P09-00243-

# CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING COMMISSION

1195 Third Street, Suite 210, Napa, California, 94559 • (707) 253-4417

# **APPLICATION FOR USE PERMIT EXCEPTION TO CONSERVATION REGULATIONS**

FOR OFFICE U	SEONLY
ZONING DISTRICT: AW	Date Submitted: 6/10/09
TYPE OF APPLICATION: Use Permit Stream	Example Date Published:
REQUEST:	Date Complete:
TO BE COMPLETED (Piease ty) e or pr	BY APPLICANT
PROJECT NAME: Black Forest Vineyards	
Assessor's Parcel #: 018-060-068	Existing Parcel Size: 87.69 +/- ac.
Site Address/Location: Dutch Henry Road, Calistogs	
Property Owner's Name: Peju Provence Corporation	City State Zip
Mailing Address: P.O. Box 478 Rutherford, California 94573	
Telephone #:(707) 963 - 3600 Fax #: (707) 963 - 3	on our
Applicant's Name: Same as above	
Mailing Address: Company to the	City State Zip
Telephone #:() Fax #: ()	•
Status of Applicant's Interest in Property: Owner	
Representative Name: Royce W. Cunningham	
Mailing Address: 825 Corte Malaga Vacaville, California 956	88
Telephone # ( 707) 448-2066 Fax #: ( 707 )	Ony State Zip
I certify that all the information contained in this application, is supply/waste disposal information sheet, site plan, plo pladisposal system plot plan and toxic materials list, is complete authorize such investigations including access to County A County Planning Division for preparation of reports related to property involved.  Signature of Appletant.  Date  Print Name  Date	ncluding but not limited to the information sheet, water n, floor plan, building elevations, water supply/waste and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I hereby seeson's Records as are deprind necessary.
TO BE COMPLETED BY CONSERVATION, DEVEL Application Fee Deposit: $\$$ $8,264,00$ Receipt No.: $74'$	
"Total fees to be based on time and material	RECEIVED
	un 1 o 2009

JUN T 0 SOOR

NAPA CO. CUNSERVATION DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING DEPT.

Macinton HD:Usera:jredding:Documents;LUPS Docs:10n Line CONSREG EXCEPTION doc 09/05/07

# SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION FORM USE PERMIT EXCEPTION TO CONSERVATION REGULATION

1. Please explain the reason for the exception request.		
The subject parcel contains two existing vineyard blocks (#'s 1 & 2) totaling 6.9 acres. Approximately 1/3 of an acre of vineyard		
block #2 was planted 10-30 feet within the 65-foot setback from Dutch Henry Creek without first obtaining an exception to		
County setbacks. The 1/3 acres is still setback 35 feet (minimum) from Dutch Henry Creek. The remainder of		
the 6.9 acres of vineyards was planted in conformance with county stream setbacks. In addition to this vineyard encroachment,		
unauthorized grading of portions of the site occurred without appropriate permits. A settlement was reached with Napa County		
by which the applicant would undertake a comprehensive remediation of portions of the site where these grading activities		
occurred. These remedial measures are identified in more detail in the settlement agreement dated April 10, 2009. The same		
settlement agreement also allows the two existing vineyard blocks to remain if a use permit exception is granted for the		
approximate 1/3-acre portion of the vineyard that encroaches into the required stream setback.		
The applicant is proposing to increase the required setback on a portion of proposed vineyard block #4 by 35 feet beyond what		
the conservation regulations would otherwise require. This increased setback will create an area of compensation, equal to the		
acreage of encroachment to 'compensate' for the 1/3-acre encroachment. The proposed enhanced setback in vineyard block #4		
would result in a net setback increase. The total area of current vineyard encroachment measures 16,560 s.f. The enhanced		
setback area measures 17,580 s.f. an increase of 1000 s.f.		
2. Are there any alternatives to the project which would not require an exception? Please explain.		
Removal of portions of the existing vineyard that was planted within the required setback from Dutch Henry Creek is the		
alternative to the granting of the requested exception for the 1/3-acre encroachment. To remove this vineyard would require		
alteration to the remaining existing vineyard rows, including the removal of end posts, modification of existing irrigation and		
permanent loss of revenue without increasing the environmental values of the site. The areas where encroachments occurred		
was void of significant vegetation, is set back 35-feet from Dutch Henry Creek and outside of the riparian area. As the		
accompanying erosion control plan indicates that the encroachment that poses no significant unmitigatable erosion potential.		
Analyses included with the erosion control plan documents that the existing setback together with the proposed erosion control		
measures provide sufficient protection for the water quality of Dutch Henry Creek.		

