Charlie Hossom

Agriculture Protection Advisory Committee

May 14, 2015

Proposal B:

This Committee has been tasked with thinking critically on the issues of growth in Napa County, and with the protection of the agricultural base of the local economy.

The traditional and appropriate role of local government is to provide education and essential services to the community. Essential services include public safety, and local infrastructure in terms of roads, water, and wastewater management. Environmental stewardship is another responsibility of local government. This responsibility is accomplished through zoning and the public planning process.

It is within this context that I recommend Proposal B. Growth and its consequential impacts do not happen by accident. In order to deal with the growth that has already occurred and focus future growth only in areas that can sustain it, takes a more comprehensive approach than only considering parcel size. It takes evaluating the surrounding area for the ability to support the impacts of the proposed growth. I believe to deal directly with the issues of growth, the County needs to focus on a zoning approach rather than a parcel size approach.

It is entirely appropriate that the County look not only at proposed development in terms of groundwater sustainability, but also from an essential service perspective. I propose use of emergency response time as a metric for evaluating whether traffic impacts of a project constitute an adverse impact on the community. If the County is aware of areas that currently are not able to meet emergency response time targets, the County should not permit further growth in that area. I believe this should apply not just to wineries in the AP or AW areas, but to other types of development such as hotels, sub-developments, and other high impact projects.

Using a Net Cumulative Impact approach will allow the County to comprehensively evaluate a project's impacts not only on a parcel's ability to meet County criteria for percent coverage and allowable uses; but also for how many cars it puts on the roads and other impacts to the community. I believe that if fact-based metrics can be developed to address the impacts of growth, they will provide a clear and rationale basis for addressing the current state of affairs and the ability to allow for future smart growth.

Let us focus on the real problems confronting the County and not parcel size.

5/26/15 APAC

Wines & Vines dB Notes

Gary MargadawT

Winery Dreamers

All Known Wineries in Napa/Sonoma, without a Tasting Room and less than 1000 gallons of production $\ensuremath{\mathsf{N}}$

Based on the Wines and Vines Website, available to members, 1199 Wineries in North Coast Region of California

Virtual and Bonded Wineries are listed. Virtual wineries purchase wine and attach their own label to the Bottle.

Napa Valley: 1) American Canyon -2 Bonded (none w/ acreage) 4 virtual (none w/ acreage) 2) Angwin 7 Bonded (Pulido Walker Cellars 6a) 8 Virtual (Bella Vetta Vineyards 5a) 3) Calistoga 15 Bonded (Pellet Estate/Krill Family Vineyards 4a) 27 Virtual (Jack Brooks Vineyards 1a) 4) Napa 57 Bonded (Spence Vineyards 3a) 130 Virtual (Brookdale Vineyards 1a) 5) Oakville 4 Bonded (Schlein Vineyard 5a) 7 Virtual (Nemerever Vineyards 6a)

- 6) Pope Valley
 - 1 Bonded (Aetna Spring Cellars 8a)
 - 1 Virtual (James Creek 7a)
- 7) Rutherford
 - 3 Bonded (Athair Wines 0a)
 - 5 Virtual (Galleron Signature 8a)
- 8) St Helena
 - 12 Bonded (Parry Cellars 1a)
 - 60 Virtual (Peacock Family 6a)
- 9) Yountville
 - 1 Bonded (Perata Vineyards 45a)
 - 7 Virtual (Dillon Vineyards, 6a)
- 10) Napa County TOTALS

102 Bonded (35 less than 10a) (33 none w/ acreage listed)

249 Virtual (54 less than 10a) (134 none w/ acreage listed)

	т.	Post-WDO (75% Rule Applies) Expansion of pre-WDO (75% Rule Applies)	WDO-Exempt Cities Portion of Production is with Napa Fruit (Assume 33%)	Pending Total Gallons with 0 Napa Fruit	AIGAD	Total	Permitted Winery Capacity (gallons) Bellow is 2013	Total Wine Grape Sourcing	Total Gallons (160g/T)	Total Acreage(Bearing) Total Grape Yeild	
Gallons Napa	otal Gallons	13038048 19121599 Total	35060015 10293530 Total	1373340 Total	17013500	126799292	ellow is 2013	37168732	27883520	43568 174272	2013
	Total Gallons with 75% Napa	32159647	45353545	49285840							
35344738	47126317	32159647	14966670	0	Napa			37188354	27898240	43591 174364	2014
								44285459	33222400	51910 207640	2030