any construction activities scheduled to occur on the site from the beginning of March through August. The survey results shall be provided to the Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department. In the event western burrowing owls are found to occur on-site construction activities will be scheduled to avoid nesting and breeding periods.
- 3. If the US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and/or RWQCB determines that fill of jurisdictional wetlands will occur as a result of the project and subsequently requires mitigation for jurisdictional wetlands loss, prior to approval of improvement plans by Napa County the project proponent shall provide documentation from the Corps indicating that one or more of the following measures will or has occurred and is or will be considered mitigation.
  - a. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, on the project site by enhancing existing wetlands or creating new wetlands to provide for no net loss of wetlands function. The applicant's biologist indicates on site mitigation using proposed drainage facilities such as a detention basin or vegetated swales is a viable option for restoring wetlands function although the acceptability of such to the Corps and/or RWQCB cannot be guaranteed; or,

- Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by off-site creation or enhancement of wetlands in Napa County consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function; or
- c. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by purchase of wetlands creation or preservation credits in an existing or future wetlands bank that "services" Napa County, consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function; or
- d. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by financial participation in an existing wetlands enhancement or creation project in Napa County sponsored by a state, federal or County agency such as the Napa County Resource Conservation District (RCD) consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function. The applicant is currently exploring locations for wetlands creation or enhancement. These locations include but should not be limited to:

Carneros Creek Stream Restoration: Funding is needed to implement restoring a failed water retaining stock pond back to a more natural channel condition for a length of 900 linear feet. Native plants and willows will be planted within the riparian corridor which will also be fenced off from grazing cattle. The benefits of the project include water quality protection, minimizing erosion and sediment delivery to Carneros Creek, increasing bird habitat, and improving downstream fish habitat. Permitting and planning on-going.

Dry Creek Stream Restoration: This project will restore 200 linear feet of eroding streambank along Dry Creek, a significant steelhead bearing stream within the Napa River watershed. Work entails installing a live willow brush mattress, willow walls, and stream barbs to stabilize the banks, provide canopy, and improve fish habitat. RCD will work with Center for Land Based Learning students to harvest willow and plant natives. Plans and permits are complete.

Huichica Creek Wetland Habitat Improvement: This project involves the continuation of planting up to 10,000 native grass plugs at the RCD's Huichica Creek Demonstration Vineyard site over a three year period. The RCD has an on-going relationship with Center for Land Based Learning SLEWS program which bridges classroom education with outdoor field experiences for high school students who will collect seeds and install some of the plantings under the direction of RCD staff. The project will benefit local birds and increase wetland habitat by ½ acre.

Carneros and Sulphur Creek Water Quality Protection: This project improves water quality and minimizes sediment delivery to fish bearing streams by improving stream crossings and eroding rural roads in the Carneros and Sulphur Creek watersheds. Up to 15 miles of rural roads will be "storm-proofed" resulting in the protection of significant steelhead habitat in the Heath Canyon, Sulphur Creek, and Carneros Creek watersheds. Plans and permits have been completed.

Or:

- a combination of the above measures, which in aggregate meets the prescribed ratio dictated by the Corps and/or RWQCB.
- 4. A wetland management plan shall be prepared in coordination with the Corps to include details of wetland protection, replacement and success criteria. A component of the wetland replacement plan shall be the establishment of multiple criteria against which the success of any replacement wetlands can be measured, listed below. All created and preserved wetlands shall be monitored for 5 years, biennially for years 6 through 10, and every 5 years thereafter until all success criteria are meet. Annual report s shall be provided to the Corps and Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department as specified in the approved wetland management plan.

Created Wetland Performance Criteria (preliminary partial list subject to corps approval) shall include at a minimum:

Performance Criteria	Years 1 – 4 Annual monitoring	Years 5 – 10 Two to three year monitoring	Years 15 + Five year monitoring intervals
Hydrological Performance	Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to on-site wetlands	intervals Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to on-site wetlands	Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to onsite wetlands
Wetland floral populations	At least 50% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the on-site wetland	At least 80% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the on-site wetland	At least 80% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the on-site wetland
Invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 30% invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 15% invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 15% invasive species

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: Any required wetland mitigation shall be in place prior to the issuance of building or grading permits. The project proponent shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Director that all wetland mitigation has been approved by the Corps and has been initiated. The terms and conditions of wetland protection, replacements and performance criteria are subject to Corps concurrence and may be modified.

Less Than

			Potentially Significant Impact	Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
V.	CUL	TURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:		•				
	a)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?			$\boxtimes$			
	b)	Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines§15064.5?						
	c)	Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geological feature?	. 🗆		$\boxtimes$			
	d)	Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			$\boxtimes$			
Discussi	on:							
	The project site is vacant and does not contain any structures. Research into past uses has not identified historic resources that may be present at the site. A previous archaeological survey, entitled A Cultural Resource Inventory of the Napa Airport Master Environmental Assessment Area, prepared by Archaeological Resource Service (ARS), dated September 1983, was conducted in the airport industrial park area and included the project site. An additional study of the remainder of the Gunn-Greenwood Ranch area was conducted by ARS in 1988. Neither study indicated the presence of historical, archaeological, or paleontological resources. A follow-up to the two previous studies was conducted by ARS, dated April 17, 2008. The recent study found no new prehistoric sites or artifacts confirming the findings of the previous studies. The report concluded that the proposed project will not adversely affect any previously recorded or newly identified archaeological sites. It is therefore not anticipated that any cultural resources are present on the site, and the potential for impact is considered less-than-significant. However, if resources are found during grading of the project, construction of the project is required to cease, and a qualified archaeologist will be retained to investigate the site in accordance with standard conditions of approval.							
d.	resc	human remains have been encountered on the property during past structed and no information has been encountered that would indicate th burces are found during grading of the project, construction of the project ined to investigate the site in accordance with standard conditions of appro-	at this project would at is required to cea	l encounter humai	n remains. Ho	wever, if		
Mitigation	on Me	easures: None required.						
		•	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
VI.	GEC	DLOGY AND SOILS. Would the project:		1				
	a)	Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:						
		i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.			$\boxtimes$	П		
		ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?			$\boxtimes$			
		iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?						

