

Traffic Impact Study



October 4, 2019

Ms. Karen Fontanella Fontanella Family Winery 1721 Patrick Road Napa, CA 94558

Addendum to the *Traffic Impact Study for the Fontanella Winery Project*

Dear Ms. Fontanella:

As requested, we have prepared this addendum to the *Traffic Impact Study for the Fontanella Winery Project* (TIS), July 29, 2019, based on the updated site plan received. Specifically, the project's parking supply has been modified and now includes nine permanent parking spaces, while the project as evaluated included 17 permanent spaces and the application as submitted included 11 parking spaces.

The project's parking demand was updated to reflect the change in the proposed parking supply. Following is the parking section from the TIS, edited to reflect the project as now proposed. This new text supersedes the parking section in the traffic study.

Parking

The project was analyzed to determine whether the proposed parking supply would be sufficient for the anticipated daily demand during harvest conditions as well as during events. The project site, as proposed, would have seven standard parking spaces and two accessible parking spaces for a total of nine permanent parking spaces. It is understood that the crush pad area and unpaved drive aisle shoulders would be used for temporary overflow parking for events.

To accommodate the daily parking demand for the tasting room, there should be at least one space provided for every employee on-site, as well as parking stalls for about 25 percent of the expected daily tasting room visitors (counts performed at numerous wineries in Napa County indicate that the peak visitation typically represents less than 20 percent of the daily volume, so this assumption is slightly conservative). Although tours and tastings would occur by appointment only, for the purpose of the parking analysis it was conservatively assumed that one-quarter of the daily guests could be on site at any one time. During harvest and with a small VIP marketing event in occurrence, there would be six employees and a maximum of 22 visitors per day to the tasting room. Assuming the County's standard occupancy rate of 2.8 guests per vehicle, a total of eight guest vehicles would visit the site over the course of the day. Therefore, the proposed project would need at least eight standard parking spaces, six for employees and two for guests to satisfy the parking demand during the peak period. Therefore, the applicant should either convert one of the proposed ADA spaces to a standard space, resulting in eight standard parking spaces, or add one standard parking space to the proposed supply, bringing the total to ten spaces.

The maximum number of parking spaces that would be needed on-site to accommodate employees and visitors during a 150-person harvest event was also estimated using the County's standard vehicle occupancies of one employee or 2.8 visitors per vehicle. However, it is noted that the largest events, including the 75-person and 150-person events, would have a "rolling" attendance, meaning that not all attendees would be on-site at the same time. The maximum number of guests that would be at the site simultaneously is anticipated to be 75 percent of the total attendance. Based on these operational parameters, during a 150-person event, a total of 51 parking spaces would be needed, including 40 for guests, five for event staff, and six for winery employees. Therefore, the total permanent parking supply at the winery is insufficient to meet the anticipated parking demand for the largest event, experiencing a shortfall of 42 spaces. The applicant should ensure that the on-site temporary overflow parking can accommodate up to 42 vehicles.

The second largest event would be a 75-person event and would also have a "rolling" attendance. Assuming staffing levels are the same as the largest 150-person event and that a maximum of 75 percent of event attendees would be on-site simultaneously, the parking required for a 75-person event would be 31 spaces, including 20 for guests, five for event staff, and six for winery employees. Therefore, this event would also require an on-site overflow parking supply.

Finally, a 30-person event would require 17 vehicle parking spaces assuming only the six full-time staff are needed (no event staff) and all event attendees would be on-site at the same time. The proposed supply of nine spaces is inadequate to meet the demand for these small events.

Recommendation – The applicant should provide eight standard parking spaces to satisfy the typical peak parking demand either by converting one of the proposed ADA spaces to a standard space or by creating an additional standard space.

Recommendation – The applicant should close the tasting room during 30-, 75-, and 150-person events and allocate the permanent parking supply to event traffic. Also, the applicant should provide on-site overflow parking to accommodate up to 42 additional vehicles.

Please contact me if you have any further questions about this analysis. Thank you for giving us the opportunity to provide these services.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rangel, EIT Assistant Engineer III

Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE

Senior Principal

DJW/kr/NAX127.L2



July 29, 2019

Ms. Karen Fontanella Fontanella Family Winery 1721 Patrick Road Napa, CA 94558

Traffic Impact Study for the Fontanella Winery Project

Dear Ms. Fontanella;

As requested, W-Trans has prepared a focused traffic analysis addressing potential traffic impacts and circulation needs for the proposed change in Conditional Use Permit for the Fontanella Family Winery located at 1721 Partrick Road in the County of Napa. The traffic analysis was completed in accordance with the criteria established by the County of Napa, provides information based on a scope of work approved by County staff, and is consistent with standard traffic engineering techniques.

Project Description

The project site is located at 1721 Partrick Road in the County of Napa. The project would modify the Conditional Use Permit to allow for a peak of 14 visitors per day, up from the four visitors per day currently permitted. Additionally, the proposed modification would increase employees from three full-time and two part-time staff to six full-time employees. The winery's special event allowance would be modified to include 50 VIP marketing events with eight guests, six events with 30 guests, one industry-wide event with up to 75 guests, and one harvest event with a maximum of 150 guests. Five event employees, in addition to the six proposed full-time winery staff, would be scheduled during the largest 150-person event. An existing driveway on Partrick Road would continue to provide access to the winery.

Collision History

The collision history for the study area was reviewed to determine any trends or patterns that may indicate a safety issue. Collision rates were calculated based on records available from the California Highway Patrol as published in their Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) reports. The most current five-year period available is April 1, 2014 through March 31, 2019.

The calculated collision rate for the study roadway segment was compared to the average collision rate for similar facilities statewide, as indicated in 2014 Collision Data on California State Highways, California Department of Transportation (Caltrans). The study roadway experienced two collisions over the five-year study period, which translates to a below-average collision rate of 1.71 collisions per million vehicle miles (c/mvm) versus an average rate statewide of 2.22 c/mvm. It is further noted that the recorded collisions did not result in any injuries; therefore, the study roadway is operating acceptably with regards to safety. The collision rate calculation is enclosed.

Existing Conditions

The study area consists of the intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road and the segment of Partrick Road that runs from the project driveway to the City of Napa limits. It is noted that there are two intersections of the two roadways. Because the north leg of the westerly intersection was closed due to storm-related damage, turning movement counts for the easterly intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road were collected on March 16, 2019 between 2:00 and 4:00 p.m., as this was determined to be the period of the highest volume on Partrick Road.

Partrick Road is classified as a general minor road in the Napa County Road Classification section of the General Plan. It generally runs east-west in the study area, has a width of 22 feet, and is located in winding, rolling terrain. The study segment of Partrick Road has a posted speed limit of 30 miles per hour (mph) near the City limits and a *prima facie* speed limit of 55 mph near the project driveway, which is located outside the City limits in the County of Napa. Vehicle counts were obtained on Partrick Road near both the project driveway and the Napa City limits for a period of four days from Thursday, October 26 to Sunday, October 29, 2017. The highest volume over the four days was experienced on Saturday near the City limits, with 455 vehicles recorded for the day. The peak hour on Saturday was from 3:00 to 4:00 p.m. with 49 vehicles recorded in that hour. The turning movement and segment counts are enclosed.

Intersection Operation

The Levels of Service for the easterly intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road were analyzed using the "Two-Way Stop-Controlled" intersection capacity method from the HCM. This methodology determines a level of service for each minor turning movement by estimating the level of average delay in seconds per vehicle. Results are presented for individual movements together with the weighted overall average delay for the intersection.

