"**H**"

Wastewater Feasibility Report Kenzo Estate P19-00396-MOD

Kenzo Estate, P19-00396-MOD Planning Commission Hearing – December 2, 2020



WINERY WASTEWATER FEASIBILITY REPORT

KENZO ESTATE 3200 MONTICELLO ROAD NAPA, CALIFORNIA

APN 033-110-075

PROPERTY OWNER: Kenzo Estate Inc. 3200 Monticello Road Napa, California



September 27, 2019 Project #4119018.0

1515 Fourth Street, Napa, CA 94559

www.rsacivil.com

707.252.3301.v 707.252.4966.f



WASTEWATER FEASIBILITY REPORT KENZO ESTATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEMS	1
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATION	1
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS	2
OPTION 1 – ENLARGE EXISTING PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH VINEYARD IRRIGATION FOR RESERVE AREA	۱ 3
OPTION 2 – SURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION FOR INCREASED FLOW	4
OPTION 3 – SURFACE DRIP FOR ALL PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATED	5
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	5
CONCLUSION	6

APPENDICES

- 1. Vicinity Map & USGS Quad Map
- 2. Existing Process and Domestic Wastewater Treatment System Design Reports
- 3. Conceptual Tank Layout and Dispersal Field Exhibit
- 4. Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage, Irrigation Areas Exhibit



INTRODUCTION

The owner is applying to the County of Napa for a Winery Use Permit Modification to increase production from 102,000 to 150,000 gallons of wine per year with no additional visitation or employees. The current Use Permit #P15-00293 allows a 102,000 gallon per year winery on a 36.13 +/- acre parcel located at 3200 Monticello, Napa (APN 033-110-075). Access to the property is an existing driveway connecting to Monticello Road.

The topography on the parcel ranges from steep slopes to mostly level areas. The parcel is used for vineyards and the existing winery. One well exists on the site. Appendix 1 contains a Site Location Map and a USGS Site Map showing the parcel topography, features and boundary.

This report will evaluate the disposal of winery process and domestic wastewater.

EXISTING DOMESTIC WASTEWATER SYSTEMS

Summit Engineering designed a domestic wastewater system in 2006. This pressure distribution system has a capacity of 891 gpd. An additional domestic wastewater system was constructed in 2014 to serve the winery production building. This 2014 system was designed with a capacity of 105 gpd. Both domestic systems will remain to provide at total capacity of 996 gpd. There is no proposed change to visitors or employees and therefore no proposed change to the domestic wastewater systems.

EXISTING PROCESS WASTEWATER SYSTEM

Information from Napa County Environmental Health Department files for the parcel show an existing pressure distribution system for process wastewater designed by Summit Engineering in 2006. The system has been designed for a peak flow of 2,125 gpd. The system discharges into a pressure distribution dispersal field. The system was expanded under permit #E17-00309 to increase the system by 170 LF bringing the total capacity to 2,683 gal/day. Documentation shows that the system has a reserve area of 9,704 sf for a pressure distribution system capacity of 2,128 gpd and the remaining 3,238 gpd of reserve is pretreatment and dispersal by vineyard irrigation. Information on this system is contained in Appendix 2.

WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATION

Wine Production:	150,000 gallons of wine per year 2.38 gallons of wine per case		
	= 150,000 gal/year/2.38 cases/year		
	= 63,025 cases/year		
Wastewater Production:	5 gallons of wastewater/gallon of wine		
	= 150,000 gal/year x 5-gal wastewater/gal		
	= 750,000 gal/year wastewater		



Peak Daily Wastewater Flow:	Crush Period = 60 days 150,000 gallons x 2 / 60 days = 5,000 gallons/day
Average Daily Flow:	750,000 gal/year = 750,000 gallons/year/365 = 2,055 gallons/day

Monthly Wastewater Flows: (See Table 2)

TABLE 2

	% By Month	Waste/Month	
Sept	15%	112,500	Gal/Month
Oct	13%	97,500	Gal/Month
Nov	11%	82,500	Gal/Month
Dec	8%	60,000	Gal/Month
Jan	4%	30,000	Gal/Month
Feb	6%	45,000	Gal/Month
Mar	6%	45,000	Gal/Month
Apr	5%	37,500	Gal/Month
May	6%	45,500	Gal/Month
Jun	7%	52,500	Gal/Month
Jul	9%	67,500	Gal/Month
Aug	10%	67,500	Gal/Month
Totals	100%	750,000	Gal/Year

WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS

According to Napa County Environmental Management Sewage Treatment System Design Guidelines, winery process wastewater must be treated prior to surface discharge. Based on our experience, winery wastewater characteristics are as follows:

Characteristics	Units	Average
рН		3.5
BOD5	mg/l	6000
TSS	mg/l	500
Nitrogen	mg/l	20
Phosphorus	mg/l	10

The treatment goal varies by dispersal method. For above ground drip irrigation, the treatment goal is 160 mg/L BOD and 80 mg/L TSS. For below ground dispersal, the treatment goal is 30 mg/L



BOD and 30 mg/L TSS. Three options are presented below. These treatment train options may be modified for more desirable treatment processes prior to submitting construction plans. The following sections describe the process options in more detail. The proposed system schematics are shown in Appendix 3.

OPTION 1 – ENLARGE EXISTING PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH VINEYARD IRRIGATION FOR RESERVE AREA

The existing pressure distribution will be expanded to accommodate the increased flow. The expected peak process wastewater flow for the proposed 150,000 gallons of wine per year production is 5,000 gpd. Because the existing field has a capacity of 2,683 gpd, the expansion will need to be designed to treat 2,317 gpd. Using the design values taken from the 2006 design from Summit Engineer Inc. found in Appendix 2, we have developed a conceptual design for a pressure distribution system in accordance with the Napa County guidelines.

Septic Tank

The process wastewater will flow into a new 8,000-gallon septic tank that will replace the existing 1,500-gallons septic tank and then will flow into the existing two 4,000-gallon tanks, providing a detention time of 3.2 days in peak flow conditions and 7.8 days in average daily flow conditions consistent with the installed system design by Summit Engineering. The septic tanks will serve as a primary settling tank for the process wastewater.

Pump Tank

The pump tank will serve to hold treated wastewater prior to pumping to the pressure distribution system. The existing 3,000-gallon pump tank will be replaced with a 5,000-gallon tank and dual pumps will be installed to handle the increased flow.

Pressure Distribution Field and Reserve

The existing system has a total length of 1,530 linear feet and a capacity of 2,683 gal/day. Using the application rate of 0.657 gal/sf/day and trench sidewall area of 2.67 sf/lineal foot, both from the 2006 design by Summit Engineering, the length of additional trench required is 1,320 feet for the additional 2,317 gpd of flow.

For the existing dispersal system an area to trench length ratio was calculated as 8 sf/lf based on the previous existing 1,360 linear feet of trench occupying approximately 11,064 square feet. With the ratio of 8 sf/lf, 1,320 feet of line requires an area of 10,560 square feet and a 100% reserve area. Based on the location of the test pits and the previously approved reserve area, the area available for reserve is 10,625 square feet. The 10,560 additional square feet will effectively use the entire existing reserve area.