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impaci
		iv) Landslides?				
	b)	Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			$\boxtimes$	
	c)	Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?			$\boxtimes$	П
	d)	Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1997), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
	e)	Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?			⊠ ⊠	
Discu a.	ussion:					
a.	ii. A b ir iii. N iii. Ii iv. T	There are no known faults on the project site as shown on the most receiveroposed facility would result in a less than significant impact with regards. All areas of the Bay Area are subject to strong seismic ground shaking building standards and codes at the time of construction, including the impacts to a less than significant level. No subsurface conditions have been identified on the project site that inquefaction.  The Napa County Environmental Resource Maps (Landslides line, polyandslides on the property.	to rupturing a knowr Construction of the California Building Indicated a suscepti	a fault. The facility must co Code which would billity to seismic-re	mply with all d reduce any elated ground	the latest potential failure or
b.	develo Loam, propei Loam)	I upon the Soil Survey of Napa County, prepared by the United States opment are predominantly Haire Loam, 2 to 9% slopes, which have slow to 5 to 15% percent slopes, which have medium runoff and moderate erosity. These soil types are found mainly on old terraces and alluvial fans (H.). Development on the site will be subject to the Napa County Stormwate any potential impacts to a less than significant level.	o medium runoff and on. Fagan Clay Loa aire Loam) and at th	d a slight erosion ham is found in the e foot of slopes ar	nazard, with Fa southeast corn and uplands (Fa	ngan Clay ner of the ngan Clay
c/d.	and u Enviro Develo reduce part of	ding to the Napa County Environmental Resource Maps (Surficial Deposite Indifferentiated Late Pleistocene-Holocene fan deposits underlie the surpomental Sensitivity Maps (Liquefaction layer) the project site has very low opment will be required to comply with all the latest building standards are any potential impacts to a less than significant level. In addition, a soils of the building permit submittal. The report will address the soil stability, action systems and grading methods.	ficial soils on the p to low susceptibility and codes, including report, prepared b	roject site. Base for liquefaction. g the California Bu y a qualified Engir	d on the Napaliding Code the	a County nat would puired as
e.	The prindical	roject will connect to sewer service by Napa Sanitation District. "Will sei ting that they have sufficient capacity to accommodate the water and waste	ve" letters have be ewater demand of the	en submitted by th	ne affected jur	isdictions
Mitig	ation Me	easure: None required.				
VII.	HAZ	ARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?			$\boxtimes$	
	b)	Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonable foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?		П	—	

-1		Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c)	Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				$\boxtimes$
d)	Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?		П	П	$\boxtimes$
e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?			⊠	
f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				$\boxtimes$
g)	Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?			$\boxtimes$	
h)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wild-land fires, including where wild-lands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wild-lands?				

- The proposed project will not involve the transport of hazardous materials other than those small amounts normally used in construction of a. the facility. At this time, specific tenants are not known. It is anticipated that tenants will be a mix of warehousing, distribution and light industrial uses with ancillary and offices with many or most related to the wine industry as is typical of the rest of the Airport Industrial Area Specific Plan area. A Business Plan will be filed with the Environmental Health Division should the amount of any hazardous materials reach reportable levels. However, in the event that a future use involves the use, storage or transportation of greater the 55 gallons or 500 pounds of hazardous materials, a use permit modification and subsequent environmental assessment would be required in accordance with the Napa County Zoning Ordinance prior to the establishment of that use. During construction of the project some hazardous materials, such as building coatings/ adhesives/ etc., will be utilized. However, given the quantities of hazardous materials and the limited duration, they will result is a less-than-significant impact.
- b. The project would not result in the release of hazardous materials into the environment.
- C. There are no schools located within one-quarter mile from the proposed project site.
- d. The project site is not on any known list of hazardous materials sites.
- The project site is located within two miles of the Napa County Airport, and is therefore subject to the requirements of the County's Airport e. Compatibility Combination zoning district and the requirements of the Napa County Airport Land Use Commission's Compatibility Plan. The project is located within Zone D of the compatibility plan which is an area of common overflight and moderate risk. The proposed use of the building is highly compatibility with the risk and noise impacts associated with properties within Zone D. The building has also been designed to comply with specific requirements regarding light and glare to ensure airport land use compatibility. No up lighting of the proposed wine bottle sculpture will be approved. County development regulations have been certified as meeting ALUC compatibility requirements, and consequently the project is not subject to separate ALUC review because it has been designed to comply with County airport compatibility land use requirements.
- f. The project site is not located within the vicinity of any private airports.
- The proposed driveways that serve the project will be improved to comply with County standards, and access throughout the site is designed to accommodate fire apparatus and large trucks. The project is located within the American Canyon Fire District, which has reviewed the project and commented that the project is required to comply with all District standard conditions. The Fire Marshall did not identify any design issues. Therefore, the design of the project will not negatively impact or hinder emergency vehicle access.

h. The project would not increase exposure of people and/or structures to a significant loss, injury or death involving wild land fires because the project is located within an urbanized area.