tion 18	108.040.A. Structural/road development projects
	nds, driveways, buildings and other man-made structures have been designent the natural landform and to avoid excessive grading:
ser	nary and accessory structures employ architectural and design elements which ir ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements:  i. Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;
ser	ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements:
ser	<ul> <li>ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements: <ol> <li>Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;</li> <li>Foundation types such as poles, piles, or stepping level which minimize cufill and the need for retaining walls;</li> <li>Fence lines, walls, and other features which blend with the existing to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
ser	<ul> <li>ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements: <ol> <li>Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;</li> <li>Foundation types such as poles, piles, or stepping level which minimize cufill and the need for retaining walls;</li> <li>Fence lines, walls, and other features which blend with the existing to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
ser	<ul> <li>ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements: <ol> <li>Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;</li> <li>Foundation types such as poles, piles, or stepping level which minimize cufill and the need for retaining walls;</li> <li>Fence lines, walls, and other features which blend with the existing to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
ser	<ul> <li>ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements: <ol> <li>Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;</li> <li>Foundation types such as poles, piles, or stepping level which minimize cufill and the need for retaining walls;</li> <li>Fence lines, walls, and other features which blend with the existing to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
ser	<ul> <li>ve to reduce the amount of grading and earthmoving activity required for the pruding the following elements: <ol> <li>Multiple-floor levels which follow existing, natural slopes;</li> <li>Foundation types such as poles, piles, or stepping level which minimize cufill and the need for retaining walls;</li> <li>Fence lines, walls, and other features which blend with the existing to</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

	c. The development project minimizes removal of existing vegetation, incorporates existing vegetation into final design plans, and replacement vegetation of appropriate size, quality and quantity is included to mitigate adverse environmental effects.
4.	Adequate fire safety measures have been incorporated into the design of the proposed development.
-	
5.	Disturbance to streams and watercourses shall be minimized, and setbacks shall be retained as specified in Section 18.108.025.
6.	The project does not adversely impact threatened or endangered plant or animal habitats as designated by state or federal agencies with jurisdiction and identified on the county's environmental sensitivity maps.
_	
ļ	
=	п
Se Co	ction 18.108.040.B. Agricultural projects, or Agricultural roads as defined by Napa ounty Department of Public Works:

7. The erosion rate that results two years from the completion of the proposed agricultural development does not exceed the soil tolerance factor approved by the Natural Resource Conservation Service for the soil type, topography and climatic conditions in which the project is located; (Please attach a copy of the USLE worksheet used to determine the erosion rate).
A copy of the USLE worksheet is included in the June 2009 narrative prepared by Royce Cunningham, P.E.
Appendix D of the document entitled, "Site Improvement and Erosion Control Plans Project Narrative and
Back Up Data" indicates that at the three points of measurement, the soil loss was less than the soil
tolerance factor approved by NRCS.
8. Impacts on streams and watercourses are minimized, and adequate setbacks along these drainageways are or will be maintained.
Even with the 1/3 acre encroachment, the existing vineyards are all a minimum of 35 feet from Dutch
Henry creek. This buffer area together with the measures included in the June 2009 erosion control plan
will ensure that impacts of earthmoving from both the existing and proposed vineyards will be minimized.
In addition to controlling erosion from vineyard development, the ECP provides for the installation of
water bars and other remedial measures on perimeter roads. Permanent cover crop will be planted and
maintained at 70% ground cover. Analyses provided by the project engineer indicates that post-project soil
loss is within acceptable limits. no riparian vegetation will be removed as a result of the installation and
recognition of the five (5) vineyard blocks proposed as part of the accompanying ECP. Existing vegetation
on the south side of Dutch Henry creek will remain undisturbed and continue to filter and remove sediment
from upland areas.
<ol> <li>The project does not adversely impact sensitive, rare, threatened or endangered plant or animal habitats as designated by state or federal agencies with jurisdiction and identified on the county's environmental sensitivity maps.</li> </ol>
A review of the county's environmental sensitivity maps revealed no sensitive, rare, threatened or
endangered plants or animal habitats within the projects' bouundaruies.

#### INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

Fursiant to Chapter 1.30 of the Napa County Code, as part of the application for a discretional land use project approval for the project identified below, Applicant agrees to defend, indemnify, release and hold harmless Napa County, its agents, officers, attorneys, employees, departments boards and commissions (hereafter collectively "County") from any claim, action or proceeding (hereafter collectively "proceeding") brought against County, the purpose of which is to attack, as aside, void or annul the discretionary project approval of the County, or an action relating to the project required by any such proceeding to be taken to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act by County, or both. This indemnification shall include, but not be limited to damages awarded against the County, if any, and cost of suit, attorneys' fees, and other liabilities and expenses incurred in connection with such proceeding that relate to this discretionary approval or an action related to this project taken to comply with CEQA whether incurred by the Applicant County, and/or the parties initiating or bringing such proceeding. Applicant further agrees to indemnify the County for all of County's costs, attorneys' fees, and damages, which the County incurs in enforcing this indemnification agreement.

Applicant further agrees, as a condition of project approval, to defend, indemnify and mainless the County for all costs incurred in additional investigation of or study of, or insurplementing, redrafting, revising, or amending any document (such as an EIR, negative declaration, specific plan, or general plan amendment) if made necessary by said proceeding and if the Applicant desires to pursue securing approvals which are conditioned on the approval of such documents.

In the event any such proceeding is brought, County shall promptly notify the Applicant of the proceeding, and County shall cooperate fully in the defense. If County fails to promptly notify the Applicant of the proceeding, or if County fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the Applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the County. The County shall retain the right to participate in the defense of the proceeding if it bears its own attorneys' fees and costs, and defends the action in good faith. The Applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless the settlement is approved by the Applicant.

Applicant

400

Proper Owner (if other than Applicant)

Project Identification

The state of the s

JUN 1 0 2009

DESIL DENENT OF ENTREES



# **Napa County**

CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT & PLANNING COMMISSION
1195 Third Street, Room 210, Napa, California 94559
(707) 253-4416

# **BASIC APPLICATION FOR EROSION CONTROL PLAN REVIEW**

	FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SUBMITTAL DATE:
	APN#:	USGS QUAD:
[]STRUCTURAL [	-	TOWNSHIP/RANGE:
REQUEST:		
PROJECT TYPE:	Agriculture: New Vineyard Replant (Process	
	Non-Agriculture: Structure Driveway Road _	
PERCENT SLOPE:	Cropland: Structure: Pad:	
OTHER PERMITS:	Grading Permit Use Permit: Variance: Sep	
REVIEW AGENCIES:	CDPD: X County Consultant: OR RCD: _	
FINAL APPROVAL:	CDPD: X Date:	<del></del>
	TO BE COMPLETED BY APPLIC (Please type or print legibly)	CANT
Applicant's Name	TONY PEJU	
Telephone #: (707	)963-3600 Fax #: (707) 963-3648	E-Mail: toeiv @ seiv.com
Mailing Address:_	8466 ST. HELENA HWY RUTH	SCRED CA 94573
Status of Applicar	No Street City nt's Interest in Property:	State Zip
Property Owner's	Name: SAME	
Mailing Address:	)Fax #: ()	
	No Street City	State Zip
Site Address/Loca	ation: 321 DUTCH HENRY CANYON	RA. CALISTOGA, CA.
Assessor's Parcel #:	O18-060-068 Existing Parcel Size: 87-7	acres Develonment Area Size: 13.3 acres
	to <u>15 % Total Acreage</u> ≥ 30%: <u>O</u> acres Estima	
	rey Prepared By NAPA Co. GIS - MONTO	
(NOTE: Contour map/surve	ey is required for all development areas with an estimated slope of 15% as within 100 of the cut and fill edges. Percent slope shall be calculated	or greater and for all road/driveway projects. Contour
	EXISTING WELL AND POND	and presented as whole numbers.)
	☐ Water Rights ☐ Groundwater ☐ Well ☐	
I hereby certify that all the information contained in this application, including but not limited to, this application form, the supplemental information sheets, site plan, plot plan, cross sections/elevations, is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I hereby authorize such investigations including access to County Assessor's Records as are deemed necessary by the County Planning Division for evaluation of this application and preparation of reports related thereto, including the right of access to the property involved.  Signature of Applicant  Date  Signature of Ryoperty Owner  Date		
TO BE	COMPLETED BY CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT	AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT
	ceipt Number: Received By	Date