The proposed reserve area for the total peak flow of 5,000 gpd would be satisfied with vineyard irrigation. This reserve area would require additional treatment of the 5,000 gpd with a HSMBR as system described in option 3. Treated process wastewater would be dispersed onto 10.0 acres of vineyard and 2.34 acres of cover crop. Based on monthly analysis shown in Appendix 4, a



storage capacity of 0 gallons would be required. Storage capacity of 40,000 gallons would be proposed.

OPTION 2 – SURFACE DRIP IRRIGATION FOR INCREASED FLOW

The existing pressure distribution system will be used to dispose of the wastewater up to the existing system capacity peak flow of 2,683 gpd. The remaining wastewater from the 5,000 gpd peak process wastewater flow will be treated using a Lyve, Biofiltro Biomicrobics High Strength Membrane BioReactor, or equivalent treatment system to the required standards and will be beneficially reused via surface drip for vineyard irrigation.

Septic Tank

The septic tank will serve to buffer peak flows and strengths from overwhelming the system and impairing treatment. This tank has been designed with baffles near the outlet. This tank will provide three days storage and will also serve to function as a primary settling basin.

Treatment Tank

The treatment tank will serve to treat wastewater flows using a High Strength Membrane Bio-Reactor (HSMBR) unit. Flow to this tank will be metered to ensure that the HSMBR system is not overloaded.

Pump Tank

The pump tank will serve to hold treated wastewater prior to pumping to the holding tanks. This tank will house dual pumps.

Holding Tank and Dispersal Field

During the summer months all of the treated wastewater will be used for irrigation. During the wet winter months, a limited discharge will be consistent with irrigation water demand and no discharge will occur within 48-hours of a forecasted rain event and also for 48-hours after a rain event. These irrigation scheduling constraints necessitate installing tanks to store excess water that cannot be discharged during the winter months.

To provide a preliminary estimate of the amount of storage tanks required, we have prepared a monthly water balance, as shown in Appendix 3. Monthly wastewater production is based on a percentage of the total annual wastewater production. The amount of water to be applied is estimated by the typical vine water demand. The irrigation will be applied to areas of vineyards outside well setback requirements. The area available for irrigation is shown in Appendix 3. An area of 10.0 acres of vineyard and 0.24 acres of cover crop has been used to calculate the storage capacity required. Based on monthly analysis 0 gallons of storage is required. Storage capacity of 20,000 gallons is proposed.



OPTION 3 – SURFACE DRIP FOR ALL PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATED

The existing process wastewater septic system will be abandoned in accordance with Napa County Environmental Management requirements. The process wastewater will be treated using a Lyve, Biofiltro Biomicrobics High Strength Membrane BioReactor, or equivalent treatment system to the required standards and will be beneficially reused via surface drip for vineyard irrigation.

Septic Tank

The existing septic tanks will serve to buffer peak flows and strengths from overwhelming the system and impairing treatment. These tanks will provide two days storage and will also serve to function as a primary settling basin.

Treatment Tank

The treatment tank will serve to treat wastewater flows using a High Strength Membrane Bio-Reactor (HSMBR) unit. This tank will provide ten days storage.

Pump Tank

The pump tank will serve to hold treated wastewater prior to pumping to the holding tanks. This tank will house dual pumps.

Holding Tank and Dispersal Field

During the summer months all of the treated wastewater will be used for irrigation. During the wet winter months, a limited discharge will be consistent with irrigation water demand and no discharge will occur within 48-hours of a forecasted rain event and also for 48-hours after a rain event. These irrigation scheduling constraints necessitate installing tanks to store excess water that cannot be discharged during the winter months.

To provide a preliminary estimate of the amount of storage tanks required, we have prepared a monthly water balance, as shown in Appendix 3. Monthly wastewater production is based on a percentage of the total annual wastewater production. The amount of water to be applied is estimated by the typical vine water demand. The irrigation will be applied to areas of vineyards outside well setback requirements. The area available for irrigation is shown in Appendix 3. An area of 10.0 acres of vineyard and 2.34 acres of cover crop has been used to calculate the storage capacity required. Based on monthly analysis 0 gallons of storage is required. Storage capacity of 40,000 gallons is proposed.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The winery process and domestic wastewater systems will be fully automated and all options are designed so minimal input from winery staff is required. Per Napa County guidelines, a Registered Civil Engineer, Registered Environmental Health Specialist, or Licensed Contractor will provide semi-annual monitoring and evaluation of the system. The contract with the responsible party will be provided prior to the final inspection for the system installed.



CONCLUSION

This report demonstrates that the increased flow of process wastewater can be treated and disposed of on-site per Napa County standards.



Appendix 1

Vicinity Map USGS Quad Map

KENZO ESTATE WINERY VICINITY MAP





KENZO ESTATE WINERY USGS MAP







Appendix 2

Existing Process and Domestic Wastewater System Design Reports RSA 2017 (Includes Summit 2006) & RSA 2013



WINERY WASTEWATER DESIGN REPORT

KENZO ESTATE 3200 MONTICELLO ROAD NAPA, CALIFORNIA

APN 033-110-075

PROPERTY OWNER:

Kenzo Estate Inc. 3200 Monticello Road Napa, California

March 1, 2017 Project #4112041.0



1515 Fourth Street, Napa, CA 94559

www.rsacivil.com



WASTEWATER DESIGN REPORT KENZO ESTATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEMS	1
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATION	2
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS	3
ENLARGE EXISTING PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH VINEYARD IRRIGATION FOR RESERVE AREA	3
CONCLUSION	4

APPENDICES

- 1. Vicinity Map & USGS Quad Map
- 2. Existing Process Wastewater System (Summit 2006)
- 3. Design Calculations and Specifications



INTRODUCTION

The owner has obtained a major use permit modification from the County of Napa to increase production from 85,000 to 102,000 gallons of wine per year and for additional visitation and employees. The new Use Permit allows a 102,000 gallon per year winery on a 36.13 +/- acre parcel located at 3200 Monticello, Napa (APN 033-110-075). Access to the property is an existing driveway connecting to Monticello Road.

The topography on the parcel ranges from steep slopes to mostly level areas. The parcel is used for vineyards and the existing winery. One well exists on the site. Appendix 1 contains a Site Location Map and a USGS Site Map showing the parcel topography, features and boundary.

This report is for the expansion of the current system to include a new 1,500 gallon septic tank and 1,530 linear feet of pressure distribution trench.

EXISTING WASTEWATER SYSTEMS

Information from Napa County Environmental Health Department files for the parcel show an existing septic system for domestic wastewater designed by Summit Engineering in 2006. The system has been designed for a peak flow of 2,125 gpd of process wastewater and 891 gpd of domestic wastewater. Both systems discharge into dedicated pressure distribution dispersal fields. Documentation shows that both systems have the required reserve areas.

An additional domestic wastewater system was constructed in 2014 to serve the winery production building. This system was designed with a capacity of 105 gpd. This system will remain as is.

No additions or modifications to the existing sanitary wastewater systems are required per the Conditions of Approval letter from Kim Withrow with Napa County Environmental Services dated May 27, 2017.



WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER GENERATION

Wine Production:	102,000 gallons of wine per year 2.38 gallons of wine per case	
	= 102,000 gal/year/2.38 cases/year = 42,860 cases/year	
Wastewater Production:	5 gallons of wastewater/gallon of wine	
	= 102,000 gal/year x 5 gal wastewater/gal = 510,000 gal/year wastewater	
Peak Daily Wastewater Flow:	Crush Period = 60 days 102,000 gallons x 1.5 / 60 days = 2,550 gallons/day	
Average Daily Flow:	510,000 gal/year = 510,000 gallons/year/365 = 1,397 gallons/day	
Monthly Wastewater Flows:	(See Table 2)	

TABLE 2

	% By Month	Waste/Month	P
Sept	15%	76,500	Gal/Month
Oct	13%	66,300	Gal/Month
Nov	11%	56,100	Gal/Month
Dec	8%	40,800	Gal/Month
Jan	4%	20,400	Gal/Month
Feb	6%	30,600	Gal/Month
Mar	6%	30,600	Gal/Month
Apr	5%	25,500	Gal/Month
May	6%	30,600	Gal/Month
Jun	7%	35,700	Gal/Month
Jul	9%	45,900	Gal/Month
Aug	10%	51,000	Gal/Month
Totals	100%	510,000	Gal/Year



WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER CHARACTERISTICS

According to Napa County Environmental Management Sewage Treatment System Design Guidelines, winery process wastewater must be treated prior to surface discharge. Based on our experience, winery wastewater characteristics are as follows:

Characteristics	Units	Average
pН		3.5
BOD5	mg/l	6000
TSS	mg/l	500
Nitrogen	mg/l	20
Phosphorus	mg/l	10

The treatment goal is 160 mg/L BOD and 80 mg/L TSS.

ENLARGE EXISTING PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM WITH VINEYARD IRRIGATION FOR RESERVE AREA

The existing pressure distribution will be expanded to accommodate the increased flow. Below is an analysis of the process wastewater produced from 17,000 gallons of wine per year increase. Using the peak day projected flows and design values taken from the 2006 design from Summit Engineer Inc. found in Appendix 2, we have developed a design for a pressure distribution system in accordance with the Napa County guidelines.

Increase in Wastewater Production:	5 gallons of wastewater/gallon of wine = 17,000 gal/year x 5 gal wastewater/gal = 85,000 gal/year wastewater
Increase in Peak Daily Wastewater Flow:	Crush Period = 60 days 17,000 gallons x 1.5 / 60 days = 425 gallons/day
Increase in Average Daily Flow:	85,000 gal/year = 85,000 gallons/year/365 = 233 gallons/day

Proposed Septic Tank

The process wastewater will flow into a new 1,500 gallon septic tank and then into the existing two 4,000 gallon tanks, providing a detention time of 3.7 days in peak flow conditions and 6.8 days in average daily flow conditions consistent with the installed system design by Summit Engineering. The septic tank will serve as a primary settling tank for the process wastewater.



Existing Tanks

Process Wastewater from the proposed 1,500 gallon septic tank will flow to the two existing 4,000 gallon settling tanks and then to the 3,000 gallon pump tank. This tank will serve to hold treated wastewater prior to pumping to the pressure distribution system. The existing dual Hydromatic SHEF50M4 pumps in the 3,000 gallon pump tank, will remain. For pump calculations refer to Appendix 3.

Pressure Distribution Field and Reserve

Using the application rate of 0.657 gal/sf/day and trench sidewall area of 2.67 sf/lineal foot, both from the 2006 design by Summit Engineering, the length of additional trench required is 243 feet.

According to the design the existing system has an additional 150 feet of trench above the required 1,210 lineal feet. An additional 93 feet is required to meet the increase in demand. The most efficient way to increase the system size is to add a ninth subfield with the same length as the existing subfields. This means an additional 170 linear feet or 1,360 square feet of disposal trench will be installed to disperse the increased flow. For calculations refer to Appendix 3.

To maintain conformance with the existing dispersal field, the area of the proposed lines will receive the same 12 inches of fill prior to trench construction. The pressure distribution trench will consist of 14 inches of gravel below a 2 inch distribution lateral pipe, 2 inches of gravel above the pipe and 12 inches of native backfill.

This additional zone will be placed in the area that is currently the 100%, 11,064 square feet reserve area. This will diminish the reserve area by 1,360 square feet. To compensate for the reduction in reserve area, an area for water recycling as surface drip irrigation is proposed. This option will serve as an alternative to the underground reserve area. The irrigation area available on the property is 10.6 acres of vineyard. Based on monthly analysis shown in Appendix 3, a storage capacity of 8,246 gallons would be required if all process wastewater was disposed of using vineyard irrigation.

CONCLUSION

This report demonstrates that the increased flow of process wastewater can be treated and disposed of on-site and that the existing domestic wastewater treatment systems can treat and disperse the projected wastewater flows for the increased visitors and employees. Per Napa County guidelines, a Registered Civil Engineer, Registered Environmental Health Specialist, or Licensed Contractor will provide semi-annual monitoring and evaluation of the system. The contract with the responsible party will be provided prior to the final inspection for the system installed.



Appendix 1

Vicinity Map USGS Quad Map KENZO ESTATE VICINITY MAP NAPA CALIFORNIA

the search of the second se



Å.



S 1515 Fourth Street Nopo, Colif. 94559 v 707.252.3301 f 707.252.4966

MARCH 12, 2013 4112041.0





MARCH 12, 2013 4112041.0



Appendix 2

Existing Process Wastewater System (Summit 2006)

a TOMs.

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.

Consulting Civil Engineers Project No. 2003062 October 19, 2005 Revised April 19, 2006

DESIGN CALCULATIONS

Kenzo Winery Napa County, California

PROCESS AND SANITARY WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

GENERAL INFORMATION

The purpose of this report is to provide the background data, calculations and design for the proposed pump stations, septic and settling tanks and pressure distribution (PD) system for the disposal of winery process wastewater (PW) and sanitary sewage (SS) at the Kenzo Winery facility located on 3154 Monticello Road in Napa, California (APN 033-110-060). Two separate PD leachfield systems are presented for PW and SS.

Kenzo Winery has been permitted to construct an 85,000 gallon per year wine production facility. The facility will include a single building for crushing, pressing, receiving, fermenting, and mobile bottling. There will also be one additional building for visitors and tasting at the winery.

The PD leachfield for PW disposal is located on Parcel No. 033-110-060 and the PD leachfield for SS disposal is located on Parcel No. 033-110-008. The PW and SS will flow by gravity to separate settling/septic tanks and then pumped to the respective PD leachfields for disposal. The design criteria for PW and SS leachfield systems are discussed in detail in the following sections.

PROCESS WASTEWATER

SITE EVALUATIONS

A site evaluation was performed and evaluated by Napa County Department of Environmental Management Registered Environmental Health Specialist Sheldon Sapoznik and Summit Engineering on July 27, 2005. The soil profiles indicated soils and depth suitable for leachfield disposal of winery PW from Kenzo Winery. The soils in the area of the proposed leachfield and reserve area were classified as clay loam and silty loam. The acceptable soil depth of 60 inches was found in the proposed primary and reserved leachfield area. 12 inches of imported fill material will be required in the area of the leachfield installation. A percolation rate of 1 to 3 inches per hour was assigned to the onsite soils. Sufficient area is available for system installation and reserve area. The site evaluation report is included in Attachment A, *Soll Profiles for Process Wastewater*.