Under existing conditions, the intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road is operating acceptably at LOS A overall and LOS B on the stop-controlled Browns Valley Road approach during the weekend peak hour. Copies of the Level of Service calculations for all evaluated scenarios are enclosed.

Roadway Segment Operation

The roadway segment Level of Service methodology found in Chapter 15, "Two-Lane Highways," of the *Highway Capacity Manual* is the basis of the automobile LOS analysis. The methodology considers traffic volumes, terrain, roadway cross-section, the proportion of heavy vehicles, and the availability of passing zones. The LOS criteria for two-lane highways differs depending on the classification applicable to the highway. Partrick Road was considered a Class II highway, which is one where motorists do not necessarily expect to travel at high speeds, which often function as scenic or recreational routes and typically serve shorter trips. The County of Napa's adopted LOS Standard is contained in *Napa County General Plan Update 2008*. Policy CIR-16 states that the County shall seek to maintain an arterial Level of Service D or better on all county roadways.

Based on existing volumes collected in October 2017, the study segment operates acceptably at LOS A in both directions during both peak periods.

Trip Generation

The Napa County Winery Traffic Information/Trip Generation Form was used to determine the potential trip generation for the existing operation (as permitted) and proposed conditions. The form estimates the number of daily and peak hour trips for weekdays and Saturdays based on the number of full- and part-time employees, average daily visitors, and production. Based on the current Conditional Use Permit parameters the site is currently generating six trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and nine trips during the weekend midday peak hour. The proposed changes to the Conditional Use Permit would be expected to result in a total of 14 trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and 19 trips during the weekend midday peak hour, or an increase of eight and ten trips during the two peaks, respectively, over permitted conditions. It is noted that because small VIP events would occur at least once per week, the guests attending these events were added to the number of proposed daily visitors under both daily weekday and Saturday conditions, resulting in a conservative analysis.

The County's form does not include guidance on inbound versus outbound trips, so based on extensive data collected at a tasting facility in Sonoma County it was assumed that two-thirds of trips at the winery would be outbound during the weekday p.m. peak hour as employees and customers leave at closure of the winery; for the

weekend midday peak hour it was assumed that inbound and outbound trips would be evenly split. The results based on application of these assumptions are shown in Table 1. The Winery Traffic Information/Trip Generation Forms for both permitted and proposed conditions are enclosed for reference.

Table 1 – Trip Genera	ntion Summary –	Typical Co	onditions	;			
Condition	Weekday	Weekda	ay PM Pe	ak Hour	Weeker	nd MD Pe	ak Hour
	Trips	Trips	In	Out	Trips	In	Out
Permitted (Existing)	17	6	2	4	9	5	4
Proposed	36	14	5	9	19	10	9
Net New Trips	19	8	3	5	10	5	5

Traffic that would occur during a Crush Saturday was also tabulated, as shown in Table 2. The modified Conditional Use Permit would be expected to result in an average of 19 additional daily trips during a Crush Saturday, including 11 trips during the peak hour; these trips represent the increase in traffic associated with the proposed use permit compared to currently permitted conditions. It is noted that with only 19 daily trips anticipated for the change in use, a traffic study would not be required if this were a new winery use under the draft guidelines for winery analyses currently under consideration by County staff. This nominal increase in trips would therefore reasonably be expected to have a minimal impact on traffic operation.

Table 2 – Trip Generation	on Summary – (Crush Saturda	у								
Condition	Daily	Week	end MD Peak	Hour							
Trips Trips In Out											
Permitted (Existing)	18	10	5	5							
Proposed	37	21	10	11							
Net New Trips	19	11	5	6							

In addition to typical daily and crush Saturday operations, the anticipated trip generation for the largest proposed event, one with 150 guests, was also estimated. It is noted that the timing of events is unknown, so to provide the most conservative analysis it was assumed the largest 150-person event could occur during the peak hour either on a weekday or weekend day, so both were evaluated.

Based on the County's Winery Traffic Information/Trip Generation Form, a 150-person marketing event would be expected to generate a total of 123 trips, including 107 trips for guests, 10 trips for employees, and 6 trips for special event trucks. For the purpose of estimating the peak hour trip generation it was assumed that all guests would be arriving at the site during the peak hour on either a weekday or weekend day. Event-specific employees would arrive outside of the arrival and departure hours of the guests as they would be expected to be on-site for set-up and clean-up and are therefore not included in the peak hour totals. The winery's six full-time employees would also work the event, resulting in a total of 11 staff. Similarly, the trucks associated with such events would be expected to arrive at and depart from the site outside hours or even days before and after the event.

Trip Distribution

The pattern used to allocate new project trips to the street network was determined based on likely origins and destinations for patrons of the project. Because the winery is located west of the City of Napa, with limited destinations to the west, it was assumed that 100 percent of trips would be to and from the east on Partrick Road.

Capacity Analysis

Future plus Project plus 150-Person Event Conditions

Future volumes for the horizon year 2040 were calculated based on output from the *Napa Solano Travel Demand Model*, maintained by the Solano Transportation Authority (STA). It is noted that the County model does not include volume projections for the eastbound Partrick Road and westbound Browns Valley Road approaches to the easterly intersection. For comparison, available model volumes for the nearby intersection of Browns Valley Road/McCormick Lane were used to calculate an overall growth factor of 1.19 for the intersection. However, to arrive an analysis representative of "worst-case" conditions, an overall growth factor of 2.0 was applied to the Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road intersection.

Base year (2015) and future (2040) segment volumes for the weekday p.m. peak period were used to calculate growth factors in each direction for the study roadway segment. The growth factors projected by the model were then adjusted to account for the four years of growth that have already occurred since 2015. The same growth factors used for the weekday p.m. peak hour were used for the weekend midday peak hour as the model does not contain information for weekend days. The model is projecting an increase in traffic volumes that results in a growth factor of 1.51 in the northbound direction; however, the model projects a decrease in volumes for the southbound direction. Given that existing traffic volumes on Partrick Road near the Napa City limits are generally low, the model-projected growth would result in a relatively minor increase to the volumes; in other words, because the volumes are so low, application of a growth factor results in an increase that is inconsistent with the increase in volumes anticipated on higher volume roads. Therefore, to arrive at an analysis representative of "worst-case" conditions, the growth factor projected by the model was doubled and the resulting growth factor of three was applied to the existing volumes for Partrick Road.

The Future plus Project plus 150-person Event volumes during a typical weekday p.m. peak period and crush Saturday peak period were analyzed. Under these worst-case, or highest volume, conditions, the study intersection is expected to continue operating acceptably at LOS A overall and LOS C on the stop-controlled Browns Valley Road approach during the weekend peak hour. Under these same conditions, the eastbound study segment of Partrick Road would operate acceptably at LOS B and the westbound segment would operate acceptably at LOS C during both peaks. Because acceptable operation is projected under the highest potential volumes included in the analysis, it is reasonable to conclude that operation will be acceptable under all other scenarios with lower background and/or project-generated volumes. Additional analysis was therefore not performed of each of these other scenarios.

