NapaSan NapaSan

NAPA SANITATION DISTRICT

Amendment No. 02 to Task Order No. 50

GHD - TASK ORDER No. 50 Browns Valley Trunk Project – Design (CIP #14703)

Whereas, the NAPA SANITATION DISTRICT	(District), and GHD (Consultant), entered into a
Professional Services Agreement (Agreeme	ent), dated <u>August 5</u> , 20 <u>14</u> ; and
	by District on <u>April 11</u> , 20 <u>16</u> , to provide authorized Not-to-Exceed fee for providing the
services set forth in Task Order No. 50 was	\$ <u>980,278.00</u> ; and
on <u>March 27</u> , 20 <u>18</u> to provide <u>A</u>	er No. 50 in the amount of \$29,485.00 was executed additional Environmental and SRF Loan Assistance. Task Order No. 50 and Amendment No. 01 increased
	k Order to provide for additional professional rder and Amendment No. 01, as set forth below:
 Scope of Services: See Attachment 'A' Deliverables: See Attachment 'A' Schedule for Performance of Work in Task-Cost Budget Summary: See Attachment 'A' 	including Milestone Dates: See Attachment 'A'
_	lerlying Agreement, Task Order No. 50 and any t, except to increase the amount the Consultant may -Exceed total amount of \$1,109,331.00.
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, DISTRICT and CONS	SULTANT have executed this Amendment this, 20
"DISTRICT" NAPA SANITATION DISTRICT	"CONSULTANT" GHD
Ву:	By:
Title: Purchasing Agent	Title:
ATTACHMENTS: A & B	

GHD

Attachment 'A'

Scope of Work

Task 1 – Project Management

GHD will provide project management services during the extended portion of the project, from February 2017 through October 2018. This includes continuation of the tasks from our original scope of work, with the exception of the project kickoff meeting and work plan, which were completed in April 2016.

Task 2 - Field Investigations

This task includes additional positive utility verification (potholing) and geotechnical services associated with recommendations made and project direction taken from the 90% submittal constructability review.

2.3 Positive Utility Verification

This task includes positive utility verification (a.k.a., potholing) of targeted utilities at the Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway intersection for the revised alignment of the proposed sewer that intercepts flows from the existing sewer collection system at the intersection (this proposed sewer conveys flows to the proposed trunk sewer). GHD will contract with Exaro Technologies (Exaro) to complete the planning, permitting, and field work activities for approximately seven potholes. Pothole information will be used in the development of the revised plans. The assumed budget for this effort is within Exaro's remaining budget.

2.4 Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting

This task includes geotechnical work to support proposed changes in the project to 1) deepen the trenchless crossing at the Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway intersection; and 2) change the method of construction from open cut to trenchless construction in Old Sonoma Road. GHD will contract with MJA for additional geotechnical exploration and evaluation and update of pertinent recommendations provided in the draft geotechnical report and the trenchless TM. The budget estimate provided by MJA for this effort is \$43,020 and is within MJA's remaining budget.

Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway Intersection

MJA will evaluate and update pertinent recommendations provided in the draft geotechnical report and the trenchless TM relative to deepening of the trunk sewer at this location. The trunk sewer may be deepened to provide two feet of vertical clearance below the existing 12-inch sanitary sewer. Deepening of the trenchless crossing will result in the following risks to be evaluated:

- A mixed-reach tunnel zone that includes granular deposits.
- Systemic settlement of the existing 12-inch sanitary sewer (i.e., related to overcut during installation of the casin).

Old Sonoma Road

The new project approach is to install the trunk sewer within Old Sonoma Road by the microtunneling method (MTBM). The extent of additional trenchless construction in Old Sonoma Road is from Oran Court, at the current eastern limit of the Highway 29 trenchless crossing, to either Montgomery Street (~1,024 LF) or South Jefferson Street (~1,832 LF). MJA will perform additional geotechnical exploration and evaluate and update



pertinent recommendations provided in the draft geotechnical report and the trenchless TM. Existing geotechnical information acquired by MJA for this portion of the project is summarized in the following table.