Design criteria for the PW PD leachfield system are based on the above site evaluations and inspection reports dated July 27, 2005. Using a percolation rate of 20 minutes per inch (mpi) (3 in/hr), the corresponding application rate for a pressure distribution system is 0.657 gal/sf-day. One foot of imported fill material will be applied prior to excavation of the trenches. Trenches will be excavated to 30-inch total depth with 12 inches in fill and 18 inches in native soil. Trenches will include 14-inches of gravel below the 2-inch pipe, 2 inches of gravel over the pipe and 12-inches of backfill, resulting in a trench sidewall area of 2.67 square feet/lineal feet (sf/lf). 6-ft trench spacing will be used. See the construction drawings for additional trench details.

An area providing 100% reserve area for future installation or expansion has been identified on the construction drawings.

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC.

Consulting Civil Engineers Project No. 2003062 October 19, 2005 Revised April 19, 2006

PW DESIGN FLOWS

PW will be generated from bottling, tank, equipment and floor cleaning. Crushing occurs at the facility. No distillation will occur at the facility; hence there will be no stillage waste. PW is collected at floor and trench drains within the winery and at exterior receiving, interior processing and tank areas. PW is transported by gravity from the winery drains to the settling tanks and pumped to the leachfield.

Design flows are based on full production. Process wastewater will be generated from approximately 500 tons crushed and produced onsite (corresponding to approximately 85,000 gallons of wine). Based on typical flow data from wineries of similar size and characteristics and corresponding calculated PW generation rates, projected flows are calculated as follows:

Annual Volume

Gallons of wine produced = 500 tons processed x 170 gal wine/	ton =	85,000 gallons
Generation rate = 6.0 gal PW/gal wine		1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Total Annual Volume ≈ 85,000 gal wine x 6.0 gal PW/gal wine	=	510,000 gal PW
Average Day Flow		
510,000 gal PW ÷ 365 days	=	1397 gpd PW
Napa County Peak Day Flow (Design Flow)		Say 1400 gpd PW
85,000 gal wine/year x 1,5 60 days	=	2,125 gpd PW

PW-SETTLING TANKS

The required settling tank size for the winery PW flow per NCEM criteria is calculated as a minimum detention time of 3 days, resulting in:

2,125 gpd x 3 days 🚍 6,375 gal .

Two 4,000 gallon settling tanks shall be provided for the PW flow. This provides a detention time of 3.8 days for the design flow and 5.7 days for the average day. An effluent filter will be added to the outlet of each settling tank to further reduce solids passage to the pump station and leachfield.

PD LEACHFIELD

Leachline

2,125 gal/d 2.67 sf/lf (0,657 gal/sf/day)

1,210 If

A PD leachfield system with 1;360 if of leachline (8 - 170 if subfields) is designed to handle the design wastewater flow of 2;125 gal/d.

DISTRIBUTION LATERAL

Each distribution lateral will utilize 3/16" diameter orifices. The first orifice hole will be 2'-6" from the beginning of the lateral and the last orifice will be 2-6" from the end of the lateral, with orifice spacing al 3'-6". Each distribution lateral will be 2" diameter. See construction drawings for more details.

PW PUMP SIZING

See Attachment B for a summary of the pump system for PW. Dose volume is based on a minimum of 5 to 10 times the lateral pipe volume. In this case a volume of 177 gallons, approximately 6.3 times the lateral pipe volume is the specified dose quantity. This design utilizes a flow rate of 36.5 gpm with a corresponding pump run time of approximately 4.8 minutes. The theoretical flow rate through the most hydraulically demanding lateral and most remote orlifice is 18.2 gpm and 0.8 gpm, respectively.

Head loss calculations were based on a minimum residual head of 3 ft at the most hydraulically remote orifice together with the associated static head, friction head, and minor losses of the system. The system will be installed per the construction drawings, see Sheet WW3. Calculations indicate that the system pump's operating point is 36.5 gpm at 34.4 ft TDH; see Attachment B for pump selection.

Submersible sewage pumps have been specified; see Attachment B. The pumps are sized to meet system requirements. The pumps will be installed in a 3,000 gallon PW pump tank and will operate based on mercury float switch control and timed operation. Three float switches, pump-off, pump-on/high-water alarm, and high-high water alarm will be installed within the PW pump tank at levels consistent with the sequence of operation summarized below. As a safety feature, if the wastewater in the pump tank reaches the high-water level, an audible and visual alarm will sound.

PW SYSTEM OPERATION

int.

PW flows by gravity from the winery to the first settling tank. It then cascades by gravity to the second settling tank. The PW then flows by gravity to the PW pump tank. In the pump tank, there are two submersible pumps connected to individual 2-inch force mains, which connect to a distribution valve. The pumps will alternate each dose cycle. Each time PW is pumped through a distribution valve, the valve alternates to the next subfield when the pump shuts off.

Once every other hour, the pump will activate for a period of 4.8 minutes before deactivation. The pump will deactivate if the level of PW reaches below the 1st switch. The panel will alternate pumps each activation. If the level of PW reaches the 2^{std} float switch, a high water alarm will sound. This operation will continue until all eight subfields are dosed and the cycle repeats. For additional pump control information, please refer to the wastewater system electrical requirements in Attachment D.

GANTIANY SEWAGE

SHE FALLARIANS

A slip is alitation has performed and evaluated by Napa County Department of Environmental Management Registered Unvironmental Health Specialist Darell Choate and Summit Engineering on November 31, 2003 for the whery 35 disposal. The soil profiles indicated soils and depth suitable for lear hield disposal of telnery 's's from Kenzo Winery. The soils in the area of the proposed leachfield and regence area were classified as day loam and silly loam and ranged in depth from 48 to 66 inches. 12 hit has of imported fill material will be required in the area of the leachfield installation. A percolation rate of 3 to a turned per hour was assigned to the onsite soils. Sufficient area is available for system Installation and inserve area. The site evaluation report is included in Attachment A, Soil Profiles for 网络副新 强制的时

Healen alleria for the PW PD lear lifteld system are based on the above site evaluations and inspection reports daten November 20, 2003. Using a percolation rate of 20 minutes per Inch (mpi) (3 in/hr), the cottesponding application rate for a pressure distribution system is 0.657 gal/sf-day. One foot of unpartied nil material will be applied prior to excavation of the trenches. Trenches will be excavated to 30then total depth with 12 inches in fill and 10 inches in native soil. Trenches will include 14.5-inches of gravel helow the 1.5 mch pipe, 2 inches of gravel over the pipe and 12-inches of backfill, resulting in a trench sidewall area of 2.67 square feet/lineal feet (sf/lf). 6-ft trench spacing will be used. Reserve leachlines in the vicinity of soil profile have been sized using 1.67 sf/lf sidewall area to account for shallower solls. See the construction drawings for additional trench details,

An area providing 100% reserve mea for future installation or expansion has been identified on the construction diswillys.