Sight Distance

Anywhere a driver must enter moving traffic, a substantially clear line of sight between that driver and the driver of an approaching vehicle is necessary. Sight distances along Partrick Road at the project driveway were evaluated based on sight distance criteria contained in the *Highway Design Manual* published by Caltrans. The recommended sight distance for driveway approaches are based on stopping sight distance and the approach travel speeds. Additionally, the stopping sight distance needed for a following driver to stop if there is a vehicle waiting to turn into a driveway is evaluated based on stopping sight distance criterion and the approach speed on the major street.

As Partrick Road does not have a posted speed limit in the general vicinity of the project driveway the prevailing *prima facie* speed limit of 55 mph would apply, though considering the winding roadway configuration, most drivers travel at lower speeds. For an assumed design speed of 55 mph, stopping sight distance of 500 feet is recommended.

Based on a review of field conditions, sight distance from the driveway extends approximately 700 feet west for the critical eastbound approach, up to the vertical curve that is on a downward slope approaching the driveway. Sight lines to the east are limited to about 250 feet by the steep grade in the roadway, which is inadequate for the *prima facie* speed limit. To avoid the potential conflict which would occur if a vehicle turned left out of the driveway in front of an oncoming westbound vehicle traveling at a speed greater than 30 mph, access out of the site should be restricted to right turns only. Drivers turning right need only see vehicles coming from their left, and sight distance to the west exceeds the minimum amount recommended.

Finding – Sight distance on Partrick Road from the project driveway is adequate to the west, but inadequate to the east to meet the applied criteria for both entering and exiting movements based on the *prima facie* speed limit

Recommendation – The applicant should install a right-turn only sign for vehicles exiting the project driveway. Additionally, the applicant should install a "combination curve/side road" (W1-10) sign along the north side of Partrick Road in advance of the project driveway.

Left-Turn Lane Warrants

The County of Napa has a published policy that provides guidance on when a turn lane is needed based on the daily traffic volume projected to use the driveway as a function of roadway ADT (Average Daily Traffic). A left-turn lane meets warrants when the corresponding value plots above the curve indicated on the Left Turn Lane Warrant Graph from the *Napa County Road and Street Standards*, and is unwarranted if the value plots below the curve. Because, in addition to the winery, there is one existing home served by the driveway, trips for this residence were estimated using the standard rates for single-family detached housing at 9.44 trips per unit, or nine trips total added to the total of 46 project plus event trips (for a 30-person event) to achieve the driveway volume of 55.

Based on Future plus Project plus 30-person Event volumes, a left-turn lane would not be warranted with the proposed Conditional Use Permit Modification. A copy of the warrant graph is enclosed along with the traffic counts that were collected on Partrick Road near the project driveway for the turn warrant analysis.

Finding – A left-turn lane is not warranted on Partrick Road at the project driveway.

Parking

The project was analyzed to determine whether the proposed parking supply would be sufficient for the anticipated daily demand during harvest conditions as well as during events. The project site, as proposed, would have 15 standard parking spaces and two accessible parking spaces for a total of 17 permanent parking spaces. It is understood that the crush pad area and unpaved drive aisle shoulders would be used for temporary overflow parking for events.

To accommodate the daily parking demand for the tasting room, there should be at least one space provided for every employee on-site, as well as parking stalls for about 25 percent of the expected daily tasting room visitors (counts performed at numerous wineries in Napa County indicate that the peak visitation typically represents less than 20 percent of the daily volume, so this assumption is slightly conservative). Although tours and tasting would occur by appointment only, for the purpose of the parking analysis it was conservatively assumed that one-quarter of the daily guests could be on site at any one time. During harvest and with a small VIP marketing event in occurrence, there would be six employees and a maximum of 22 visitors per day to the tasting room. Assuming the County's standard occupancy rate of 2.8 guests per vehicle, a total of eight guest vehicles would visit the site over the course of the day. Therefore, the proposed project would need at least 14 parking spaces, six for employees and eight for guests. The proposed supply of 17 spaces would be more than adequate to accommodate the approximate day-to-day peak demand of 14 spaces.

The maximum number of parking spaces that would be needed on-site to accommodate employees and visitors during a 150-person harvest event was also estimated using the County's standard vehicle occupancies of one employee or 2.8 visitors per vehicle. However, it is noted that the largest events, including the 75-person and 150-person events, would have a "rolling" attendance, meaning that not all attendees would be on-site at the same time. The maximum number of guests that would be at the site simultaneously is anticipated to be 75 percent of the total attendance. Based on these operational parameters, during a 150-person event, a total of 51 parking spaces would be needed, including 40 for guests, five for event staff, and six for winery employees. Therefore, the total permanent parking supply at the winery is insufficient to meet the anticipated parking demand for the largest event, experiencing a shortfall of 34 spaces. The applicant should ensure that the on-site temporary overflow parking can accommodate up to 34 vehicles.

The second largest event would be a 75-person event and would also have a "rolling" attendance. Assuming staffing levels are the same as the largest 150-person event and that a maximum of 75 percent of event attendees would be on-site simultaneously, the parking required for a 75-person event would be 31 spaces, including 20 for guests, five for event staff, and six for winery employees. Therefore, this event would also require an on-site overflow parking supply.

Finally, a 30-person event would require 17 vehicle parking spaces assuming only the six full-time staff are needed (no event staff) and all event attendees would be on-site at the same time. The proposed supply of 17 spaces is adequate to meet the demand for these small events.

Finding – The proposed permanent parking supply is adequate for the anticipated demand during typical harvest operation and 30-person events, but inadequate for 75-person and 150-person events.

Recommendation – The applicant should close the tasting room during 30-, 75-, and 150-person events and allocate the permanent parking supply to event traffic. Also, the applicant should provide on-site overflow parking to accommodate up to 34 additional vehicles.

Conclusions and Recommendations

- The proposed change in visitation, production, and employment levels at the winery would be expected to result in an average of 19 new daily trips at the site on weekdays, including eight trips during the weekday p.m. peak hour and ten trips during the weekend midday peak hour. On Crush Saturdays, the project would be expected to result in eleven new trips during the midday peak hour.
- Under Existing conditions, the easterly intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road operates acceptably at LOS A overall and LOS B on the minor Browns Valley Road approach during the weekend peak hour and the study segment of Partrick Road operates acceptably at LOS A in both directions during both peak periods.
- Under worst-case Future plus Project plus 150-person Event conditions, which would occur one day of the
 year, the study intersection of Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road would be expected to continue operating
 acceptably at LOS A overall and LOS C on the stop-controlled Browns Valley Road approach during the
 weekend peak hour and the study segment of Partrick Road would be expected to operate acceptably at LOS
 B or C during both peak periods.
- Stopping sight distance along Partrick Road at the project driveway is adequate to the west, but inadequate to the east to meet the applied criteria for both entering and exiting movements based on the roadway's prima facie speed limit.
- A left-turn lane is not warranted on Partrick Road at the project driveway.

- The proposed parking supply is adequate to accommodate the anticipated peak parking demand during daily conditions and for a 30-person event, but insufficient to accommodate the demand during the proposed 75-person and 150-person events.
- The applicant should restrict existing vehicle movements to right turns only via the installation of a right-turn only sign.
- The applicant should install a "combination curve/side road" (W1-10) sign in advance of the project driveway for vehicles traveling westbound on Partrick Road.
- The tasting room should be closed during 30-, 75-, and 150-person events and the permanent parking supply of 17 spaces should be allocated to event traffic.
- To accommodate the peak parking demand during the largest 150-person event, the applicant should ensure that the on-site temporary overflow parking can accommodate up to 34 vehicles.