# **EROSION CONTROL PLAN SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

1	Project/Construction Phasing Information	
1.	Project Description:  APN:  CLEARING OF ACRES OF MIXED BRUSH A	800-060-910
Í	FOR CONVERSION TO VINEYARD	
		sturbed: 12.8 acres
2.	Project Phases: ☑ one ☐ two or ☐	M Outel TOWNE
3.	Anticipated date to start construction (month/year): JULY , 2009	
4.	Estimated date of completion of each phase:  Phase Phase	1: OCTOBER 1, 2009
5.	Total construction time estimated: WEEKS	
6.	Work scheduled between Oct. 1 and Apr. 1? ☐Yes ☑No OR between Sept. 1 and Apr. 1? ☐Yes ☐No (municip	al watershed)
7.	Winterization measures in the Erosion Control Plan Fred Pour Vene	TATIVE MEASURES
8.	Is a grading permit, a well permit, or a sewage disposal permit required?	⊠No arts. been notified? ∐Yes ∐No
Sk	ope Information	
9.		_ % to <b>2.5</b> _%
10		
10.	Total acreage with slopes greater than or equal to 30%:	acres
	Contour mapping source: NAPA COUNTY 615 ORTHOMAP	
	The same and the s	PING
	Contour mapping source: NAPA COUNTY GIS ORTHOMAP	PIN 6 Praion Permits
11. 12.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Divershed Area:  Water-deficient area:     Yes (applicant must contact Co Env Mgmt Divershed Area)	PIN 6 Praion Permits
11. 12.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Divershed Area:  Water-deficient area:  Sub-Watershed Name:  NAPA PIJER	PING Pration Permits ept) ⊠No
11. 12. 13.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Diver Water-deficient area:  □Yes (applicant must contact Co Env Mgmt D Sub-Watershed Name: NAPA PINER  Municipal Reservoir Watershed: □Yes ☒No	PIN 6  Prision Permits  ept) No  Rector
11. 12.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Dive  Water-deficient area:	PIN 6  Prision Permits  ept) No  Rector
11. 12. 13.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Dive  Water-deficient area:	PING  Pration Permits  ept) No  Rector  Yes No
11. 12. 13.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Dives  Water-deficient area:	Procession Permits  ept) No  Rector  Yes No  60.5 acres
11. 12. 13.	Water Deficient Area, Watershed Area, & Water Rights Dive  Water-deficient area:	Procession Permits  P