53 DEGIGN FLOW

st at the kente Whiery consists of typical wastewater generated from typical winery activities. Low flow fixtures will be utilized throughout the new where resulting in a 25% reduction of SS flow. Anticipated 68 flaivs are projected as follows:

Averaue Harvest Day w/ Peak Visitation and No Events

10 full time employees x 16 gped	22	150
1 the Anarcola & D Obrai	107	75
Total X 0.75 Afatra Matvatel Deu W. Australia Manau	11. II.	225 gpd 225 x 0.75 = 168.75 say <u>170 gpd</u>
aramatinivear isnerty uterand tiallaridit out a 1589K	Eyel	戊

10 TULLING EMPLOYEER & 19 open	i.
GR Pristrash : A distant	1
DU VISION & A DOMAN	1.20
THE PARTY OF THE	14

- ND Meala X 10 ON

mai

100 aud

150 250 37.5

750

100 x 0.75

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. **Consulting Civil Engineers** Project No. 2003062 October 19, 2005 Revised April 19, 2006

-x -

Employee and visitor numbers are expected to be peak for an average harvest day with visitation and a peak event.

SS SEPTIC TANK

The required septic tank size for the winery and residence SW flow based on Napa County Environmental Management criteria is calculated from NCEM Table 13.44.020:

Flow, gal/d	Recommended Minimum Capacity, gal						
600	1,200						
900	1,500						
1,200	2,000						
1,500	2,500						

One 2,000 gallon septic tank followed by a 1,500 gallon pump tank is more than adequate to handle all winery SS. An effluent filter will be installed at the outlet of the septic tank to reduce solids passage to the pump station and leachfield. A septic tank of this size will provide 2.2 days of detention time at peak flows.

GREASE INTERCEPTOR

Grease interceptor will be located near the proposed kitchen. The sizing criteria of the grease Interceptor are summarized below.

Required Grease Interceptor Capacity in gallons = Peak number of meals per hour X Wastewater flow rate X Retention Time X Storage Factor

= 20 meals/hour x 15 gal/meal x 2.5 hour x 1

= 750 gallons

A 750 gallon grease interceptor is proposed at the proposed kitchen.

8: 5×1 1 × 2

PD LEACHFIELD (PRIMARY)

网络拉马拉拉马拉

Leachline 891 gal/d 2.67 sf/lf (0.657 gal/sf/day)

508 lf

A PD leachfield system with 540 If of leachline (6 - 90 If subfields) should be adequate to handle the design SS flow of 891 gal/d. ANNER AND

22 Mar. 2

i. Watar

PD LEACHFIELD (RESERVE)

The SS leachfield reserve area available is approximately 710 LF. Refer to the construction drawing sheet WW2: In the vicinity of SS leachfield reserve area soil test pit 1 (SP 1) has an acceptable soil depth of AB'. The acceptable soil depths for SP2; SP3 and SP4 are 66".

Approximately 270 LF of leachline can be fit within a 35 ft radius of SP1. At 48" of acceptable soil depth, available trench depth of 24-inch in the vicinity of SP1 with a sidewall area of 1.67 sf/lf, the SS flow for 270 IF leachline is calculated as follows:

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. Consulting Civil Engineers Project No. 2003062

October 19, 2005 Revised April 19, 2006

SS Flow in the vicinity of SP 1

270 lf x 1.67 sf/lf x 0.657 gal/sf/day

= <u>296 gpd</u>

SS flow required to be dispose of in the rest of the SS leachfield reserve area = 891 - 296 = 595 gpd

The soil pits in the remaining SS reserve leachfield area have acceptable soil depths of 66", therefore using a sidewall area of 2.67 sf/lf and 30 inch of available trench depth, the leachline required for 595 gpd is determined as follows:

Leachlines =

595 gal/d 2.67 sf/lf (0.657 gal/sf/day)

= 339.1 lf, say <u>340 lf</u>

Therefore, total leachines required to accommodate the peak SS flow of 891 gpd will be 610 lf (270 lf + 340 lf).

Approximately, 440 If of leachline can be fit in the vicinity of SP2, SP3, and SP4 (See construction drawing sheet WW2). Therefore, available area of SS leachfield reserve is approximately 710 If (440 If + 270 If), which meets the 100 percent requirement for reserve area.

DISTRIBUTION LATERAL

Each distribution lateral will be 90 If and utilize 1/8" diameter orifices. The first orlfice hole will be 2'-6" from the beginning of the lateral and the last orifice will be 2-6" from the end of the lateral, with orifice spacing at 3'-6". Each distribution lateral will be 1.5" diameter and include 25 holes.

SS PUMP SIZING

See Attachment C for a summary of the pump system for SS. Dose volume is based on 5 to 10 times the total lateral volume. In this case a volume of 250 gallons is the specified dose quantity. This design utilizes a flow rate of 65.4 gpm with a corresponding pump run time of approximately 3.8 minutes. The theoretical flow rate through the most hydraulically demanding lateral and most remote orifice is 10.9 gpm and 0.4 gpm, respectively.

Head loss calculations were based on a minimum residual head of 5 ft at the most hydraulically remote orifice together with the associated static head, friction head, and minor losses of the system. The system will be installed per the construction drawings; see Sheet WW3. Calculations indicate that the system pump's operating point is 65.4 gpm at 33.3 ft TDH; see Attachment C for pump selection.

A duplex submersible sewage pump system has been specified; see Attachment C. The pumps are sized to meet system requirements. The pumps will be installed in a 1,500 gallon SS pump tank and will operate based on mercury float switch control. Three float switches, pump-off, pump-on/high-water alarm, and high-high water alarm will be installed within the SS pump tank at levels consistent with the sequence of operation summarized below. As a safety feature, if the wastewater in the pump tank reaches the high-water level, an audible and visual alarm will sound.

SS SYSTEM OPERATION

SS flows by gravity from the winery to the septic tank. The SS then flows to the SS pump tank. In the SS pump tank, a duplex pumping system will be provided by two submersible pumps connected to a 2inch force main. Duplex pumping systems eliminate the need for 24 hours storage above the high water

SUMMIT ENGINEERING, INC. Consulting Civil Engineers Project No. 2003062 October 19, 2005 Revised April 19, 2006

alarm in SS systems. The pumps will alternate each time a pump is activated. The force main will be connected to the SS leachfield. See construction drawings for more details.

Once every 6.7 hours, the pump will activate for a period of 3.8 minutes before deactivation. The pump will deactivate if the level of SS reaches below the 1st switch or dose time elapses. The panel will alternate pumps each activation. If the level of SS reaches the 2nd float switch, a high water alarm (HWA) will sound. If the SS reaches the level of the 3nd float switch, a high-high water alarm shall sound.