Thank you for giving W-Trans the opportunity to provide these services. Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rangel, EIT Assistant Engineer

Dalene J. Whitlock, PE, PTOE Senior Principal

DJW/kr/NAX127.L1

Enclosures: Traffic Count Data; Collision Rate Calculation; Winery Traffic Information/Trip Generation Forms;

TR001552

Level of Service Calculations; Turn Lane Warrants

VOLUME

Patrick Rd & City Limit

 Day: Thursday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/26/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_002

	DAILY TOTA	15		NB		SB		EB	WI	3_					To	otal
	DAILT TOTA	LJ		0		0		192	19	3					3	85
AM Period	NB SB	EB		WB		TO	TAL	PM Period	NB	SB	EB		WB		TO	TAL
00:00	110 00	0		0		0		12:00	115	<u> </u>	5		6		11	
00:15		0		1		1		12:15			2		5		7	
00:30		1		0	_	1	_	12:30			3		4		7	
00:45 01:00		0	1	0	1	0	2	12:45 13:00			2 2	12	2	17	<u>4</u> 4	29
01:00		1		0		1		13:15			4		3		7	
01:30		0		0		0		13:30			4		5		9	
01:45		0	1	0		0	1	13:45			2	12	1	11	3	23
02:00		0		1		1		14:00			2		5		7	
02:15		0		0		0		14:15			3		2		5	
02:30 02:45		0		0	1	0	1	14:30 14:45			5 6	16	6 6	19	11 12	35
03:00		0		0		0		15:00			5		5	-13	10	
03:15		0		0		0		15:15			5		4		9	
03:30		0		0		0		15:30			9		9		18	
03:45		1	1	0		1	1	15:45 16:00			6	25	2	20	8	45
04:00 04:15		1 0		1 0		2		16:00			2 6		3 5		5 11	
04:30		1		0		1		16:30			6		4		10	
04:45		0	2	0	1	0	3	16:45			2	16	3	15	5	31
05:00		0	<u>-</u>	0		0		17:00	·		7	-	1		8	
05:15		0		0		0		17:15			8 7		4		12	
05:30 05:45		1 1	2	1 0	1	2 1	3	17:30 17:45			2	24	1 0	6	8 2	30
06:00		1		0		1		18:00			3		1	Ů	4	30
06:15		1		0		1		18:15			2		3		5	
06:30		0		3		3		18:30			0		1		1	
06:45		2	4	5	88	7	12	18:45			1	6	0	5	1	11
07:00 07:15		2 2		2 0		4 2		19:00 19:15			2 1		1 3		3 4	
07:30		1		2		3		19:30			0		0		0	
07:45		1	6	1	5	2	11	19:45			0	3	3	7	3	10
08:00		4		6		10		20:00			0		1		1	
08:15		1		4		5		20:15			1		2		3	
08:30 08:45		2	7	4 4	18	6 4	25	20:30 20:45			0	1	0 1	4	0 1	5
09:00		2		2	10	4	23	21:00			0		1	-	1	
09:15		2		6		8		21:15			0		1		1	
09:30		8		2		10		21:30			1		0		1	
09:45		4	16	3	13	7	29	21:45			1	2	1	3	2	5
10:00 10:15		2 2		4 5		6 7		22:00 22:15			1 1		3 3		4 4	
10:30		5		5		10		22:30			0		0		0	
10:45		4	13	4	18	8	31	22:45			2	4	1	7	3	11
11:00		2		2		4		23:00			1		2		3	
11:15		6		1		7		23:15			3		0		3	
11:30 11:45		3	14	1 5	9	4 8	23	23:30 23:45			0	4	2 0	4	2	8
TOTALS		<u> </u>	67	<u> </u>	75	- 0	142	TOTALS			<u> </u>	125	<u> </u>	118		243
SPLIT %			47.2%		52.8%		36.9%	SPLIT %				51.4%		48.6%		63.1%
	DAILY TOTA	LS _		NB		SB		EB	WI							otal
				0		0		192	19	3					3	85
AM Peak Hour			10:30		11:45		11:45	PM Peak Hour				14:45		14:45		14:45
AM Pk Volume			17		20		33	PM Pk Volume				25		24		49
Pk Hr Factor			0.708		0.833		0.750	Pk Hr Factor				0.694		0.667		0.681
7 - 9 Volume			13		23		36	4 - 6 Volume				40		21		61
7 - 9 Peak Hour			07:15		08:00		08:00	4 - 6 Peak Hour				16:45		16:00		16:30
7 - 9 Pk Volume			8 0.500		18		25 0.625	4 - 6 Pk Volume Pk Hr Factor				24		15		35
Pk Hr Factor	0.000	0.000	0.500		0.750		0.025	rk III ractor	0.00		0.000	0.750		0.750		0.729

VOLUME

Patrick Rd & City Limit

Day: Friday **Date:** 10/27/2017

Pk Hr Factor

0.563

0.750

0.833

Pk Hr Factor

City: Napa
Project #: CA17_7861_002

0.938

0.600

0.722

	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	WB						To	otal
	DAILY TOTALS			0		0		194	195						3	89
AM Period	NB SB	ЕВ		WB		TO	TAL	PM Period	NB	SB	ЕВ		WB		TC	TAL
00:00		0		0		0		12:00			1		2		3	
00:15		0		0		0		12:15			1		7		8	
00:30		0		0		0		12:30			4	4.4	3	47	7	20
00:45		0		0		0		12:45 13:00			5	11	5	17	10	28
01:00 01:15		0		0		0		13:15			6 4		5 5		11 9	
01:30		1		0		1		13:30			5		1		6	
01:45		0	1	0		0	1	13:45			10	25	4	15	14	40
02:00		0		0		0	_	14:00			6		5	13	11	- 10
02:15		1		1		2		14:15			7		5		12	
02:30		0		0		0		14:30			7		1		8	
02:45		0	1	0	1	0	2	14:45			2	22	5	16	7	38
03:00		0		0		0		15:00			4		2		6	
03:15		0		0		0		15:15			1		4		5	
03:30		0		0		0		15:30			3		5		8	
03:45		0		0		0		15:45			2	10	2	13	4	23
04:00		1		1		2		16:00			3		1		4	
04:15		0		0		0		16:15			4		2		6	
04:30		2		2	.	4	•	16:30			3	4.4	2	10	5	2.4
04:45 05:00		1	4	0	4	2	8	16:45			4	14	5 2	10	9	24
05:00		1 0		0		0		17:00 17:15			1		2		3	
05:30		0		0		0		17:30			3		3		6	
05:45		0	1	0		0	1	17:45			3	11	1	8	4	19
06:00		1		0		1		18:00			2		5		7	
06:15		1		1		2		18:15			1		2		3	
06:30		0		2		2		18:30			2		1		3	
06:45		2	4	8	11	10	15	18:45			2	7	3	11	5	18
07:00		0		1		1		19:00			1		1		2	
07:15		2		3		5		19:15			2		1		3	
07:30		1		3		4		19:30			1		3		4	
07:45		4	7	1	8	5	15	19:45			1	5	1	6	2	11
08:00		1		2		3		20:00			2		2		4	
08:15		1		4		5		20:15			2		0		2	
08:30		3	0	3	12	6	20	20:30			0	_	2	4	2	0
08:45 09:00		3	8	3	12	6	20	20:45 21:00			0	5	0 1	4	1	9
09:15		4		2		6		21:15			0		0		0	
09:30		2		2		4		21:30			0		3		3	
09:45		4	13	7	14	11	27	21:45			1	1	1	5	2	6
10:00		3		4		7		22:00			0		4		4	
10:15		6		3		9		22:15			1		0		1	
10:30		2		4		6		22:30			0		2		2	
10:45		4	15	5	16	9	31	22:45			3	4	2	8	5	12
11:00		4		2		6		23:00			1		0		1	
11:15		7		2		9		23:15			0		1		1	
11:30		3		3	4.5	6		23:30			0	_	0		0	
11:45		8	22	8	15	16	37	23:45			2	3	0	11	2	4
TOTALS			76		81		157	TOTALS				118		114		232
SPLIT %			48.4%		51.6%		40.4%	SPLIT %				50.9%		49.1%		59.6%
	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	WB						To	otal
	DAILTTOTALS			0		0		194	195						3	89
AM Peak Hour			11:00		11:30		11:00	PM Peak Hour				13:45		12:15		13:45
AM Pk Volume			22		20		37	PM Pk Volume				30		20		45
Pk Hr Factor			0.688		0.625		0.578	Pk Hr Factor				0.750		0.714		0.804
7 - 9 Volume	0 0		15		20		35	4 - 6 Volume	0	0		25		18		43
7 - 9 Peak Hour			07:45		08:00		08:00	4 - 6 Peak Hour				16:15		16:45		16:15
7 - 9 Pk Volume			9		12		20	4 - 6 Pk Volume				15		12		26
Dk Hr Eactor			0.562		0.750		0000	Dk Hr Factor				0.020		0.600		0.722