Mitigation Measures: None required.

VIII.	НҮ	DROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a)	Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?			$\boxtimes$	
	b)	Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	П	П	П	×
	c)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?				
	d)	Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
	e)	Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			$\boxtimes$	
	f)	Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?			$\boxtimes$	
	g)	Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				$\boxtimes$
	h)	Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$
	i)	Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				$\boxtimes$
	j)	Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$

#### Discussion:

- a. The proposed project will not violate any known water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The project will discharge into an approved storm drainage system designed to accommodate the drainage from this site. Given that the applicant is required to obtain a stormwater permit from the Regional Water Quality Control Board, which is administered in part by the County Public Works Department on behalf of the Board, and the County's established Best Management Practices which comply with RWQCB requirements, the project does not have the potential to significantly impact water quality and discharge standards.
- b. The project will connect to municipal water provided by the City of American Canyon. No groundwater wells are associated with this property.
- c-d. The proposed project will not substantially alter the drainage pattern on site or cause a significant increase in erosion or siltation on or off site. The project will incorporate erosion control measures appropriate to its maximum slope to manage onsite surface drainage and erosion of onsite soils during construction and winter months (October to April). As noted above, the project is required to comply with County Public Works requirements which are fully consistent with Regional Water Quality Control Board standards. These established Best Management

Practices have been successfully implemented on numerous other projects within the Airport Industrial Area. By incorporating these erosion control measures, this project would have a less than significant impact. No substantial alteration of existing drainage is anticipated to occur. There will be an increase in the overall imperious surface resulting from the new buildings, pavement and sidewalks. However, given the size of the drainage basin, the increase in impervious surfaces will not discernibly change the amount of groundwater filtration or discernibly increase surface runoff from that which currently existing on site. This project would therefore result in a less than significant impact.

- e. The storm drainage system will be designed to County standards and is sized to accommodate all drainage from this site.
- f. There are no other factors in this project that would otherwise degrade water quality.
- g-i. The project site is not located within a flood hazard area, nor would it impede or redirect flood flows or expose structures or people to flooding. The project site is not located within a dam or levee failure inundation zone.
- j. The parcel is not located in an area that is subject to inundation by tsunamis, seiches, or mudflows.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
IX.	LA	ND USE AND PLANNING. Would the project:				
	a) b)	Physically divide an established community?  Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the				
		purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?			$\boxtimes$	
	c)	Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				$\boxtimes$
Discus	ssion:					
a-c.	propo	proposed project would not occur within an established community, nor would be project complies with the Napa County General Plan, the Napa Could applicable regulations. There are no applicable habitat conservation planerty.	unty Code, The Air	port Industrial Are	a Specific Pla	n, and all
Mitiga	ition M	easures: None required.				
			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
X.	MIN	IERAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
	a)	Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				$\boxtimes$
	b)	Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				$\boxtimes$
Discus	sion:					
a/b.	The A	irport Industrial Area Specific Plan and the Conservation Element of the Grant mineral resources on the project site. The proposed project would not	eneral Plan do not in result in a loss of a	ndicate the presen mineral resource	ce of valuable of any value.	or locally
<u>Mitiga</u>	tion M	easures: None required.			·	
		14			****	

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
XI.	NO	ISE. Would the project result in:			pave				
	a)	Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			$\boxtimes$				
	b)	Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?			$\boxtimes$				
	c)	A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?							
	d)	A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?							
	e)	For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			⊠				
	f)	For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?				$\boxtimes$			
Discu	ssion:					_			
c/d.	industrial/warehousing/office uses in an existing industrial park. The project is located within an industrial park and is not in an area where noise increases resulting from additional industrial development will impact sensitive receptors. The design of the proposed project, together with adherence to the County Noise Ordinance, would ensure the proposed project would not result in adverse noise impacts.								
f)	The p	roject is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip.							
Mitiga	tion M	easures: None required.	•						
XII.	IOQ	PULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact			
7111		• •							
	a)	Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?							
	b)	Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				$\boxtimes$			
	c)	Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?				$\boxtimes$			

a-c. The project site is currently vacant and located in an industrial area. The project will increase the number of jobs within the industrial park. Given the size of the project, the number of jobs is expected to be relatively small compared to the overall business park and nearby communities; therefore this increase in jobs will not contribute to a cumulatively considerable increase in the demand for housing units within Napa County and the general vicinity. Furthermore, the County has adopted a Housing Element (certified by the State Housing and Community Development Agency) which identifies locations for new housing, and adopted a development impact fee to provide funds for constructing affordable housing. The fee is paid at the time building permits are issued. This fee is charged to all new non-residential developments based on the gross square footage of non-residential space multiplied by the applicable fee by type of use as listed in Chapter 15.60.100, Table 1, and is considered to reduce housing inducement impacts to a less than significant level.

