

Correspondence

CALTRANS

Project: Taplin Cellars Winery Use Permit

PM: NAP-29-27.457

Comments:

Temporary Construction

The construction work at the intersection of Lewelling Lane/State Route (SR)-29 commencing within 6 feet of SR-29 travel way may require temporarily closing the adjacent SR-29 southbound lane onto Lewelling Lane. If that is the case, work hours must be set up to avoid having negative impacts to the traveling public and must be specified in the plans and/or special provisions submittal.

Encroachment Permit and Right of Way (ROW)

Please be advised that any permanent work or temporary traffic control that encroaches onto the ROW requires a Caltrans-issued encroachment permit. Any work done within Caltrans ROW must be in accordance to Caltrans Standards. If any Caltrans facilities are impacted by the project, those facilities must meet American Disabilities Act (ADA) Standards after project completion. As part of the encroachment permit submittal process, you may be asked by the Office of Encroachment Permits to submit a completed encroachment permit application, six (6) sets of plans clearly delineating the State ROW, six (6) copies of signed, dated and stamped (include stamp expiration date) traffic control plans, this comment letter, your response to the comment letter, and where applicable, the following items: new or amended Maintenance Agreement (MA), approved Design Standard Decision Document (DSDD), approved encroachment exception request, and/or airspace lease agreement.

To download the permit application and to obtain more information on all required documentation, visit https://dot.ca.gov/programs/traffic-operations/ep/applications.

On Sheet UP 1.5, it shows that two trees are going to be removed at the Lewelling Lane/SR-29 junction. Please verify if they are within Caltrans Right of Way (ROW). If so, please contact the Branch Chief for North Counties from the Office of Biological Sciences and Permits, Robert Blizard at robert.blizard@dot.ca.gov.

Based on the application submitted for review, the widening of the entrance to Lewelling Lane off of SR-29 may not require additional property rights. If for some reason there is a property coming to the State, attached please find the general information related to that process. Typically, if a property is coming to the State via Encroachment Permit Project, Caltrans requires the property be transferred to the State prior to issuance of the permit.



Encroachment Permit Applications Additional Right of Way Requirements – Dedications/Conveyances

For those encroachment permit applications where the Applicant proposes to convey "property rights" from the Applicant and/or others to the State, please follow these instructions. The term "property rights" generally refers to fee simple title but it may, under special circumstances, also include all types of permanent easements (i.e. slope, drainage, sight, etc.) and for projects involving expressways/freeways, "access rights." However, in most cases, Caltrans requires that fee simple, or in other words, full ownership be conveyed to the State as new R/W.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR DISTRICT PERMIT ENGINEERS

Immediately provide the Applicant these three forms (attached below) and inform him/her that they must be completed, signed appropriately and returned to you.

- → Agreement Right of Way Dedications/Conveyances for Encroachment Permit Applications (1 page) ("Agreement")
- → Right of Way Sufficiency for Project Encroachment Permit Applications Dedications/ Conveyances (1 page) ("Sufficiency Form")
- → Initial Site Assessment Checklist (2 pages) ("ISA")

Indicate to the Applicant where on the forms appropriate signatures are required and by whom. The Applicant's registered civil engineer is required to certify the applicable statements on the *Sufficiency Form*.

After the Applicant returns the signed Agreement, Sufficiency Form and ISA to you, do the following:

- 1. Have the correct Design Branch review the *Sufficiency Form* (centerline, property rights, access control, etc.) together with Applicant's plans. Assist Design and the Applicant in resolving any problems. Once the proposed new R/W is acceptable to Design, the Branch Chief should sign the *Sufficiency Form* where indicated.
- 2. Next, have our Environmental Engineering Branch review the *ISA* (hazardous waste testing) documents. Environmental Engineering may decide additional testing and/or remediation actions are required before Caltrans can accept the property right(s). Environmental Engineering Branch will sign the form where indicated once they have determined that the parcel(s) meets our current hazardous waste standards and requirements.