VOLUME

Patrick Rd & City Limit

 Day: Saturday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/28/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_002

	DAILY T	OTALS —			NB		SB		EB		WB						To	otal
	— DAILY I	UTALS			0		0		226		229						45	55
AM Period	NB	SB	ЕВ		WB		TC	TAL	PM Period	NB		SB	EB		WB		TO	TAL
00:00			0		0		0		12:00				8		8		16	
00:15 00:30			0 1		1 0		1 1		12:15 12:30				4 6		3 4		7 10	
00:30			0	1	0	1	0	2	12:45				3	21	4	19	7	40
01:00			0		0		0		13:00				7		2		9	0
01:15			0		0		0		13:15				7		3		10	
01:30 01:45			0 0		1 0	1	1 0	1	13:30 13:45				3 5	22	3 7	15	6 12	37
02:00			0		0		0		14:00				4		3	15	7	- 57
02:15			Ö		Ö		0		14:15				6		10		16	
02:30			1	_	1	_	2	_	14:30				8		5		13	
02:45			0	2	0	2	0	4	14:45 15:00				<u>3</u>	21	6	22	7 12	43
03:00 03:15			1		1		2		15:15				2		5		7	
03:30			2		0		2		15:30				11		3		14	
03:45			0	3	0	1	0	4	15:45				6	25	10	24	16	49
04:00			0		0		0		16:00				6		4		10	
04:15 04:30			0 0		0 0		0		16:15 16:30				1		7 2		8 5	
04:45			0		0		0		16:45				5	15	3	16	8	31
05:00			0		0		0		17:00				6		2		8	
05:15			0		0		0		17:15				3		3		6	
05:30 05:45			0 0		0 0		0		17:30 17:45				3 1	13	7 1	13	10 2	26
06:00			1		1		2		18:00				5	13	3	13	8	20
06:15			0		0		0		18:15				4		8		12	
06:30			1	_	1	_	2		18:30				5		1		6	
06:45 07:00			<u>2</u> 1	4	<u>2</u> 4	4	<u>4</u> 5	8	18:45 19:00				1 1	15	<u>2</u> 5	14	<u>3</u>	29
07:15			1		2		3		19:15				1		5		6	
07:30			6		1		7		19:30				0		1		1	
07:45			1	9	3	10	4	19	19:45				1_	3	0	11	1	14
08:00 08:15			2		3 4		5 6		20:00 20:15				1		2 0		3 1	
08:30			3		3		6		20:30				0		0		0	
08:45			4	11	1	11	5	22	20:45				0	2	3	5	3	7
09:00			1		5		6		21:00				1		2		3	
09:15 09:30			3		6		9 4		21:15 21:30				2		2 3		4	
09:30			1	8	1 1	13	2	21	21:45				4	7	3 4	11	3 8	18
10:00			2		2	-13	4		22:00				3		2		5	10
10:15			6		3		9		22:15				4		0		4	
10:30			2	15	2	12	4	27	22:30				2	1.4	2		4	20
10:45 11:00			<u>5</u>	15	<u>5</u>	12	10 6	27	22:45 23:00				5 0	14	2 1	6	7	20
11:15			1		7		8		23:15				1		1		2	
11:30			4		2		6		23:30				1		0		1	
11:45			5	13	4	16	9	29	23:45				0	2	0	2	0	4
TOTALS				66		71		137	TOTALS					160		158		318
SPLIT %				48.2%		51.8%		30.1%	SPLIT %					50.3%		49.7%		69.9%
	DAILY T	OTALS			NB		SB		EB		WB							otal
	— DAILI'I	OTALS			0		0		226		229						4.	55
AM Peak Hour				11:45		11:15		11:45	PM Peak Hour					15:00		13:45		15:00
AM Pk Volume				23		21		42	PM Pk Volume					25		25		49
Pk Hr Factor				0.719		0.656		0.656	Pk Hr Factor					0.568		0.625		0.766
7 - 9 Volume				20		21		41	4 - 6 Volume					28		29		57
7 - 9 Peak Hour				07:30		07:45		07:30	4 - 6 Peak Hour					16:30		16:00		16:45
7 - 9 Pk Volume Pk Hr Factor				11 0.458		13 0.813		22 0.786	4 - 6 Pk Volume Pk Hr Factor					17 0.708		16 0.571		32 0.800
FR III FACIO	0.000	0.000		0.436		0.013		0.700	TKIII Factor		0.000		J. 300	0.708		0.371		0.600

VOLUME

Patrick Rd & City Limit

 Day: Sunday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/29/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_002