Mitigation Measures: None required.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XIII.	PUBLIC SERVICES. Wo	uld the project result in:		·	•	
	physically altered g governmental facili environmental imp	e physical impacts associated with the provision of new or povernmental facilities, need for new or physically altered ties, the construction of which could cause significant acts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
	Fire protection	?			$\boxtimes$	
	Police protecti	on?			$\boxtimes$	
	Schools?				$\boxtimes$	
	Parks?				$\boxtimes$	
	Other public fa	acilities?			$\boxtimes$	
Discus	eion:					
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would n	I have a less than significant impact on public servic ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire	protection measure	s are required as	part of the dev	elopment
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would n and there would be no explevied with the building personal property of the proposed project with the proposed project with the proposed project will be proposed project with the proposed project will be proposed project would not be proposed project with the building proposed project will be proposed project with the building proposed project will be proposed project with the building project will be proposed project will be provided by the project will be provide	ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire spected impact to response time as the property has g ermit application. Those fees assist local school distric lic parks. County revenue resulting from building per services to the property.	e protection measure ood public road acc sts with capacity buil	es are required as ess. School impac ding measures. T	part of the dev ot mitigation fe he proposed p	elopment es will be roject will
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would not and there would be not explained with the building part have little impact on public states of providing public states. None recommendations are supported by the proposed providing public states.	ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire spected impact to response time as the property has germit application. Those fees assist local school distric lic parks. County revenue resulting from building perservices to the property.  Juired.	e protection measure ood public road acc sts with capacity buil	es are required as ess. School impac ding measures. T	part of the dev ot mitigation fe he proposed p	elopment es will be roject will
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would not and there would be not explicitly with the building part have little impact on public states.	ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire spected impact to response time as the property has germit application. Those fees assist local school distric lic parks. County revenue resulting from building perservices to the property.  Juired.	e protection measure ood public road acc sts with capacity buil mit fees, property to Potentially	es are required as ess. School impacting measures. The extra increases and the significant with Mitigation	part of the devot mitigation fe he proposed paxes will help  Less Than Significant	elopment es will be roject will meet the
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would not and there would be not explained with the building proposed little impact on public states of providing public states. None reconstruction Measures:  RECREATION. Would the all increase the use	ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire spected impact to response time as the property has germit application. Those fees assist local school districtlic parks. County revenue resulting from building perservices to the property.  Juired.  The project:  The project:  The project:  The project is a project in the property of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other is such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility	e protection measure ood public road acc sts with capacity buil mit fees, property to Potentially	es are required as ess. School impacting measures. The extra increases and the significant with Mitigation	part of the devot mitigation fe he proposed paxes will help  Less Than Significant	elopment es will be roject will meet the
a.	The proposed project will proposed project would not and there would be not explained with the building proposed providing public states of providing public states. None recommendate the use recreational facilities would occur or be as b) Does the project in	ot increase the demand on those public services. Fire spected impact to response time as the property has germit application. Those fees assist local school districtlic parks. County revenue resulting from building perservices to the property.  Juired.  The project:  The project:	e protection measure ood public road acc sts with capacity buil mit fees, property to Potentially	es are required as ess. School impacting measures. The extra increases and the significant with Mitigation	part of the devot mitigation fe he proposed paxes will help  Less Than Significant	elopment es will be roject will meet the  No Impact

a/b. The proposed project would not significantly increase the use of existing recreational facilities, nor does the proposed project include recreational facilities that may have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

Mitigation Measures: None required.

XV.	TRA	ANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project:	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
	a)	Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?				
	b)	Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?		$\boxtimes$		
	c) d)	Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that result in substantial safety risks?  Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature, (e.g., sharp curves or			$\boxtimes$	
	e)	dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?  Result in inadequate emergency access?			$\boxtimes$	
	f) g)	Result in inadequate parking capacity?  Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative			$\boxtimes$	
Discussio	nn.	transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?			$\boxtimes$	

a-b. Weekday traffic volumes within the project vicinity consist primarily of commute traffic within the peak traffic periods, with residential from nearby communities, commercial, tourist, and industrial park traffic occurring throughout the day. Southern Napa County is characterized by two distinct commute traffic patterns: a Napa to Bay Area commute, and a smaller Solano County to Napa commute. The existing traffic congestion is primarily the result of regional growth impacts. Major improvements to both Highway 29 and Highway 12 are necessary to address regional traffic congestion. As mandated by Napa County, projects within the industrial park are responsible for paying "fair share" costs to the construction of improvements to impacted roadways within the industrial park.

Since 1990, the County has imposed and collected traffic mitigation fees on all development projects within the Airport Industrial Area. A developer's "fair share" fee goes toward funding roadway improvements within the specific plan area including improvements designed to relieve traffic on State Highways. The traffic mitigation fee is further described in Board of Supervisor's Resolution 08-20. For this project, a traffic mitigation fee based on PM peak hour vehicle trips will be imposed and collected prior to issuance of a building permit as determined by the Director of Public Works and is included as a mitigation measure below.

The County has established that a significant traffic impact would occur if increases in traffic from a project would cause intersections or two-lane highway capacity to deteriorate to worse than LOS E, or at intersections or two-lane highway where base case (without project) is LOS F, a significant impact is considered to occur if a project increases the base volumes by more than one percent. Napa County utilizes a one percent significance threshold for the identification of significant adverse traffic impact during peak hours to travel. This threshold was directed by the Napa County Transportation Planning Agency. This factor has been used consistently as the significance determination for all recent EIR and CEQA documents within the Airport Industrial Area.

The project includes the construction of three buildings totaling 374,926 square feet of floor area for flexible warehousing/distribution and light industrial uses with ancillary office areas. Approximately 92% percent of the total floor area (344,512 sq. ft.) would be utilized by warehouse/distribution and light industrial uses with the remaining 8% of the total floor area used for office functions (30,414 sq. ft.). The project also includes the construction of the full width of Devlin Road from its terminus at Airport Boulevard to connect with the existing leg of Devlin at the south end of the project site.

A project specific traffic report was prepared by George Nickelson, P.E., dated July 8, 2008, to address potential traffic related impacts and is attached to this initial study. The project is anticipated to generate 318 A.M. peak trips (261 inbound and 157), and 326 P.M. peak trips (68 inbound and 258 outbound) based on "Industrial Park" trips rates compiled by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). However, it

should be noted that the project applicant expects approximately 70 full and part time employees for the project. The traffic study indicated that no significant intersection level of service impacts would result from the project the near-term or at 2030 build-out conditions.