	Streams, Watercourses, & Streambed Alteration Agree	ements	
	All streams and watercourses in vicinity of project area(s) shown and the required s distance and slope?  Is there a State Dept of Fish & Game Streambed Alteration (1603) Permit associate	∑Yes d with th	ΠNo
	<ul><li>(a) Copy of State Dept of Fish &amp; Game Permit attached?</li><li>(b) Date application for necessary permit submitted to this agency:</li><li>(c) Copy of CEQA document prepared attached?</li></ul>	∐Yes	OR No
	Environmental Setting	2.0	
19.	Is any portion of the project located on or within 500' of a landslide?  Cite	∐Yes	⊠No
sour 20.	ls any portion of the project located in the vicinity of rare/endangered species, species, animal), wetland (type), riparian habitat, critical habitat, etc.?  If yes, list:	cies of s ∐Yes	
	Cite source/reference(s):		<b>)</b>
21.	Is any portion of the project located on or within 500' of an archeological or historic	oito?	date: ∐Yes ⊠No
21.	Cite source:  Specific study prepared:	SILE?	☐ Tes ⊠INO
	Specific study prepared: by		date:
(C)E	Ing Information		
22.	Are any new roads/driveways associated with the project?	∐Yes	⊠No
23.	Are any new vineyard avenues associated with the project?	⊠Yes	-
24.	Will the project involve any recontouring of the land?	□Yes	⊠No
<b>25</b> .	Will there be any excavation or fill deeper than 12 inches?	⊠Yes	∐No
26.	Total cubic yards of cut & fill:  Cubic yards of cut:  LO fill:  Spoils location: on-site LO cy Spread in Vineyard off-site		
<b>27</b> .	Has a grading permit been filed with the Co Public Works Dept?	∐Yes	⊠No
28.	Will the project involve repair of a landslide?  Location Size Report	∐Yes	⊠No
(1.5)	TIMBER HARVEST/TIMBER CONVERSION PERMITS		
29.	Is there a Timber Harvest or Conversion permit associated with the project/parcel?	∐Yes	⊠No
	a) Copy of State Dept of Forestry Permit attached?	res: ∐Yes	OP
	b) Date application for necessary permit submitted to this agency:		
	<ul><li>c) Copy of associated CEQA document attached?</li><li>d) Date other County erosion control plan(s) submitted if different than the applicat</li></ul>	☐Yes ion date	□No for this plan:
	Is there a Timberland Conversion Exception associated with the project or parcel?		San

# SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION (ECP)

	To be provided by Property Owner:	
Attach response sheets to this page.		
<ol> <li>3.</li> <li>4.</li> <li>6.</li> <li>7.</li> </ol>	A. GENERAL INFORMATION  Name, address, telephone number of property owner.  Address of project.  APN.  Name, Address and telephone number of person to be contacted concerning this project, if different than owner.  Indicate type or number of the permit application for the project to which this form pertains.  List and describe any other related permits and/or other public approvals required for this project or parcel, including those required by city, regional, state and federal agencies.  Existing zoning district.  Proposed use of entire site and/or parcel. List and describe any other projects or improvements with site locations anticipated within the next several years (1-3-5 years).	
	B. PROJECT DESCRIPTION	
10. 11. 12. 13.	Parcel(s) size(s), acres per parcel. Project(s) size(s), acres per project. Attach plans. Proposed scheduling. Anticipated incremental or phased development. If the project involves Napa County grading permit, use permit, variance or rezoning application, state this and indicate clearly why the application is required.	
Dis	cuss and check yes the following items which are applicable to your project or its effects (attach additional sheets) YES NO	
15. 16.	Change in existing features of any watercourses, wetlands, tidelands, beaches, hills or alteration of ground contours.	
17. 18.	<ul> <li>☐ Change in the pattern, scale or character of general area of project.</li> <li>☐ Change in bay, lake, stream or ground water quality or quantity, or alteration of existing drainage patterns.</li> </ul>	
19. 20. 21.	E CONTRACTOR CONTRACTO	
	C. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING	
	Describe the project site as it exists before the project, including information on topography, soil stability, plants and animals, wetlands (types), riparian habitat and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Describe any/all existing structures on the site, and the use of the structures. Attach photographs of the site, could include current aerial photo.	
	Describe the surrounding properties (approximately ¼ mile radius form parcel boundary), including information on plants and animals and any cultural, historical or scenic aspects. Indicate the type of land use (agriculture, residential, commercial, etc.), intensity of land use (vineyards, winery, one-family, multi-family, industry, etc.), and scale of development (acres, height, setback, yard, etc.). Attach photographs of the vicinity, could include current aerial photo.	
	D. CERTIFICATION	
and	reby certify that the statements furnished responding to the above and in the attached sheets present the data information required for this initial evaluation to the best of my ability, and that the facts, statements, and mation presented are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.  Signature of Property Owner	