Continually forward for review all submittals <u>relating to the new R/W</u> (including the completed *Agreement, Sufficiency Form* and *ISA* forms and any survey or right of way engineering information) you receive from the Applicant or any other Caltrans functional unit to the proper R/W Local Programs Branch Chief:

Michael O'Callaghan – ALA, MRN, NAP, SON, CC, SCL, SM, SOL Counties

The Right of Way Division will inform you once the conveyance of all property rights has been completed. The encroachment permit can be issued <u>only</u> upon the District Permit Engineer receiving written approval from the Division of Right of Way.

Note: Right of Way must approve any exception to these instructions. Exceptions must be signed by both the Office Chief, Local Programs and the Office Chief, Engineering, Surveys and Mapping Services.

Agreement - Right of Way Dedications/Conveyances for Encroachment Permit Applications

| To: | District Permit Engineer | Co Rte | |
|--------|--|---|---|
| | Caltrans District 4, Office of l | Permits Encroachment Permit No | |
| I, | | as Encroachment Permit applicant ("A | applicant"), understand |
| and ag | gree to the following: | | |
| (1.) | • | oppropriate activities in support of the Dedication/Conveyar, including Caltrans' review and acceptance shall be at the | _ |
| (2.) | All materials submitted to Cal | ltrans shall become the property of Caltrans. | |
| (3.) | • | t to Caltrans the attached Right of Way Sufficiency for P ications/ Conveyances and Initial Site Assessment Check | • |
| (4.) | Caltrans, including without lingulars, land net ties, adjoining original field notes, adjustment | ised to be delivered to Caltrans all reference data and documitation, title exception documents, vesting deeds, survey and reference documents, recorded and unrecorded document and closure calculations, final results, appropriate interrest or resulting from any land surveys and/or land title work | control schemes and ments and maps, mediate documents, or |
| (5.) | At no cost to Caltrans, all pers Caltrans. | rsonnel who prepare maps, documents and related material | s shall be available to |
| (6.) | Applicant shall submit to Calt | trans, for review and acceptance, all of the following: | |
| | a. A Preliminary Report | t (title) for each parcel proposed for conveyance to Caltrar | 18. |
| | b. Proposed conveyance | e documents including legal descriptions and plat maps. | |
| | | g conveyed, a Policy of Title Insurance naming "State of Cansured (needed at time of conveyance). | alifornia, Department |
| (5.) | authorized to practice land sur | plats and exhibits shall be prepared by, or under the direct rveying in the State of California who shall sign and seal of ifornia Business and Professions Code and the California | each document in the |
| (6.) | • | ons be in U.S Survey feet and reference a survey datum de act the Branch Chief Specialist, District 4 Right of Way En 286-5296. | • |
| (7.) | constructed highway improve | tte of acceptance by Caltrans of maintenance and operation ements, Applicant shall deliver to Caltrans fee title (includ- area free and clear of all encumbrances which Caltrans, in to its present and future uses. | ing, as appropriate, |
| (8.) | • | oundary-related monuments within the area of any Project with Calif. Bus. & Prof. Code, Section 8771. | t construction shall be |
| (9.) | 1 0 | vs (access controlled facilities): all utility facilities must mechment Permit Manual, Section 6 Utility Permits. | eet the conditions set |
| (10.) | To submit a copy of any Reco surveys conducted for this Pro | ord of Survey filed per Calif. Bus. & Prof. Code, Section 8 oject. | 3762 by reason of land |
| | | (Applicant signature) | Date |