- c. The project does not have any impact on air traffic patterns.
- d/e. The project includes construction of new driveways on Airport Boulevard and Devlin Road. The new driveways have been designed to comply with all County standards and the recommendation of the traffic study prepared for this project (Crane Transportation, November 2007). The project will not result in any changes to levels of service or cause any new safety risks.
- f. The project has been designed with 266 parking spaces. Future building tenancy will be reviewed to ensure that the proposed mix of tenants does not exceed the parking supply per the County's standard practice as specified in the project conditions of approval. The project will not result in inadequate parking.
- g. The proposed project does not conflict with any known policies or plans supporting alternative transportation.

#### Mitigation Measures:

5. Prior to County authorization of a Building Permit, the permittee shall submit payment of the Napa County's traffic mitigation fee in accordance with Board Resolution 08-20, as may be amended, of the equivalent of the vehicle trips generated by the project in the PM peak traffic period.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.

			Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
XVI.	UTI	LITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS. Would the project:		moorporation	mpace	
	a)	Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				$\boxtimes$
	b)	Require or result in the construction of a new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?		$\boxtimes$		
	c)	Require or result in the construction of a new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?			$\boxtimes$	
	ď)	Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	П	$\boxtimes$		П
	e)	Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	_			
	f)	Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the			$\boxtimes$	
	'7	project's solid waste disposal needs?			$\boxtimes$	
	g)	Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				$\boxtimes$

#### Discussion:

a. The project will occur within an urbanized area and connect to a publicly maintained wastewater treatment system. The wastewater provider, Napa Sanitation District, has provided a will serve letter and has found that project to be in compliance with district master plans. The District's wastewater treatment plant complies with all water quality discharge requirements, and therefore the project will comply with regional water quality control standards.

- b. The project will not require construction of any new water or wastewater treatment facilities that will result in a significant impact to the environment. The project site is located in an area planned for industrial development and existing water and wastewater treatment facilities have been sized to accommodate the proposed project. The proposed project would require new pipelines at the site to connect to existing supply pipelines that ultimately tie back into the City of American Canyon's water treatment plan. The project would also install purple irrigation pipe so that reclaimed water supplied by the Napa Sanitation District may be used for landscape irrigation demand. Use of reclaimed water for irrigation demand will reduce the use of treated water provided by the City of American Canyon. The City's water treatment plant has a maximum capacity of 5.5 million gallons per day (mgd) plus a potable water connection with the City of Vallejo that provides up to 1.3 mgd for a total current production capacity of 6.8 mgd. Between July 2006 and June 2007, the City of American Canyon treated an average of 3.37 mgd. The average daily demand for water at the City's project build-out in 2015, including the buildout of the proposed project area would be 5.97 mgd with a maximum daily demand of 11.2 mgd. The City's agreement with the City of Valleio provides options to purchase up to 1.2 mgd of additional potable water capacity by 2015. Thus, the total treatment capacity at buildout would be a maximum of approximately 8.0 mgd, sufficient to meet average day demand, but not sufficient to meet maximum daily demand. Thus, the project's impact on water treatment capacity is potentially significant unless mitigated. The projected water and sewer demand is based on flows of 650 gallons/day/acre. It is necessary to mitigate the maximum day demand by applying the water conservation measures recommended in the City's Water Supply Report (September 2008) prepared for the project (see mitigation measures below). Inclusion of water conservation and recycled water measures recommended by the City, the municipal water provider, will reduce the potential for impact to a less-than-significant level. (source: City of American Canyon Initial Studies for Lombard Crossing Industrial Park and Biagi Brothers/Jackson Wine Estates Wine Distribution Warehouse, and City of American Canyon Water Supply Report for the Greenwood Commerce Center).
- c. The proposed project includes the construction of new drainage facilities. The new drainage system will be designed by a qualified engineer and is subject to review and approval by the Department of Public Works. The Department of Public Works has included conditions of approval requiring that the drainage system be designed to avoid diversion or concentration of storm water runoff onto adjacent properties.
- d. The project will receive water from the City of American Canyon. The project is located within an area designated for urban development by the City. The City has acquired water rights to provide adequate water for all areas within their service area, and has issued a will serve letter for the proposal.

The City has performed an in depth analysis of how this project impacts City water supply, and has conducted a project specific Water Supply Report completed in September 2008. This report greatly assisted the County in completing this initial study and complying with recent case law (Vineyard Area Citizens for Responsible Growth v. City of Rancho Cordova and Sunrise Douglas Property Owners Association et al) which sets forth guidelines for evaluating the water supply of a project under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). It requires that water supplies not be illusory or intangible, that water supply over the entire length of the project be evaluated, and that environmental impacts of likely future water sources, as well as alternate sources, be summarized.

A summary of information contained in the City of American Canyon's Water Supply Report prepared for this project (September 2008), and a summary of the City's water analysis for the Lombard Crossing Industrial Park Initial Study are included below which accurately and comprehensively address short and long term water resource availability.

The City completed an Urban Water Management Plan in January 2006. The Urban Water Management Plan incorporated the project site as an industrial use. Potable water allocated to this site in the Urban Water Management Plan as an industrial use was 650 gallons per acre per day. As summarized in the American Canyon Urban Water Management Plan (2006), the City's water supply is from the following sources:

State Water Project (SWP) water;
Permit water (raw water) from the City of Vallejo;
Treated water from Vallejo;
Treated water from the City of Napa; and
Recycled water from the City of American Canyon's treatment plant.