For additional pump control information, please refer to the wastewater system electrical requirements in Attachment D.





and the second states of





WINERY WASTEWATER DESIGN REPORT

KENZO ESTATE 3200 MONTICELLO ROAD NAPA, CALIFORNIA

APN 033-110-075



PROPERTY OWNER:

Kenzo Estate Inc. 3200 Monticello Road Napa, California

Project # 4112041.0 July 25, 2013 April 7, 2014 **Revised: June 10, 2014**

1515 Fourth Street, Napa, CA 94559

www.rsacivil.com

707.252.3301.v

707.252.4966.f



WASTEWATER DESIGN REPORT KENZO ESTATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2
EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEMS	2
SITE EVALUATION	2
WINERY DOMESTIC WASTEWATER DESIGN	2
WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER DESIGN	4
STORMWATER DIVERSION	4
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	4
CONCLUSION	4

APPENDICES

- 1. Vicinity Map & USGS Quad Map
- 2. Site Evaluation
- 3. Existing Septic System Plans and As Built Plans
- 4. Process Wastewater Pump Tank Calculations and Product Information
- 5. Domestic Wastewater Tank & Geoflow Field Calculations



INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The owner has approval to construct a new winery production building on 36.13 +/- ac parcel located at 3200 Monticello Road, Napa (APN 033-110-075). There will be no increase in production, visitors or employees associated with the new winery production building. Access to the property is an existing driveway connecting to Monticello Road.

The topography on the parcel ranges from steep slopes to mostly level areas. The proposed winery addition will reside on a large, level area of the parcel, backing up to slopes where the existing cave resides. Currently the parcel is used for vineyards and the existing winery. One well exists on the site. Appendix 1 contains a Site Location Map and a USGS Site Map showing the parcel topography, features and boundary.

This report will evaluate the disposal into the existing system for winery process wastewater and proposes a new system for winery domestic wastewater.

EXISTING SEPTIC SYSTEMS

Information from Napa County Environmental Health Department files for the parcel show existing pressure distribution septic systems for the winery domestic and process wastewater. The process wastewater system has been designed for 85,000 gallons per year (2,125 gpd) and the domestic wastewater has been designed for a peak flow of 891 gpd.

CURRENT PERMITS

This report replaces connection to the existing sanitary wastewater system with a new system to serve one new bathroom.

SITE EVALUATION

A site evaluation was conducted on July 27, 2005, under permit number E05-0584, by Summit Engineering, in the location of the existing systems. Appendix 4 contains the Site Evaluation results. An additional site evaluation was conducted by Riechers Spence & Associates on October 30, 2012, under permit E12-00686. This site evaluation investigated the subsurface conditions in the area to the east of the proposed winery building.

The report shows that the test pits contain mostly sandy clay and that each pit has at least an acceptable soil to a depth of 42". Dispersal and reserve areas for the winery domestic and process wastewater is located in the areas represented by test pit #'s 1,2,3, and 4.

WINERY DOMESTIC WASTEWATER DESIGN

The use permit modification is proposing no additional employees or visitors. There will be one bathroom for employees in the new building. The tank sizing and float settings are based on use from 7 of 10 employees from the original design, at 15 gpd/employee. Wastewater will

Kenzo Estate 3200 Monticello Road Napa, California



undergo primary and pre-treatment in a Hoot H-600 BNR Aerobic Tank. Final disposal from the Hoot system will be to a subsurface Geoflow Drip Dispersal system. The treatment goal is to meet Napa County discharge limits for discharge of pre-treated effluent to a Drip Dispersal system of 30 mg/l BOD₅ and 30 mg/l TSS.

Hoot Aerobic Treatment System (H-600 BNR)

System sizing, tank sizing, and treatment system settings are based on HOOT manufacturer's specifications to achieve the design treatment goals of 30 mg/l BOD₅ and 30 mg/l TSS. Pump sizing, timer settings and treatment system calculations are found in Appendix 5 of this report.

Treated effluent from the Hoot Aerobic Treatment System tanks will flow to the dosing portion of the tank. The effluent will be pumped out of the pump portion of the tank and through the HOOT headworks to a Geoflow drip dispersal field. The Geoflow system will be evenly time-dosed over the entire 24-hour day by a 1/2 hp, 10 gpm pump in the tank, supplied with the Hoot System. The Hoot Automatic Controller, also supplied with the Hoot System, will control the aeration in the tanks, the pumps, and distribution.

A flow meter for the supply line is included and will measure the volume discharged to the Geoflow system. A second flow meter will be installed to measure the field flush flow returned to the tank. Net discharge will be calculated as the difference between the two meter readings. Calculations for dosing and pump sizing are included in Appendix 5.

Geoflow Drip Dispersal System

The Geoflow field and reserve area will be located as shown on sheet SS2 of the attached plans. Soil depth is at least 42 inches in the primary dispersal field area and reserve areas, therefore, the dispersal field will require no fill placement.

The most restricting soil horizon for the primary area is sandy clay with moderate sub-angular blocky structure. Referring to Table 2 of the Geoflow Design, Installation and Maintenance Guidelines, a Geoflow system installed in sandy clay soil with moderate, sub angular blocky structure will accept 0.3 gal/sf/day. For a total daily flow of 105 gpd this equates to base dispersal area of 350 square feet.

Drip Dispersal Field Area =
$$\frac{105 \text{ gpd}}{0.3 \text{ gpd} / SF}$$
 = 350 square feet

The Geoflow field will therefore consist of 175 linear feet of Wasteflow PC drip line, 0.5 gallon per hour-per emitter, at 2 foot spacing between emitters and 2 foot spacing between lines. Three lines at 60' will be installed for the system. The lines will be oriented along the contours with supply and flush manifolds at either end of the system, as shown on sheet SS2.0 of the Wastewater Disposal System plans. The field flush return will discharge into the tank where it will be re-treated through the Hoot System.



In addition to the primary dispersal area of 350 square feet, a 200% reserve area is required. The reserve area will be located adjacent to the primary field where the soil application rate is also 0.3 gallons/square foot/day.

Drip Dispersal Field Area (reserve area) = $\frac{105 \text{ gpd}}{0.3 \text{ gpd} / SF}$ = 350 square feet

The requirement for winery domestic wastewater reserve dispersal area is 700 square feet. The total area required for primary and reserve areas is 1050 square feet.

As required for sloping sites, two monitoring wells will be installed within the dispersal field, two will be installed 10 feet uphill, and two will be installed 25 feet downhill. Geoflow field and pump sizing calculations are found in Appendix 5 of this report.

WINERY PROCESS WASTEWATER DESIGN

The use permit modification is proposing no additional production, although production will occur in the new building. The tank sizing and float settings are based on one half of the allowable effluent (1,063 gpd). A 3,000 gallon pump tank will be installed. The pump tank will pump effluent to an existing process wastewater line located east of the existing winery building.

STORMWATER DIVERSION

The proposed crush pad will be located under a covered area. This prevents storm water from entering the process wastewater system.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The winery domestic wastewater system is fully automated and has been designed so minimal input from winery staff is required. The new pump tank and new HOOT system will also be fully automated. Per Napa County guidelines, a Registered Civil Engineer, Registered Environmental Health Specialist, or Licensed Contractor will continue to provide semi-annual monitoring and evaluation of the system. The contract with the responsible party will be provided prior to the final for the system installed.

CONCLUSION

This report outlines the additions to the existing process wastewater system. There is no increase in production, therefore it is feasible for the new connection to the existing process waste water system.