<u>Right of Way Sufficiency Form for Project Encroachment Permit Applications – Dedications/Conveyances</u>

| To: | District Permit Engineer | r | Co. | Rte | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Caltrans District 4, Office | ce of Permits | Encroachment Permi | t No | |
| List S | State parcel number(s) invo | lved: | | | |
| dimentor the For expanse | beby certify that the above pensioned, including property e construction/operation/maxpressways and freeways: l(s) to be conveyed to the son 6, Utility Permits. | interest, land area and aintenance of the Calta I further certify that ar | d degree of access contro rans facilities to be cons by and all existing utility | ol and that said partructed by this I facilities located | parcel(s) is/are sufficient Project. ed within the above |
| | | | | | Place seal here |
| Signe | ed: | Design Engineer | | | |
| | Floject | Design Engineer | | | |
| | Print Name | RE No. | Date | | |
| Revie | ewed by: Caltrans Distric | t Branch Chief | Date | | |
| I, as t | he Encroachment Permit A | | | A) for all parcel | s(s) to be conveyed to |
| Caltra | ans wherein: (check application) | able statement below) | | | |
| () | the ISA indicates that no | suspected hazardous | waste contamination ex | ists on any of th | e parcels, or |
| () | the ISA states that hazar further testing indicate r | | | | |
| () | | the parcel(s) will be rea | | | ne appropriate regulatory proved remediation plan by |
| | | | (Signature – Applica | nt) | (Date |
| Revie | ewed by: | | | | |
| | ans District Branch Chief conmental Engineering Bra | Date | <u> </u> | | |

Initial Site Assessment (ISA) Checklist

| Pro | <u>oject I</u> | <u>nformatic</u> | <u>on</u> | | |
|-------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Dist | rict <u>04</u> | County | Route | Post Mile Range | Permit # |
| De | scription | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Enci | roachmen | t Permit Appli | cant | | |
| | ne & addr | * * | | | phone # |
| | | | | | |
| T :-4 | 1(-) | A D | -1 Nl(-) (| (ADM) Include near and address | |
| List | parcel(s) | Assessor Parc | el Number(s) (| (APN). Include name and address | s of grantor for each. Attached exhibit if required. |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Pro | oject S | creening | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ttach | the proje | ect location ma | p to this check | clist to show location of all know | n and/or potential HW sites identified. |
| 1. | Project F | eatures: New | R/W? | Excavation? Railroad In | volvement? |
| | Structur | e demolition/n | nodification?_ | Subsurface utility relocate | ion? |
| 2. | Project S | lettino | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| | Rural or | Urban | | | |
| | Current l | and uses | | | |
| | Previous | land uses | | | Adjace |
| | land uses | 3 | | | |
| | iuna use. | ' | | light industry, commercial, agric | |
| 3. | Check fo | deral State a | nd local enviro | inmental and health regulatory ac | gency records as necessary, to see if any known hazardous waste site |
| 3. | in or nea | r the project as | ea. If a knowr | site is identified, show its locati | on on the attached map and attach additional sheets, as needed, to |
| | provide j | pertinent infor | mation for the | proposed project. | |
| | a . | | _ | | |
| 4. | Conduct | _ | on. Date | Use the attached map | to locate potential or known HW sites. |
| | Comme | its: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| ST | ORAGE | STRUCTURE | S / PIPELINE | S: Evidence of the following | |
| | Und | erground tanks | S | Surface tanks | Imported Fill |
| | Sum | _ | - | Ponds | Wetlands |
| | Drui | ns | | Basins | Septic tanks |
| | Tran | sformers | | Landfill | Stormwater run-on |
| | Grou | ındwater wells | | Cisterns | Other |

D04 rev 2016-09-12

Initial Site Assessment (ISA) Checklist

| CONTAMINATION: (spills, leaks, il | legal dumping, etc.) | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|
| Surface staining | Oil sheen | |
| Odors | Vegetation damage | |
| Other | | |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS: (asbesto | s, lead, etc.) | |
| Buildings | Spray-on fireproofing | |
| Pipe wrap | Friable tile | |
| Acoustical plaster | Serpentine | |
| | | |
| nap to show the location of potential ha | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on the angle of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of the contro | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on the angle of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of the contro | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential hat the comments and/or observations: | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on the angle of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of potential has been decided as the control of the contro | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential had been comments and/or observations: Determination | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential had been comments and/or observations: Determination | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential had been comments and/or observations: Determination | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential had been comments and/or observations: Determination | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |
| Additional record search, as necessary, on ap to show the location of potential had been comments and/or observations: Determination | of subsequent land uses that could have resulted in a hazardou zardous waste sites. | is waste Site. Use the attached |