The State Water Project (SWP) delivers the City's raw water supply in the North Bay Aqueduct (NBA). The City's entitlement of 4,700 acrefeet per year (AFY) in 2005 will increase annually by 50 to 100 AFY, to 5,200 AFY in 2015. SWP water is not proposed to increase after 2015. The City of American Canyon Water Treatment Plant treats the SWP water or it is delivered as raw water to the customers who use it for irrigation. The Urban Water Management Plan finds that, as of 2005, the City of American Canyon would experience a shortfall in water supplies in multiple dry years of up to 427 acre feet and single-dry-years of up to 897 acre feet. By the year 2015, the City of American Canyon would experience a shortfall in multiple dry years of up to 1,037 acre feet and in single dry years of up to 1,557 acre feet.

In order to mitigate these single dry years, and multiple dry year events shortages for the long term, the City of American Canyon has undertaken a comprehensive Integrated Water Management Plan (IWMP) that will identify potential additional water supply solutions and increase the flexibility of its system. Additionally, the City of American Canyon is implementing an aggressive water conservation program to reduce water demands throughout the City. The County supports the water conservation efforts being employed by the municipal water service provider, and has included conservation mitigation measures, below, necessary to reduce the project's potential to contribute to these potential significant water supply impacts.

A project specific Water Supply Report was prepared in September 2008 by the City's Public Works Department to address a range of topics, including:

The water service request for Greenwood Commerce Center Consistency with the Urban Water Management Plan Water footprint/zero water footprint Project's contribution to water capacity fee and improvements Capital improvement program status for water supply and water treatment Vineyards court case analysis Recommended mitigations Opportunities to reduce the project's water footprint

The average daily water demand, including domestic/potable and industrial water, is estimated to be 1,100 gallons per day. Total irrigation demand will use reclaimed water provided by Napa Sanitation District. So the total annual demand based on an average of 1,100 gallons per day will be 1.2 acre feet per year (AFY). The total maximum daily water demand will be a little more than twice the average daily demand or 2,400 gallons per day. According to the Water Supply Report, these estimates are considered to be reasonable.

The applicant has agreed to include project improvements to conserve water as requested by the City, the municipal water service provider, which include:

Drought tolerant landscaping
Use of recycled water for landscaping
Dual plumbing for future conversion toilets to recycle water
Low flow plumbing fixtures

The Urban Water Management Plan estimated 13.83 AFY, but assumed that only 16% of non-vineyard demands outside of the thenapproved sphere of influence would be met by recycled water. The project's estimated total annual demand of 1.2 AFY, is well below the demands estimated in the Urban Water Management Plan after subtracting 16% for using recycled water.

On October 23, 2007, the City Council of the City American Canyon adopted the following definition of Zero Water Footprint (ZWF):

"No loss in water service reliability or increase in water rates to the City of American Canyon's existing customers due to the requested increased demand for water in the City's water service area."

Appendix A of the Water Supply Report is a flow chart of the process for water service requests considered by the City of American Canyon as part of their policy decision on Zero Water Footprint. The project does not have a zero water footprint. It would result in a loss in water service reliability of American Canyon water service due to the increased annual water demand without an offsetting source of supply.

The project may result in potential reliability impacts during multiple dry year and single dry year conditions during the 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 water years. This impact can feasibly be mitigated however by providing funds to the City of American Canyon to purchase dry year water, if necessary. Dry year water is available either through the State Water Project Contractor's Association or from individual sellers. The cost of dry year water is currently on the order of \$220 per AF per year. Acquisition of one-year water transfers for the 2009-2010 and 2010-2011 water years will mitigate short term impacts, as set forth in the City's Water Supply Report prepared for this project (attached).

- e. See response "a." above.
- f. The proposed project will be served by a landfill with sufficient capacity to meet the projects demands. No significant impact will occur from the disposal of solid waste generated by the proposed project.
- g. The proposed project will comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste.

#### Mitigation Measures:

6. The permittee shall pay all updated water capacity and impact fees to provide funding for the City of American Canyon to acquire additional long-term water resources and improve and develop its treatment and distribution system. The fees will allow for the City to exercise additional options for potable water capacity from the City of Vallejo and/or other sources, and will also provide for implementation of the recycled water system, helping to reduce the impact of additional water demand to less than significant.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.

7. The project shall contribute to the City of American Canyon Short-term Water Supply Mitigation, as set forth in the City's Water Supply Report Table 3, pages 15 and 16, as non-refundable payments to the water operations fund to allow the City to acquire dry year water if necessary. If the long-term mitigations are not in place prior to the 2011-2012 water year, the project shall continue to make annual non-refundable payments until the short-term impacts are mitigated by completion of long-term improvements.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.

- 8. The permittee shall pay a per-unit fee to the City of American Canyon's Water Conservation Program that is current at the time building permits are issued for the project, permitting the City to develop and implement the Program. The project can further reduce its water footprint by including one or more of the following off-site water conservation opportunities available through the Water Conservation Program:
  - Conversion of existing toilets to high-efficiency toilets.
  - Conversion of existing washing machines to high-efficiency, front-loading washing machines.
  - Conversion of existing urinals to waterless urinals.
  - Conversion of existing irrigation demands from potable water to recycled water.
  - Conversion of existing industrial demands from potable water to recycled water.
  - Conversion of a landscape conversion project.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.

9. The permittee shall incorporate water conservation Best Management Practices (BMP's) into the project as a whole and individual projects onsite. The project will be reviewed by the City of American Canyon Public Works Department for additional onsite conservation opportunities during the building permit plan review process.