The report also outlines the proposed installation of the new domestic wastewater tank and dispersal field. We conclude that the estimated volume of domestic wastewater generated by the winery can be successfully treated by the HOOT H-600 Aerobic Treatment System and that the subsurface drip dispersal area is sufficiently designed for the soil conditions and the peak flow.



Appendix 3

Conceptual Tank Layout and Dispersal Field Exhibit







KENZO ESTATES PW STORAGE TANK OPTIONS







Appendix 4

Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage Irrigation Areas Exhibit

Reclaimed Process Wastewater Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage Option #1

Project Description					Annual Process Waste Flow Volume									
Project Number:	4119018.0				Wine Product	tion:				150,000		gal/year		
Project Name: Prepared By:	Julia King				Annual Proce	ess Waste per	Gallon Wine:			5		gal/year		
Date:	June 24, 2019				Total Annual	Process Was	ste Generated:			750,000		gal/year		
Vineyard Irrigation Parameters		Landscap	e Irrigatio	n Parame	eters									
Acres of irrigated vineyard:	10.00 acres	Crop type / na	me:											
Row spacing: Vine spacing:	7.0 feet	Total irrigated	acres of crop	D:		2.34	acres							
Total number of vines:	7,779 vines													
Water use per vine per month (peak):	26 gal													
Total peak monthly irrigation demand.	202,243 gal													
Monthly Process Wastewater Generation	on	Ian	Fab	Mar	Apr	May	Iun	Iul	Δυσ	San	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Monthly process wastewater generated as % of annual	total:	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	9%	10%	14%	14%	11%	8%	
Monthly process wastewater generated [gallons]:		30,000	45,000	45,000	37,500	45,000	52,500	67,500	75,000	105,000	105,000	82,500	60,000	
Monthly Vineyard Irrigation Water Us	0													
(Based on per-vine water use)	n	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Beginning of month reclaimed water in storage [gallon (This number brought forward from and of proving m	s]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vineyard irrigation as % of peak month irrigation dem	and:	6%	6%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	10%	
Irrigation per month per vine (gallons):		1.6	16	2.6	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	2.6	2.6	
Total vinevard irrigation demand [gallons]:		12 135	12 135	20.224	202 243	202 243	202 243	202 243	202 243	202 243	202 243	20.224	20.224	
Will viewend be iminited with evolving durate this month?		v	v	V	V	v	v	V	v	V	V	V	V	
Process wastewater generated this month, reclaimed for vineyard irrigation		12 135	12 135	20 224	37 500	45 000	52 500	67 500	75.000	105.000	105.000	20 224	20.224	
[gallons] Remaining vineyard irrigation demand after using this month's process water		0	0	20,224	164 742	157.242	140 742	124 742	127.242	07 242	07.242	0	0	
[gallons]		0	0	0	0	0	147,745	0	127,243	0	97,245	0	0	
Wall water required to esticify remaining vineyard irrigat	ation domand	0	0	0	164 742	167.242	140 742	124.742	127.242	07.242	07.242	0	0	
Went water required to satisfy remaining vineyard irrig		0	0	0	164,743	157,243	149,743	134,743	127,243	97,243	97,243	0	0	
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine	yard irrigation, available	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
for landscape irrigation[gallons]		17,865 Water	32,865	24,776	0 ct page for cov	0 er cron irrig	0 ution	0	0	0	0	62,276	39,776	
Monthly Landscape Irrigation Water U	Jse	water	balance con	unues on nex	a page jor covi	er crop irrigi	uton.							
(Based on evapotranspiration crop demand and irrigate	ed area)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine for landscape irrigation[allons] (From sheet 1)	yard irrigation, available	17,865	32,865	24,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,276	39,776	
Reference ET (ETo) (in/month) (see note 1)		1.03	1.53	2.93	4.71	5.82	6.85	7.21	6.44	4.87	3.53	1.64	1.17	
Crop Coefficient (k _c) (see note 2)		0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	
Crop water demand per acre [inches]		0.62	0.92	1.76	2.83	3.49	4.11	4.33	3.86	2.92	2.12	0.98	0.70	
Crop water demand per acre [gallons]		16,780	24,926	47,734	76,733	94,816	111,596	117,461	104,917	79,339	57,509	26,718	19,061	
Total crop water demand for irrigated area [gallons]		39,266	58,327	111,697	179,554	221,870	261,135	274,859	245,505	185,654	134,571	62,520	44,603	
Will landscape be irrigated with reclaimed water this n	nonth?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Process wastewater remaining after vineyard irrigation	, reclaimed for landscape	17,865	32,865	24,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,276	39,776	
Landscape irrigation water required from storage or ot	her source [gallons]	21,400	25,461	86,922	179,554	221,870	261,135	274,859	245,505	185,654	134,571	244	4,827	
Drawdown from storage for landscape irrigation [gallo	ons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Process wastewater generated this month, unused for i and stored [gallons]	rrigation, to be reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Net end-of-month reclaimed water storage after all irri	gation [gallons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				End of Wa	ter Balance									

Peak Monthly Storage =

0 gallons

Notes:

1. Reference ETo from California Irrigation Management Information System

2. Crop Coefficient from Table 1 of "Estimating Irrigation Water Needs of Landscape Plantings in California", University of California Cooperative Extension, August 2000.

Reclaimed Process Wastewater Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage Option #2