This Initial Site Assessment shall be prepared by, or under the direction of, a person qualified to conduct hazardous waste site assessment

Date

2

D04 rev 2016-09-12

investigations in the State of California

ISA Conducted by

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Ted and Laura Bystrowski and we live on Arrowhead Drive near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Edward Systand. + Galla Bystuyse

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Ted & Laura Bystrowski 1593 Arrowhead Drive

St Helena, Ca 94574

Patricia Clarey 1925 Sulphur Springs Avenue Saint Helena, CA 94574

Napa County Planning Commission

Attn. Melanie Jackson-Couch, Planner

(melanie.Jackson-couch@countyofnapa.org)

1195 Third Street, Second Floor

Napa, CA 94559

Dear Commissioners:

My name is Patricia Clarey and I am a resident of Sulphur Springs Avenue in Saint Helena. I am writing in support of the current Use Permit application filed by the Taplin family, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on the Taplin property. The Taplin Winery property backs up to my property. As I understand the application, there will be minimal to no loss of the existing vineyard because the Taplin's plan to use a building for the winery that already exists.

I have known the Taplin family since I moved to Saint Helena in 2013 and know that the Taplin's have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane. My property looks out over some of their vineyards. The Taplin's have always been and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley. I enthusiastically support this effort.

The proposed scope of 20,000 gallons fits well within the definition of a Small Winery, which as I understand it, allows up to 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor the Taplin Family application.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Patricia T. Clarey

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Jack and Lorraine Stuart and we live on South Crane Ave near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. Turge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Jack and Lorraine Stuart

22 So Crane Ave

Thank you

St Helena, Ca 94574-2105

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are David and Machelle Brazeau, and we have a home on Arrowhead Drive near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

David and Machelle Brazeau

5088 Calle Real No A

Santa Barbara, Ca 93111-1863

Napa County Planning Commission ATTN: Melanie Jackson-Couch, Planner 1195 Thrid Street, Second Floor Napa, CA 94559

20 February 2021

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Dale and Cynthia Brown and we have a home on Arrowhead Drive near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We have been residents of St Helena for over 46 years. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We have known the Taplin family since we came to St Helena in 1974 and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail (Taplin Road) and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm approximately 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. We urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County Agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Dale M. Brown

Former President of Napa Valley Grape Growers

Cynthia E. Brown

St Helena High School Ag Committee, Retired

Cynthia E. Brown

1599 Arrowhead Drive St Helena, CA 94574 Napa County Planning Commission

Attn: Melanie Jackson-Couch, Planner

1195 Third Street, Second Floor

Napa CA 94559

Dear Commissioners:

We, Nancy and Paul Caffo, are writing to let you know that we are enthusiastically in favor of seeing the Taplin Winery come to fruition. We have lived on Arrowhead Drive in St. Helena for 50 years (having bought our property from Stephen's parents, Ken and Alice Taplin). The family has been in the farming business for generations, and we have all benefitted from their excellent care of the land for over 100 years.

The location on Lewelling Lane (named after an ancestor of the present Taplin Family) is the ideal spot for a small, family-owned winery, which is what the Napa Valley should now be encouraging.

We are looking forward to sharing a glass of Taplin wine in the near future, as the Winery will be just a short stroll from our home.