Method of Mitigation Monitoring: The plans submitted for building permits shall incorporate water conservation BMP's to the extent feasible.

XVII.	MA	NDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
	a)	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?			$\boxtimes$	
	b)	Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?			$\boxtimes$	
	c)	Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?			$\boxtimes$	

- a. The Biological Resources section indicates that there is a possibility of state or federally protected species occurring in the vicinity of the site. Mitigation Measures are proposed to protect those species and no further effects are expected if all mitigation measures are implemented. No historic or prehistoric resources are anticipated to be affected by the proposed project. The project will not degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
- b. The project does not have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable as mitigated. Potential impacts related to traffic and utilities are discussed in their respective sections above. The project would also increase the demands for public services to a limited extent, increase traffic and air pollution, all of which contribute to cumulative effects when future development along Highway 29 is considered. Cumulative impacts of these issues are discussed and mitigated in previous sections of this Initial Study (e.g. Traffic, Housing).
- The project does not pose any substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

#### Attachments:

Attached to this report:

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program Site Location Map (USGS Base Map) Project Graphics

Separate attachments:

Attachment A – Cultural Resources Evaluation, dated April 17, 2008
Attachment B – Biological Resources Assessment, dated April 14, 2008
Special Status Plant Survey Addendum, dated June 9, 2008
Wetland Mitigation Requirements Discussion, dated September 3, 2008

Attachment C -Traffic Analysis, dated July 8, 2008

Attachment D - Water Supply Report, dated September 3, 2008

# **GREENWOOD COMMERCE CENTER**

Use Permit (File #P08-00312-UP) Parcel Map (File #P08-00313-PM)

APN'S: 057-210-055 & 056 (PORTION)

# MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
Biological Resources (IV)  1. To avoid potential losses to nesting raptors and migratory birds protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, construction activities shall occur outside the critical breeding period from March through-August to the extent possible. If construction occurs during the breeding period, the site shall be surveyed for active nests by a qualified Biologist no more than 30 days prior to commencing construction activities. If active nests are found, the nest location and a buffer area designated by the biologist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game, shall be avoided until the nest has been vacated. If no nests are found on or immediately adjacent to the project site, tree removal could proceed without further surveys.	Planning Department	The permittee shall have a nesting bird survey completed prior to any construction activities scheduled to occur on the site from the beginning of March through August. The survey results shall be provided to the Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department. In the event any special-status or other protected nesting birds are found to occur on-site construction activities will be scheduled to avoid nesting and breeding periods.	
2. To avoid potential losses to the Western Burrowing owl a nesting survey shall be conducted by a qualified Biologist no more than 30 days prior to commencing construction activities. If active nests are found, the nest location and a buffer area designated by the biologist in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Game, shall be avoided until the nest has been vacated. If no nests are found on the project site construction activities could proceed without further surveys.	Planning Department  .	The permittee shall have a nesting western Burrowing owl survey completed prior to any construction activities scheduled to occur on the site from the beginning of March through August. The survey results shall be provided to the Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department. In the event western burrowing owls are found to occur on-site construction activities will be scheduled to avoid nesting and breeding periods.	
3. If the US Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) and/or RWQCB determines that fill of jurisdictional wetlands will occur as a result of the project and subsequently requires mitigation for jurisdictional wetlands loss, prior to approval of improvement plans by Napa County the project proponent shall provide documentation from the Corps indicating that one or more of the following measures will or has occurred and is or will be considered mitigation.	Planning Department	Any required wetland mitigation shall be in place prior to the issuance of building or grading permits. The project proponent shall demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Director that all wetland mitigation has been approved by the Corps and has been initiated. The terms and conditions of wetland protection, replacements and performance criteria are subject to Corps concurrence and may be modified.	

	T		
Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
a. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, on the project site by enhancing existing wetlands or creating new wetlands to provide for no net loss of wetlands function. The applicant's biologist indicates on site mitigation using proposed drainage facilities such as a detention basin or vegetated swales is a viable option for restoring wetlands function although the acceptability of such to the Corps and/or RWQCB cannot be guaranteed; or,			
<ul> <li>b. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by off-site creation or enhancement of wetlands in Napa County consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function; or</li> </ul>			
c. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by purchase of wetlands creation or preservation credits in an existing or future wetlands bank that "services" Napa County, consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function; or			
d. Mitigate for wetlands fill, in a ratio acceptable to the Corps and/or RWQCB, by financial participation in an existing wetlands enhancement or creation project in Napa County sponsored by a state, federal or County agency such as the Napa County Resource Conservation District (RCD) consistent with state and federal policies providing for no net loss of wetland function. The applicant is currently exploring locations for wetlands creation or enhancement. These locations include but should not be limited to:			
Carneros Creek Stream Restoration: Funding is needed to implement restoring a failed water retaining stock pond back to a more natural channel condition for a length of 900 linear feet. Native plants and willows will be planted within the riparian corridor which will also be fenced off from grazing cattle. The benefits of the project include water quality protection, minimizing erosion and sediment delivery to Carneros Creek, increasing bird habitat, and improving downstream fish habitat. Permitting and planning on-going.			