	DAILY TO	TALS		_	NB		SB		EB		WB						То	tal
	DAILT TO	IALS			0		0		174		173						34	47
AM Period	NB S	В	ЕВ		WB		TO	TAL	PM Period	NB		SB	EB		WB		TO [*]	TAL
00:00	115		0		0		0		12:00	.,,			2		2		4	-
00:15			0		1		1		12:15				3		4		7	
00:30			2	_	1	_	3	_	12:30				4		3		7	
00:45 01:00			0	2	1	3	<u>1</u> 1	5	12:45 13:00				1 2	10	<u>3</u> 4	12	6	22
01:00			1		0		1		13:15				4		6		10	
01:30			0		0		0		13:30				4		7		11	
01:45			0	1	1	2	1	3	13:45				4	14	5	22	9	36
02:00			0		0		0		14:00				10		3		13	
02:15			1		0		1		14:15				2		5		7	
02:30 02:45			0	1	0 0		0	1	14:30 14:45				5 8	25	7 9	24	12 17	49
03:00			0		1		1		15:00				7		4		11	-15
03:15			0		0		0		15:15				6		3		9	
03:30			0		0	_	0		15:30				4		4		8	
03:45			0		<u>0</u> 1	11	<u>0</u> 1	1	15:45 16:00				3	18	2	13	3	31
04:00 04:15			1		1		2		16:15				3		3 6	l	6 9	
04:30			0		0		0		16:30				8		2		10	
04:45			0	1	0	2	0	3	16:45				3	17	2	13	5	30
05:00			0		0		0		17:00				5		1	1	6	
05:15 05:30			1 0		0 0		1 0		17:15 17:30				4 2		5 3	l	9	
05:30			0	1	0		0	1	17:30 17:45				2	13	3 1	10	5 3	23
06:00			0		0		0		18:00				2	10	3		5	
06:15			2		0		2		18:15				1		3		4	
06:30			0	_	1	_	1	_	18:30				0	_	1		1	
06:45 07:00			0	3	<u>0</u> 1	11	<u>1</u>	4	18:45 19:00				1 2	4	<u>3</u>	10	6	14
07:00			0		0		0		19:15				1		0		1	
07:30			2		1		3		19:30				1		2		3	
07:45			0	2	2	4	2	6	19:45				0	4	5	11	5	15
08:00			2		0		2		20:00				7		2		9	
08:15 08:30			0 2		1 1		1 3		20:15 20:30				2 0		2 0		4 0	
08:45			3	7	3	5	6	12	20:45				1	10	2	6	3	16
09:00			2		0		2		21:00				2		1		3	
09:15			2		1		3		21:15				2		1		3	
09:30			1	-	4		5	45	21:30				0		1		1	
09:45 10:00			2	7	<u>3</u>	8	<u>5</u> 4	15	21:45 22:00				0	4	<u>1</u> 2	4	2	8
10:15			2		1		3		22:15				2		1		3	
10:30			3		3		6		22:30				2		2		4	
10:45			4	11	0	6	4	17	22:45				0	4	0	5	0	9
11:00			1		1		2		23:00				0		0		0	
11:15 11:30			2 6		4 5		6 11		23:15 23:30				1 0		0 1		1 1	
11:45			5	14	0	10	5	24	23:45				0	1	Ō	1	0	2
TOTALS				50		42		92	TOTALS					124		131		255
SPLIT %				54.3%		45.7%		26.5%	SPLIT %					48.6%		51.4%		73.5%
					NB		SB		EB		WB						Te	tal
	DAILY TO	TALS		_	0		0		174		173						34	
AM Peak Hour				11:30		11:15		11:30	PM Peak Hour					14:30		14:15		14:00
AM Pk Volume				16		11.13		27	PM Pk Volume					26		25		49
Pk Hr Factor				0.667		0.550		0.614	Pk Hr Factor					0.813		0.694		0.721
7 - 9 Volume	0	0		9		9		18	4 - 6 Volume		0		0	30		23		53
7 - 9 Peak Hour				08:00		08:00		08:00	4 - 6 Peak Hour					16:30		16:00		16:00
7 - 9 Pk Volume				7		5		12	4 - 6 Pk Volume					20		13		30
Pk Hr Factor	0.000	0.000		0.583		0.417		0.500	Pk Hr Factor		0.000	0.	000	0.625		0.542		0.750

VOLUME

1721 Patrick Rd

 Day: Thursday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/26/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_001

	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	WB						To	otal
	DAILT TOTALS			0		0		126	127						2	.53
AM Period	NB SB	ЕВ		WB		TO	TAL	PM Period	NB	SB	EB		WB		ТО	TAL
00:00		0		1		1		12:00			1		7		8	
00:15		0		1		1		12:15			1		4		5	
00:30		1 0	1	0	٠ ا	1	2	12:30 12:45			4	7	2	1.4	6	21
00:45 01:00		0	1	0	2	0	3	13:00			3	7	<u>1</u> 3	14	<u>2</u> 6	21
01:15		1		0		1		13:15			3		2		5	
01:30		0		0		0		13:30			2		4		6	
01:45		0	1	0		0	1	13:45			2	10	1	10	3	20
02:00		0		1		1		14:00			1		2		3	
02:15 02:30		0 0		0 0		0		14:15 14:30			2		1 2		3 5	
02:45		0		0	1	0	1	14:45			2	8	3	8	5	16
03:00		0		0		0		15:00			6		5		11	
03:15		0		0		0		15:15			3		5		8	
03:30		0	4	0		0		15:30			5	47	4	1.0	9	22
03:45 04:00		<u>1</u> 1	1	0	-	<u>1</u> 1	1	15:45 16:00			<u>3</u>	17	2	16	5 4	33
04:15		0		0		0		16:15			1		1		2	
04:30		Ö		0		0		16:30			5		3		8	
04:45		0	1	0		0	1	16:45			2	10	5	11	7	21
05:00		0		0		0		17:00			4		1		5	
05:15 05:30		0 1		0 1		0 2		17:15 17:30			8 5		1 3		9 8	
05:45		0	1	0	1	0	2	17:45			1	18	0	5	1	23
06:00		1		0		1	_	18:00			2		0		2	
06:15		0		0		0		18:15			2		1		3	
06:30		0		2		2	_	18:30			0		1	_	1	
06:45		1	22	3	5	4	7	18:45 19:00			1	5	0	2	1	7
07:00 07:15		2 0		0 0		2 0		19:15			1 1		0 1		1 2	
07:30		0		2		2		19:30			0		0		0	
07:45		2	4	1	3	3	7	19:45			Ō	2	1	2	1	4
08:00		0		3		3		20:00			0		2		2	
08:15		0		4		4		20:15			1		1		2	
08:30 08:45		1 0	1	4 0	11	5 0	12	20:30 20:45			0 0	1	0 0	3	0	4
09:00		2		1	11	3	12	21:00			0		1		1	
09:15		1		3		4		21:15			0		1		1	
09:30		3		1		4		21:30			1		0		1	
09:45		0	6	2	7	2	13	21:45			1	2	0	2	1	4
10:00		2		2		4 5		22:00 22:15			1		3		4	
10:15 10:30		1 4		4 4		5 8		22:30			1 0		3 0		4 0	
10:45		3	10		13	6	23	22:45			2	4	1	7	3	11
11:00		2		0		2		23:00			1		1		2	
11:15		5		0		5		23:15			2		0		2	
11:30		2	11	0 1	,	2	12	23:30			0	3	2 0	,	2 0	6
11:45 TOTALS			11 39		1 44	3	12 83	23:45 TOTALS			0	87	U	3 83	U	170
SPLIT %			47.0%	5	3.0%		32.8%	SPLIT %				51.2%		48.8%		67.2%
	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	WB							otal
				0		0		126	127						2	53
AM Peak Hour			10:30	1	11:45		10:00	PM Peak Hour				16:30		14:45		14:45
AM Pk Volume			14		14		23	PM Pk Volume				19		17		33
Pk Hr Factor			0.700		0.500		0.719	Pk Hr Factor				0.594		0.850		0.750
7 - 9 Volume			5		14		19	4 - 6 Volume				28		16		44
7 - 9 Peak Hour			07:00	(07:45		07:45	4 - 6 Peak Hour				16:30		16:00		16:30
7 - 9 Pk Volume			4		12		15	4 - 6 Pk Volume				19		11		29
Pk Hr Factor	0.000 0.000		0.500	(0.750		0.750	Pk Hr Factor	0.000		0.000	0.594		0.550		0.806