Potential Additional Trenchless Reach - Existing Geotechnical Information ¹

oteritial Additional Trenchiess Iteach - Existing Geotechnical Information												
Item	Projected Station	Boring/ Piezo Depth	Invert Depth	GW Depth at Boring/ Piezo/Well	GW Head at Invert	Tunnel Zone Soils; Nearest SPT Blow Count (N)						
Start of Potential Additional Trenchless	49+42		14	-	-	-						
MJA Test Boring B-7	53+30	25	16	7 (5/2/2016)	9	clay; N=5						
Montgomery St.	56+50		19	-	-	-						
GHD Piezometer PZ5	58+50	24	22	10.75 (9/19/2017)	11.25	clay						
MJA Test Boring B-8	63+80	30	22	20.5 (5/24/2016)	1.5	clay; N=18						
MJA Test Boring B-9	66+30	50	20	21.5 (5/17/2016)	-	clay, silt, sand, and gravel; N=17						
End of Potential Additional Trenchless	66+74		21	-	-	-						
MJA Test Boring/ Well B-10	70+75	50	18	6.95 (9/19/2017)	11.05	clay and silt; N=4						

¹ Depths are in feet below ground surface. Based on 90% project plans by GHD and in-progress geotechnical report by McMillen Jacobs Associates (MJA). Except on date of piezometer and well measurements, the depth to groundwater (GW) and groundwater head is at time of drilling, and does not reflect static groundwater levels. The static groundwater level will likely be shallower, and the groundwater head will likely be more than indicated in the borings that were not completed as a piezometer or well.

Geotechnical test borings will be performed to 1.5 times the depth of planned shafts (shaft depths are typically the invert depth plus 3 feet) at each shaft location, and heavily sampled within depths of the projected tunnel zone depth and to one pipeline diameter both above and below the tunnel zone depth. There is anticipated to be four (4) shafts over the maximum length of 1,832 feet of additional trenchless installation. Consequently, and based on the planned invert depths provided in the table above, at least 4 geotechnical test borings should be performed along the possible additional trenchless reach (at the shaft locations), to depths on the order of 30 to 35-feet below ground surface. There will be a shared shaft location at Station 66+74 (will serve as the receiving shaft for the State Highway 29 trenchless crossing), the planned location of the other 4 shafts will be near the manhole locations shown on the 90% drawings.

MJA will use the information provided in its draft project geotechnical report and from the additional test borings, to evaluate and update pertinent recommendations in our final geotechnical project report and in the trenchless TM. Depending on the findings of the additional exploration, performing the additional trenchless construction in Old Sonoma Road will have similar risks to the Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway intersection.

MJA will begin its work by verifying proposed drilling locations. Then, MJA will submit permit applications for drilling and encroachment to the City and County of Napa. Timing for processing of permit applications may take one to several weeks. MJA will mark the proposed boring locations and perform additional research while waiting for permit approvals. Drilling is anticipated to take three weeks, which would be followed by approximately two weeks for laboratory testing and two weeks for MJA to finalize the project geotechnical report and trenchless TM.

Task 3 – Permitting and Community Outreach

No additional scope of work for this task.



Task 4 - Prepare 50% Contract Documents

No additional scope of work for this task.

Task 5 – Detailed Design

5.1 Prepare 90% Contract Documents

At the request of NapaSan following City review of the 50% submittal, GHD prepared traffic control plans and related plan sheets, along with technical specifications, and opinion of probable construction cost for the 90% submittal.

5.2 Prepare 99% Contract Documents

GHD revised the traffic control documents per comments received for the 90% submittal. Additional effort for the 99% submittal also included revision of the plans to incorporate changes to the alignment in Old Sonoma Road and at the Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway intersection.

5.3 Prepare 100% Contract Documents

This task includes effort associated with the additional deliverables described in Task 5.2, as well as revision for the alignment in South Coombs Street for coordination with planned design for the West Napa Pump Station improvements.

5.4 Prepare Final Contract Documents

This task includes effort associated with the additional deliverables described in Task 5.2 and 5.3.

Task 6 – Bidding Phase Assistance

No additional scope of work for this task.

Task 7 - SRF Loan Assistance

Additional work items have been required by the Water Board for NapaSan's SRF loan application. GHD has assisted NapaSan in the preparation of various cultural and biological tasks, including: Street tree cultural review, Archaeological Resources Study, Section 106 Findings of No Effect Letter, Environmental Alternatives Analysis, Biological Resources Assessment Update, and correspondence with the Water Board and NapaSan throughout the preparation of the additional documents.

Task 8 - Additional Traffic Control and Field Work Activities

A portion of the budget assigned to this task is allotted to the tasks described above. The remaining portion of the budget is retained in this phase for potential use with the planned field work activities in Task 2. The result is a negative amount shown in the attached fee estimate.



Schedule

The following provides a tentative schedule for the remainder of the project's design phase and incorporates the additional tasks included in this contract amendment. All timeframes are in year 2018.