Page 7

02/11/2008

I:\ORIGDOCS\APPFORMS\1On Line ECP.doc

### SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION (ECP)

#### A. General Information

1. Property Owner:

Anthony Peju

8466 St. Helena Hwy Rutherford, CA 94573

(707) 963-0306

2. Project Address:

321 Dutch Henry Canyon Road

Napa County, CA

3. APN:

018-060-068

4. Contact:

Royce W. Cunningham, PE

Monticello Engineering 825 Corte Malaga Vacaville, CA 95688

(707) 448-2066

5. Permit Type:

Agricultural ECP

6. Other Permits:

Grading Permit Napa County

Use Permit Napa County

7. Existing Zoning:

Agricultural

8. Proposed Use:

13.3 total acres of vineyard

Remaining 74.4 acres woodland/ranch

#### B. Project Description

9. Parcel Size:

87.7 acres

10. Project Size:

13.3 acres

11. Plans:

Attached

12. Proposed Schedule:

May 1, 2010 - October 1, 2010

Schedule dependent upon obtaining permits

13. Phasing: One phase (an initial clearing phase previously

occurred in 2006 and 2007)

14. Other Napa Co. Permits: Grading Permit to construct diversion channel

Use Permit to allow stream setback variance

15. Yes, construction of a new drainage channel

(Channel #2) is proposed

16. No

17. Yes, change from mixed brush and grassland to

vineyard

18. No

19. Yes, portions of new vineyard on slopes from 5%

to 30%

20. No

21. No

#### C. Environmental Setting

22. The proposed project consists of 13.3 acres of vineyard development located in the Dutch Henry Canyon area of Napa Valley. Elevations range from approximately 400 feet msl to 550 feet msl. Most of the new vineyard is on slopes of less than ten percent (10%). However, some of the vineyard will be planted on hillside slopes to just under thirty percent (30%).

Vegetative cover in the project area consists primarily of mixed brush and grassland. Dutch Henry Canyon Creek runs through the center of the property, with a heavily wooded eastern bank.

The soil type on the property consists of Boomer-Forward-Felta Complex on the slopes and in the contributing watershed. Preliminary soil investigations indicate soil depths of between 36 and 42 inches in the proposed development area. The proposed development area consists of six (6) separate vineyard blocks, two f which are already planted.

23. The surrounding properties to the south, as Dutch Henry Canyon opens up into the Napa Valley, are primarily vineyard. The properties to the north, west and east are steep, rugged, heavily forested undeveloped properties. Most of the other properties surrounding the project property are large parcels, or smaller (10 to 20 acres) family-owned ranchette-style properties.

#### **INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT**

Pursuant to Chapter 1.30 of the Napa County Code, as part of the application for a discretionary land use project approval for the project identified below, Applicant agrees to defend, indemnify, release and hold harmless Napa County, its agents, officers, attorneys, employees, departments, boards and commissions (hereafter collectively "County") from any claim, action or proceeding (hereafter collectively "proceeding") brought against County, the purpose of which is to attack, set aside, void or annul the discretionary project approval of the County, or an action relating to this project required by any such proceeding to be taken to comply with the California Environmental Quality Act by County, or both. This indemnification shall include, but not be limited to damages awarded against the County, if any, and cost of suit, attorneys' fees, and other liabilities and expenses incurred in connection with such proceeding that relate to this discretionary approval or an action related to this project taken to comply with CEQA whether incurred by the Applicant, the County, and/or the parties initiating or bringing such proceeding. Applicant further agrees to indemnify the County for all of County's costs, attorneys' fees, and damages, which the County incurs in enforcing this indemnification agreement.

Applicant further agrees, as a condition of project approval, to defend, indemnify and hold harmless the County for all costs incurred in additional investigation of or study of, or for supplementing, redrafting, revising, or amending any document (such as an EIR, negative declaration, specific plan, or general plan amendment) if made necessary by said proceeding and if the Applicant desires to pursue securing approvals which are conditioned on the approval of such documents.

In the event any such proceeding is brought, County shall promptly notify the Applicant of the proceeding, and County shall cooperate fully in the defense. If County fails to promptly notify the Applicant of the proceeding, or if County fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the Applicant shall not thereafter be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the County. The County shall retain the right to participate in the defense of the proceeding if it bears its own attorneys' fees and costs, and defends the action in good faith. The Applicant shall not be required to pay or perform any settlement unless the settlement is approved by the Applicant.

1.47	
Applicant	Property Owner (if other than Applicant
6-15-9	
Date	Project Identification