Project Description					Annual Process Waste Flow Volume									
ject Number: 4119018.0					Wine Production: 48,000 gal/year									
Project Name: Prepared By:	Kenzo Julia King			Annual Proce	Gallon Wine:			5		gal/vear				
Date:	June 24, 2019				Total Annual	te Generated:		240,000		gal/year				
Vineyard Irrigation Parameters		Landscape Irrigation Parame			eters									
Acres of irrigated vineyard:	10.00 acres	Crop type / n	ame:											
Row spacing:	7.0 feet	Total irrigate	d acres of cro	p:		0.24	acres							
Total number of vines:	7,779 vines													
Water use per vine per month (peak):	26 gal													
Total peak monthly irrigation demand:	202,243 gal													
Monthly Process Wastewater Generati	on													
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Monthly process wastewater generated as % of annua	l total:	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	9%	10%	14%	14%	11%	8%	
Monthly process wastewater generated [gallons]:		9,600	14,400	14,400	12,000	14,400	16,800	21,600	24,000	33,600	33,600	26,400	19,200	
Monthly Vineyard Irrigation Water Us	se													
(Based on per-vine water use)		<u>Jan</u>	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	<u>Jun</u>	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Beginning of month reclaimed water in storage [gallor (This number brought forward from end of previous n	ns] nonth)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vineyard irrigation as % of peak month irrigation den	and:	6%	6%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	10%	
Irrigation per month per vine (gallons):		1.6	1.6	2.6	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	2.6	2.6	
Total vineyard irrigation demand [gallons]:		12,135	12,135	20,224	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	20,224	20,224	
Will vineyard be irrigated with reclaimed water this month?		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Process wastewater generated this month, reclaimed for vineyard irrigation [gallons]		9,600	12,135	14,400	12,000	14,400	16,800	21,600	24,000	33,600	33,600	20,224	19,200	
Remaining vineyard irrigation demand after using this month's process water [gallons]		2,535	0	5,824	190,243	187,843	185,443	180,643	178,243	168,643	168,643	0	1,024	
Drawdown from storage for remaining vineyard irrigation [gallons]		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Well water required to satisfy remaining vineyard irrig	gation demand	2,535	0	5,824	190,243	187,843	185,443	180,643	178,243	168,643	168,643	0	1,024	
Net storage after vineyard irrigation drawdown [gallon	ns]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
for landscape irrigation[gallons]	yard irrigation, available	0	2,265	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,176	0	
Monthly I andscape Irrigation Water I	Ise	Wate	r balance con	tinues on ne	xt page for cov	er crop irrige	ation.							
(Based on evapotranspiration crop demand and irrigat	ed area)	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine for landscape irrigation[gallons] (From sheet 1)	eyard irrigation, available	0	2,265	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,176	0	
Reference ET (ETo) (in/month) (see note 1)		1.03	1.53	2.93	4.71	5.82	6.85	7.21	6.44	4.87	3.53	1.64	1.17	
Crop Coefficient (k _c) (see note 2)		0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	
Crop water demand per acre [inches]		0.62	0.92	1.76	2.83	3.49	4.11	4.33	3.86	2.92	2.12	0.98	0.70	
Crop water demand per acre [gallons]		16,780	24,926	47,734	76,733	94,816	111,596	117,461	104,917	79,339	57,509	26,718	19,061	
Total crop water demand for irrigated area [gallons]		4,027	5,982	11,456	18,416	22,756	26,783	28,191	25,180	19,041	13,802	6,412	4,575	
Will landscape be irrigated with reclaimed water this n	month?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Process wastewater remaining after vineyard irrigation irrigation [gallons]	n, reclaimed for landscape	0	2,265	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6,176	0	
Landscape irrigation water required from storage or o	ther source [gallons]	4,027	3,717	11,456	18,416	22,756	26,783	28,191	25,180	19,041	13,802	237	4,575	
Drawdown from storage for landscape irrigation [gall-	ons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Process wastewater generated this month, unused for and stored [gallons]	irrigation, to be reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Net end-of-month reclaimed water storage after all irr	igation [gallons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				End of We	ter Balance									

Peak Monthly Storage =

0 gallons

Notes:

1. Reference ETo from California Irrigation Management Information System

2. Crop Coefficient from Table 1 of "Estimating Irrigation Water Needs of Landscape Plantings in California", University of California Cooperative Extension, August 2000.

Reclaimed Process Wastewater Water Balance for Irrigation and Storage Option #3

Project Description					Annual Process Waste Flow Volume									
Project Number: 4119018.0					Wine Production: 150,000 gal/year									
Project Name:	roject Name: Kenzo					Annual Decodes Wests per Colling Wine:								
Date:	May 17, 2019			Total Annual Process Waste per Ganon Wine:					750,000		gal/year			
Vinevard Irrigation Parameters		Landscane	Irrigatio	n Parama	tors									
Acres of irrigated vineyard:	10.00 acres	Crop type / na	me:		ters									
Row spacing:	7.0 feet	Total irrigated	acres of crop	c.		2.34	acres							
Vine spacing:	8.0 feet													
Water use per vine per month (peak):	26 gal													
Total peak monthly irrigation demand:	202,243 gal													
Monthly Process Wastewater Generation	on													
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Monthly process wastewater generated as % of annual	total:	4%	6%	6%	5%	6%	7%	9%	10%	14%	14%	11%	8%	
Monthly process wastewater generated [gallons]:		30,000	45,000	45,000	37,500	45,000	52,500	67,500	75,000	105,000	105,000	82,500	60,000	
Monthly Vineyard Irrigation Water Us	e													
(Based on per-vine water use)		Jan	Feb	Mar	<u>Apr</u>	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	
Beginning of month reclaimed water in storage [gallon (This number brought forward from end of previous m	s] ionth)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Vineyard irrigation as % of peak month irrigation dem	and:	6%	6%	10%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	10%	10%	
Irrigation per month per vine (gallons):		1.6	1.6	2.6	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	26.0	2.6	2.6	
Total vineyard irrigation demand [gallons]:		12,135	12,135	20,224	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	202,243	20,224	20,224	
Will vineyard be irrigated with reclaimed water this month?		Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Process wastewater generated this month, reclaimed for vineyard irrigation [gallons]		12,135	12,135	20,224	37,500	45,000	52,500	67,500	75,000	105,000	105,000	20,224	20,224	
Remaining vineyard irrigation demand after using this month's process water [gallons]		0	0	0	164,743	157,243	149,743	134,743	127,243	97,243	97,243	0	0	
Drawdown from storage for remaining vineyard irrigation [gallons]		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Well water required to satisfy remaining vineyard irrig	ation demand	0	0	0	164,743	157,243	149,743	134,743	127,243	97,243	97,243	0	0	
Net storage after vineyard irrigation drawdown [gallor	is]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vineyard irrigation, available for landscape irrigation[gallons]		17,865	32,865	24,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,276	39,776	
Monthly Londsoons Invigation Water I	lan	Water	balance con	tinues on nex	t page for cove	er crop irriga	tion.							
(Based on evanotranspiration cron demand and irrigate	ed area)	Ian	Eab	Mar	Apr	May	Iun	Iul	Δυσ	San	Oct	Nov	Dec	
This month's process wastewater, remaining after vine	yard irrigation, available	17.865	32.865	24.776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62.276	39.776	
for landscape irrigation[gallons] (From sheet 1) Reference ET (ETo) (in/month) (see note 1)		1.03	1.53	2.93	4 71	5.82	6.85	7.21	6 44	4 87	3 53	1.64	1.17	
Crop Coefficient (k.) (see note 2)		0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.60	
Crop water demand per acre [inches]		0.62	0.92	1.76	2.83	3.49	4.11	4.33	3.86	2.92	2.12	0.98	0.70	
Crop water demand per acre [gallons]		16,780	24,926	47,734	76,733	94,816	111,596	117,461	104,917	79,339	57,509	26,718	19,061	
Total crop water demand for irrigated area [gallons]		39,266	58,327	111,697	179,554	221,870	261,135	274,859	245,505	185,654	134,571	62,520	44,603	
Will landscape be irrigated with reclaimed water this m	nonth?	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	
Process wastewater remaining after vineyard irrigation	, reclaimed for landscape	17,865	32,865	24,776	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62,276	39,776	
Landscape irrigation water required from storage or ot	her source [gallons]	21,400	25,461	86,922	179,554	221,870	261,135	274,859	245,505	185,654	134,571	244	4,827	
Drawdown from storage for landscape irrigation [gallo	ons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Process wastewater generated this month, unused for i	rrigation, to be reclaimed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Net end-of-month reclaimed water storage after all irri	gation [gallons]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				End of Wat	er Balance									

Peak Monthly Storage =

0 gallons

Notes:

1. Reference ETo from California Irrigation Management Information System

2. Crop Coefficient from Table 1 of "Estimating Irrigation Water Needs of Landscape Plantings in California", University of California Cooperative Extension, August 2000.

KENZO ESTATES VINEYARD IRRIGATION WITH PROCESS WASTE WATER

APN: 033-110-015 TOTAL VINEYARD OUTSIDE OF SETBACKS : 10.0 ACRES