VOLUME

1721 Patrick Rd

 Day: Friday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/27/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_001

	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	V	/B						To	otal
	DAILT TOTALS			0		0		125	1	22						2	47
AM Period	NB SB	EB		WB		то	TAL	PM Period	NB	SB		EB		WB		ТО	TAL
00:00	115 05	0		0		0		12:00		95		1		1		2	
00:15		0		0		0		12:15				0		1		1	
00:30		0		0		0		12:30				3		2		5	
00:45		0		0		0		12:45				2	6	5	9	7	15
01:00		0		0		0		13:00				4		3		7	
01:15 01:30		0 0		0 0		0		13:15 13:30				2		2 2		4 4	
01:30		0		0		0		13:45				9	17	2	9	11	26
02:00		0		0		0		14:00				6		6		12	
02:15		Ö		Ō		0		14:15				5		4		9	
02:30		0		0		0		14:30				6		0		6	
02:45		0		0		0		14:45				2	19	1	11	3	30
03:00		0		0		0		15:00				2		1		3	
03:15		0		0		0		15:15				0		2		2	
03:30 03:45		0 0		0 0		0		15:30 15:45				1 2	_	4 0	7	5 2	12
03:45		0		0		0		16:00				0	5	2		2	12
04:15		1		1		2		16:15				4		3		7	
04:30		1		1		2		16:30				0		1		1	
04:45		1	3	1	3	2	6	16:45				Ō	4	2	8	2	12
05:00		1		1		2		17:00				4		0		4	
05:15		0		0		0		17:15				2		2		4	
05:30		0		0		0		17:30				2		1		3	
05:45		1	2	0	1	1	3	17:45				2	10	1	4	3	14
06:00		0		0		0		18:00				0		3		3	
06:15		1		1 0		2		18:15 18:30				1 3		3		4 4	
06:30 06:45		1 0	2	6	7	1 6	9	18:45				3 1	5	1 0	7	4	12
07:00		0		0		0	9	19:00				2		0		2	12
07:15		1		1		2		19:15				0		1		1	
07:30		1		1		2		19:30				1		0		1	
07:45		2	4	0	2	2	6	19:45				1	4	3	4	4	8
08:00		0		0		0		20:00				2		2		4	
08:15		0		2		2		20:15				2		0		2	
08:30		1	_	4	_	5		20:30				1	_	0	_	1	
08:45		2	3	1	7	3	10	20:45				0	5	0	2	0	7
09:00 09:15		3 2		2		5 5		21:00 21:15				0 0		1 0		1 0	
09:30		1		1		2		21:30				0		1		1	
09:45		1	7	3	9	4	16	21:45				1	1	3	5	4	6
10:00		4		2		6	10	22:00				0		3		3	
10:15		3		4		7		22:15				1		1		2	
10:30		2		2		4		22:30				1		1		2	
10:45		2	11	3	11	5	22	22:45				2	4	2	7	4	11
11:00		3		1	Ţ	4		23:00				1		0		1	
11:15		4		3		7		23:15				0		0		0	
11:30		1 2	10	1 3	ا ،	2 5	10	23:30				0 2	2	1 0	4	1 2	4
11:45			10	3	8	5	18	23:45					3		1		4
TOTALS			42		48		90	TOTALS					83		74		157
SPLIT %			46.7%		53.3%		36.4%	SPLIT %					52.9%		47.1%		63.6%
	DAILY TOTALS			NB		SB		EB	V	/B						To	otal
	DAILY TOTALS			0		0		125	1	22						2	47
AM Peak Hour			10:00		09:45		10:00	PM Peak Hour					13:45		13:30		13:45
AM Pk Volume			11		11		22	PM Pk Volume					26		14		38
Pk Hr Factor			0.688		0.688		0.786	Pk Hr Factor					0.722		0.583		0.792
7 - 9 Volume	0 0		7		9		16	4 - 6 Volume)	0		14		12		26
7 - 9 Peak Hour			07:00		08:00		08:00	4 - 6 Peak Hour					17:00		16:00		16:15
7 - 9 Pk Volume			4		7		10	4 - 6 Pk Volume					10		8		14
Pk Hr Factor			0.500		0.438		0.500	Pk Hr Factor					0.625		0.667		0.500

VOLUME

1721 Patrick Rd

Day: Saturday **Date:** 10/28/2017

City: Napa
Project #: CA17_7861_001

	DAILY TOTALS		NB 0	SB O	EB 161	WB 163				Total 324
AM Period	NB SB	ЕВ	WB	TOTAL	PM Period	NB	SB EB	V	/B	TOTAL
00:00		0	0	0	12:00		7		1	11
00:15		0	0	0	12:15		2	3		5
00:30 00:45		1 0 1	1 0 1	2 0 2	12:30 12:45		6 1	16		8 4 28
01:00		0 1	0	0	13:00		8		2	10
01:15		0	0	0	13:15		2	3		5
01:30		0	0	0	13:30		3 2	15		4
01:45 02:00		0	0	0	13:45 14:00		4	15 2		4 23 9
02:15		Ö	Ö	Ö	14:15		5		5	11
02:30		1	1	2	14:30		3		5	9
02:45		1 2	0 1	1 3	14:45		3	15 2		4 33
03:00 03:15		0 2	1 1	1 3	15:00 15:15		3 5	-		8 12
03:30		1	0	1	15:30		6		2	8
03:45		0 3	0 2	0 5	15:45		3	17 (9 37
04:00		0	0	0	16:00		2		3	5
04:15 04:30		0 0	0 0	0	16:15 16:30		1 2			2 6
04:45		0	0	0	16:45		4	9 2		6 19
05:00		0	0	0	17:00		4			5
05:15		0	0	0	17:15		2		2	4
05:30		0	0	0	17:30		3 2	11		7
05:45 06:00		1		2	17:45 18:00		1	11 1		3 19
06:15		0	0	0	18:15		5			13
06:30		1	1	2	18:30		3			4
06:45		0 2	0 2	0 4	18:45		1	10 :		2 21
07:00 07:15		1	1 5	2	19:00 19:15		1		2	3
07:15		1 1	1	6 2	19:30		1 0	(3	4 0
07:45		1 4	2 9	3 13	19:45		1	3 :		2 9
08:00		2	0	2	20:00		0		2	2
08:15		1	1	2	20:15		1	(1
08:30 08:45		0 5 8	2 2 5	2 7 13	20:30 20:45		0	1 1		0 1 4
09:00		2	3	5	21:00		1		2	3
09:15		0	3	3	21:15		1	:		2
09:30		2	2	4	21:30		0	2		2
09:45		1 5	0 8	1 13	21:45		2	4 3		5 12
10:00 10:15		2 2	2 1	4 3	22:00 22:15		3 4	1		5 5
10:30		3	1	4	22:30		3			5
10:45		2 9	6 10	8 19	22:45		4	14 2	2 7	6 21
11:00		3	2	5	23:00		0	(0
11:15 11:30		1 2	5 3	6 5	23:15 23:30		1 1	: (2 1
11:30		4 10	3 13	5 7 23	23:30 23:45		0	2 (0 3
TOTALS		44	51	95	TOTALS			117	112	229
SPLIT %		46.3%	53.7%	29.3%				51.1%	48.9%	70.7%
			NB	SB	EB	WB				Total
	DAILY TOTALS		0	0	161	163				324
AM Peak Hour		11:45	10:45	11:45	PM Peak Hour			12:15	15:00	15:00
AM Pk Volume		19	16	31	PM Pk Volume			17	20	37
Pk Hr Factor		0.679	0.667	0.705	Pk Hr Factor			0.531	0.714	0.771
7 - 9 Volume	0 0	12	14	26	4 - 6 Volume	0	0	20	18	38
7 - 9 Peak Hour		08:00	07:00		4 - 6 Peak Hour			16:45	16:00	16:45
7 - 9 Pk Volume		8	9	13	4 - 6 Pk Volume			13	10	22
Pk Hr Factor	0.000 0.000	0.400	0.450	0.542	Pk Hr Factor	0.000	0.000	0.813	0.625	0.786