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
Dry Creek Stream Restoration: This project will restore 200 linear feet of eroding streambank along Dry Creek, a significant steelhead bearing stream within the Napa River watershed. Work entails installing a live willow brush mattress, willow walls, and stream barbs to stabilize the banks, provide canopy, and improve fish habitat. RCD will work with Center for Land Based Learning students to harvest willow and plant natives. Plans and permits are complete.			
Huichica Creek Wetland Habitat Improvement: This project involves the continuation of planting up to 10,000 native grass plugs at the RCD's Huichica Creek Demonstration Vineyard site over a three year period. The RCD has an on-going relationship with Center for Land Based Learning SLEWS program which bridges classroom education with outdoor field experiences for high school students who will collect seeds and install some of the plantings under the direction of RCD staff. The project will benefit local birds and increase wetland habitat by ½ acre.			
Carneros and Sulphur Creek Water Quality Protection: This project improves water quality and minimizes sediment delivery to fish bearing streams by improving stream crossings and eroding rural roads in the Carneros and Sulphur Creek watersheds. Up to 15 miles of rural roads will be "storm-proofed" resulting in the protection of significant steelhead habitat in the Heath Canyon, Sulphur Creek, and Carneros Creek watersheds. Plans and permits have been completed.			
Or:  e. combination of the above measures, which in aggregate meets the prescribed ratio dictated by the Corps and/or RWQCB.			

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
4. A wetland management plan shall be prepared in coordination with the Corps to include details of wetland protection, replacement and success criteria. A component of the wetland replacement plan shall be the establishment of multiple criteria against which the success of any replacement wetlands can be measured, listed below. All created and preserved wetlands shall be monitored for 5 years, biennially for years 6 through 10, and every 5 years thereafter until all success criteria are meet. Annual report s shall be provided to the Corps and Napa County Conservation Development and Planning Department as specified in the approved wetland management plan.			

Created Wetland Performance Criteria (preliminary partial list subject to corps approval) shall include at a minimum:

Performance Criteria	Years 1 – 4	Years 5 – 10	Years 15 +
	Annual monitoring	Two to three year monitoring intervals	Five year monitoring intervals
Hydrological Performance	Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to on-site wetlands	Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to onsite wetlands	Created wetlands should have a similar hydroperiod, flooding regime, and topographic characteristics relative to on-site wetlands
Wetland floral populations	At least 50% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the onsite wetland	At least 80% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the on-site wetland	At least 80% of the wetland vegetation should resemble the onsite wetland
Invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 30% invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 15% invasive species	During spring growing season, no more than 15% invasive species

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
Transportation/Traffic (Section XV)			
5. Prior to County authorization of a Building Permit, the permittee shall submit payment of the Napa County's traffic mitigation fee in accordance with Board Resolution 08-20, as may be amended, of the equivalent of the vehicle trips generated by the project in the PM peak traffic period.	Public Works Department	Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.	

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
Utilities and Service Systems (Section XVI)			
6. The permittee shall pay all updated water capacity and impact fees to provide funding for the City of American Canyon to acquire additional long-term water resources and improve and develop its treatment and distribution system. The fees will allow for the City to exercise additional options for potable water capacity from the City of Vallejo and/or other sources, and will also provide for implementation of the recycled water system, helping to reduce the impact of additional water demand to less than significant.	Planning & Building Departments	Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.	
7. The project shall contribute to the City of American Canyon Short-term Water Supply Mitigation, as set forth in the City's Water Supply Report Table 3, pages 15 and 16, as non-refundable payments to the water operations fund to allow the City to acquire dry year water if necessary. If the long-term mitigations are not in place prior to the 2011-2012 water year, the project shall continue to make annual non-refundable payments until the short-term impacts are mitigated by completion of long-term improvements.	Planning & Building Departments	Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.	
<ul> <li>8. The permittee shall pay a per-unit fee to the City of American Canyon's Water Conservation Program that is current at the time building permits are issued for the project, permitting the City to develop and implement the Program. The project can further reduce its water footprint by including one or more of the following off-site water conservation opportunities available through the Water Conservation Program:</li> <li>Conversion of existing toilets to high-efficiency toilets.</li> <li>Conversion of existing washing machines to high-efficiency, front-loading washing machines.</li> <li>Conversion of existing urinals to waterless urinals.</li> <li>Conversion of existing irrigation demands from potable water to recycled water.</li> <li>Conversion of existing industrial demands from potable water to recycled water.</li> <li>Conversion of a landscape conversion project.</li> </ul>	Planning & Building Departments	Payment of fee is required prior to the issuance of a building permit.	

Mitigation Measure	Monitoring Responsibility	Monitoring/Reporting Action and Schedule	Monitoring Compliance Complete (Name / Date)
9. The permittee shall incorporate water conservation Best Management Practices (BMP's) into the project as a whole and individual projects onsite. The project will be reviewed by the City of American Canyon Public Works Department for additional onsite conservation opportunities during the building permit plan review process.	Departments	The plans submitted for building permits shall incorporate water conservation BMP's to the extent feasible.	

#### PROJECT REVISION STATEMENT

Greenwood Commerce Center

Use Permit (File #P08-00312-UP) Parcel Map (File #P08-00313-PM)

APN: 057-210-055 & 056 (portion)

Napa County **Environmental Review** 

# I hereby revise my request to include the measures specified above.

I understand and explicitly agree that with regards to all California Environmental Quality Act, Permit Streamlining Act, and Subdivision Map Act processing deadlines, this revised application will be treated as a new project, filed on the date this project revision statement is received by the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department For purposes of Section 66474.2 of the Subdivision Map Act, the date of application completeness shall 1/05 MINER remain the date this project was originally found complete.

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY (USGS) TOPOGRAPHICAL SITE LOCATION MAP QUADRANGLE TITLE: CORDELIA & CUTTINGS WHARF FILE NO .: Thompson Sheehy Mindmill (.. Squab ... 1"=2000'