Task	Start Date	End Date		
Notice to Proceed	April 23, 2018			
Sub-contracting (1 week)	Late April	Late April		
Determine geotech drilling locations (1 week)	Early May	Early May		
Encroachment and drilling permitting (4 weeks)	Mid-May	Mid-June		
Geotech drilling in Old Sonoma Road / potholing at	Mid-June	Mid-July		
Laurel/Kilburn/Freeway (3 weeks) ¹				
Laboratory Testing (2 weeks)	Mid-July	Early August		
Final Geotech Report and Trenchless TM (2 weeks)	Early August	Mid-August		
Prepare 100% submittal (3 weeks)	Late August	Mid-September		
NapaSan and City review for 100% submittal (5 weeks)	Late September	Early November		
Prepare final submittal (2 weeks)	Early November	Mid-November		

¹ Additional days added to account for July 4th holiday

Engineering Fee

Compensation for services shall be on a time and materials basis for \$99,568. See the attached detailed fee estimate.

Closing

It is assumed that upon approval of the scope of work for the engineering services provided herein, NapaSan will prepare a contract amendment for signature by GHD. Please don't hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding the scope of work. Thank you for the opportunity to serve the Napa Sanitation District.

Sincerely,

GHD Inc.

Matt Winkelman, P.E.

Project Manager 707) 236-1546

matt.winkelman@ghd.com

Attachments: Fee Estimate Spreadsheet

GHD - PROJECT FEE ESTIMATING SHEET

Project Name:	Browns Valley Trunk Project - Design Phase	Client: Napa Sanitation District
Prepared by:	M Winkelman	Data: Δpril 5, 2018

Prepared by: M. Winkelman

Reviewed by: T.Whiton

Job Number: 11121534

		LABOR COSTS													FEE COMPUTATION						
	LABOR CATEGORY > RATE >	Principal \$265	QA/QC \$235	Project Manager \$225	Sr. Proj. Engr. \$225	Proj. Engr. \$160	Tunnel Engr. \$200	Transp. Engr. \$150	Staff Engr. Transp. \$115	Senior Scientist \$160	Sr. Biologist \$135	Biologist \$120	GIS (Env.) \$100	CAD / Graphics \$140	CAD (Transp.) \$95	Admin \$110	TOTAL HOURS	*OTHER DIRECT	SUB SSU	SUB Interactive Resources	TOTAL FEE
Task / Item		/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr	/Hr		COSTS	(Arch)	(Hist. Pres.)	
Tools 4 Project Management (Phase 40)																					
Task 1 Project Management (Phase 10)		Г		I 40					1	Ī	Ι	Ī	Ī		I		F0	#200		T	¢11 020
1.1 Project Management	Subtotal Task 1	0		42		0	0		0	_	0				0		50	\$300 \$300	\$0	90	\$11,030 \$11 ,030
Task 5 Detailed Design (Phase 50)	Subtotal Task I	<u> </u>	U	42	1	<u>)</u>	U	U				'I U	' 0	<u>'I</u>	l 0		7 50	\$300	φυ	Ψυ	\$11,030
5.1 Prepare 90% CDs								64	90						42		186	\$1,116			\$23,906
5.2 Prepare 99% CDs		2				3 32	11	12							24		117	\$702			\$18,132
5.3 Prepare 100% CDs		2	2	1	10	2 24		η 12	16					20	20		124	\$702 \$744			\$20,124
5.4 Prepare Final CDs		1	2	2	12	2 16	10 Ω	- 0	10					20	12		65	\$390			\$10,655
5.4 FTepare Filial CDS	Subtotal Task 5	5	8	6	28	3 72	35	88	132	0	0	0	0	20	98	(492		\$0	\$0	\$70,033 \$ 72,817
Task 7 SRF Loan Assistance	Cubiciai Tuok C	<u> </u>		<u> </u>		, , , ,			102			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		30		7	Ψ2,302	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	Ψ12,011
7.1 Prepare Additional SRF Documents				4		3				24	32	36	4			2	110	\$660	\$10,107	\$1,313	\$27,880
	Subtotal Task 7	0	0	4	. 8	3 0	0	0	0	24	32			. 0	0	2	110		\$10,107		\$27,880
Task 8 Additional Traffic Control and Field Wo				•					•			<u>.</u>	<u>.</u>		•		•		. ,	. , ,	. ,
8.1 Additional Traffic Control and Field Work Active	vities																				-\$12,159
	Subtotal Contingency	0	0	0	(0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$12,159
SUBTOTAL - BASIC SCOPE OF SERVI	ICES	5	8	52	36	80	35	88	132	24	32	36	4	20	98	2	652	\$ 3,912	\$ 10,107	\$ 1,313	\$ 99,568

^{*}OTHER DIRECT COSTS include telephone, mileage, printing, photocopies and other miscellaneous direct expenses.