We urge you to vote for this unique, perfectly sized and situated winery, Taplin Winery.

nd Caul Caffo

Nancy and Paul Caffo

1578 Arrowhead Drive

St. Helena CA 94574

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Michael & Karen Collins and we have a home on Arrowhead Drive that looks across at the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Michael & Karen Collins

2405 Adeline Dr

Burlingame, Ca 94501

2/18/2021

Napa County Planning Commission Attn: Melanie Jackson-Couch, Planner (melanie.jackson-couch@countyofnapa.org) 1195 Third Street, Second Floor Napa, CA 94559

Dear Commissioners:

We live on Sulphur Springs Ave near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We have known the Taplin family for generations and, like us, they have been farming and ranching in Napa County throughout that time including walnuts, turkeys, and grapes. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own about 18 acres of vineyards on their winery property across the street from us. The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. We urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Adrian and Alston Hayne 1832 Sulphur Springs Ave

Saint Helena, CA 94574

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Brent and Jeannie and we live on Sulphur Springs Ave near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

year flar hill f

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Brent Randol and Jeannie Wølf

1791 Sulphur Springs Ave

St Helena, Ca 94574

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Jack and Lorraine Stuart and we live on South Crane Ave near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Manuel 2homas 2-8-2021

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Manuel Thomas 1597 Arrowhead Dr

St Helena, Ca 94574-2105

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are Roger and Anna True and we live on Arrowhead Drive near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since we understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

Roger and Anna True 1590 Arrowhead Drive

St Helena, Ca 94574

Dear Commissioners:

My name is John Winkelhaus II and I live on Arrowhead Drive near the proposed Taplin Winery on Lewelling Lane. I am writing in support of the current Use Permit application, which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As I understand it, there will be minimal to no loss of vineyard since the building for the winery already exists.

We know of the Taplin family and recognize they have been farming and ranching in Napa County since the late 19th Century, including dairy farming along the Silverado Trail and walnut, prune and grape growing on Lewelling Lane south of the city of St. Helena. Bill and Stephen Taplin and their family own and farm +/- 17.5 acres of vineyards on the winery property, which are the basis of their family wine brand (Taplin Cellars). The Taplins have always been, and continue to be, good neighbors and conscientious stewards of the Napa Valley.

The scope of 20,000 gallons is a true example of a Small Winery since I understand the maximum permitted is 30,000 gallons. I urge the Planning Commission to approve this project as a model for the type of winery envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance, and to reinforce its support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Please vote in favor of the application.

Thank you,

John W Winkelhaus II

1582 Arrowhead Drive

And great neighbor.

Susan and David Wight 1951 Olive Avenue, St. Helena, CA 94574 (707) 963-4364

March 7, 2021

Napa County Planning Commission
Attn: Melanie Jackson-Couch, Planner
(melanie.jackson-couch@countyofnapa.org)
1195 Third Street, Second Floor
Napa, Ca 94559

Dear Commissioners:

Our names are David and Susan Wight, and we are part owners of three parcels adjacent to the Taplin property, the site of their proposed winery on Lewelling Lane. Additionally, we are partners with the Taplins in Lewelling Associates which owns lands adjacent to our separate properties.

The Wights and Taplins are cousins, both descended from John Lewelling who began farming on the property in the 1860's, a tradition that has continued on most of the land since that time. Vineyard management of all our properties is done by David's brother, Douglas, and his business, Wight Vineyard Management. The Taplins have been, not only cousins, but good neighbors and business partners for many years.

We are writing in support of the current Use Permit application which proposes a small, family-owned and operated winery in an existing building on their property. As we understand it, there will be minimal loss of our jointly-owned vineyard for road improvements and no use of water generated on Lewelling Associates property for activities associated with wine production, as that is reserved, except in emergencies, for irrigation of our jointly-owned Lewelling Associates vineyards.

The scope of a 20,000 gallon winery seems a true example of a Small Winery as envisioned by the Winery Definition Ordinance. As such, we urge the Planning Commission to approve this project in support of Napa County agriculture and small winery owners.

Sincerely,

David Wight

David Wyht

Susan Wight