VOLUME

1721 Patrick Rd

 Day: Sunday
 City: Napa

 Date: 10/29/2017
 Project #: CA17_7861_001

	DAILY TOTA	VI S		NB		SB		EB	WB						To	otal
	DAILTIOTA	ALJ		0		0		120	112						2	32
AM Period	NB SB		ЕВ	WB		TOTAL	L	PM Period	NB	SB	EB		WB		то	TAL
00:00			0	0		0		12:00			2		1		3	
00:15			1	1		2		12:15 12:30			3 2		4		7	
00:30 00:45			1 0 2	0 1	2	1 1	1	12:30			2	9	1 2	8	3 4	17
01:00			0	1		1		13:00			1		4	Ť	5	1,
01:15			1	0		1		13:15			2		3		5	
01:30 01:45			0 0 1	0 0	1	0 2	2	13:30 13:45			4 4	11	2 8	17	6 12	28
02:00			0 1	0		0 2	2	14:00			6	11	1	1/	7	
02:15			1	Ō		1		14:15			2		4		6	
02:30			0	0		0		14:30			4	4.6	6		10	24
02:45 03:00			0 1 0	0 1		0 1	1	14:45 15:00			<u>4</u> 5	16	4	15	<u>8</u> 9	31
03:00			0	0		0		15:15			4		4		8	
03:30			0	Ö		0		15:30			3		2		5	
03:45			0	0	1		1	15:45			1	13	1	11	2	24
04:00			0	0		0		16:00			1		2		3	
04:15 04:30			1 0	2 0		3 0		16:15 16:30			1 6		2 2		3 8	
04:45			0 1	Ö	2		3	16:45			3	11	1	7	4	18
05:00			0	0		0		17:00			5		1		6	
05:15 05:30			1 0	0 0		1 0		17:15			3 1		1 2		4	
05:30			0 1	0			ı	17:30 17:45			1	10	2	6	3	16
06:00			0	0		0		18:00			1	10	1	Ů	2	
06:15			1	0		1		18:15			0		0		0	
06:30			1	0		1		18:30			0	2	1	_	1	_
06:45 07:00			0 2 0	0		0 2	2	18:45 19:00			<u>1</u> 1	2	<u>3</u>	5	2	7
07:15			0	0		0		19:15			2		0		2	
07:30			0	0		0		19:30			0		0		0	
07:45			0	1	1	1 1	1	19:45			0	3	5	6	5	9
08:00 08:15			2 0	1 0		3 0		20:00 20:15			5 2		2 1		7 3	
08:30			0	0		0		20:30			0		1		1	
08:45			1 3	4	5		3	20:45			1	8	0	4	1	12
09:00			2	0		2		21:00			2		3		5	
09:15 09:30			1 1	0 2		1 3		21:15 21:30			2 0		0 1		2 1	
09:45			2 6	3	5	5 1	1	21:45			0	4	0	4	0	8
10:00			1	0		1		22:00			0		2		2	
10:15			0	1		1		22:15			2		0		2	
10:30 10:45			1 3 5	2 0	3	3 8	3	22:30 22:45			1 0	3	1 0	3	2 0	6
11:00			<u> </u>	0	J	0		23:00			0	<u> </u>	0	J	0	
11:15			2	3		5		23:15			1		0		1	
11:30			3	3		6	2	23:30			0	_	0		0	
11:45			2 7	0	6	2 1		23:45			0	1	0		0	1
TOTALS			29		26	5	5	TOTALS				91		86		177
SPLIT %			52.7%	4	47.3%	23.	.7%	SPLIT %				51.4%		48.6%		76.3%
	DAIIW-0			NB		SB		EB	WB						Τo	otal
	DAILY TOTA	ALS		0		0		120	112							32
AM Peak Hour			11:30		11:30	11	:30	PM Peak Hour				14:30		13:45		13:45
AM Pk Volume			10		8			PM Pk Volume				14:30		19		35
Pk Hr Factor			0.833		0.500		643	Pk Hr Factor				0.850		0.594		0.729
7 - 9 Volume	0	0	3		6		_	4 - 6 Volume	0	0		21		13		34
7 - 9 Peak Hour			08:00		08:00			4 - 6 Peak Hour				16:30		16:00		16:30
7 - 9 Pk Volume			3		5			1 - 6 Pk Volume				17		7		22
Pk Hr Factor	0.000	0.000	0.375		0.313	0.4	100	Pk Hr Factor	0.000	0.0	00	0.708		0.875		0.688

SEGMENT COLLISION RATE CALCULATIONS

Traffic Impact Study for the Fontanella Winery Project

Location: Patrick Road fronting the Fontanella Winery

Date of Count: Saturday, October 28, 2017

ADT: 320

Number of Collisions: 2 Number of Injuries: 0 Number of Fatalities: 0

Start Date: April 1, 2014

End Date: March 31, 2019

Number of Years: 5

Highway Type: Conventional 2 lanes or less

Area: Rural
Design Speed: ≤55

Terrain: Rolling/Mountain

Segment Length: 2.0 miles Direction: East/West

Number of Collisions x 1 Million

ADT x 365 Days per Year x Segment Length x Number of Years

2 x 1,000,000 320 x 365 x 2 x 5

	Collisi	on Rate	Fatality Rate	Injury Rate
Study Segment	1.71	c/mvm	0.0%	0.0%
Statewide Average*	2.22	c/mvm	2.2%	44.8%

ADT = average daily traffic volume c/mvm = collisions per million vehicle miles * 2013 Collision Data on California State Highways, Caltrans

Winery Traffic Information / Trip Genera	ition	Sheet	
Project Name: Fontanella Family Winery Project Scenario:		Permitted	
Traffic during a Typical Weekday			
Number of FT employees: 3 x 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	9	daily trips
Number of PT employees: 2 x 1.90 one-way trips per employee	=	4	daily trips
Average number of weekday visitors:/ 2.6 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	i=8	3	daily trips
Gallons of production: 30000 / 1,000 x .009 truck trips daily 3 x 2 one-way trips	-	1	_daily trips
Total	=1	17	daily trips
Number of total weekday trips x .38	=	6	PM peak trips
Traffic during a Typical Saturday			
Number of FT employees (on Saturdays): 3 × 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	9	daily trips
Number of PT employees (on Saturdays): 2 × 1.90 one-way trips per employee	=	4	daily trips
Average number of weekend visitors:4/ 2.8 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	=	3	daily trips
Total	=	16	daily trips
Number of total Saturday trips x .57	=	9	PM peak trips
Traffic during a Crush Saturday			
Number of FT employees (during crush):3 x 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	9	daily trips
Number of PT employees (during crush):x 1.90 one-way trips per employee	NE.	4	daily trips
Average number of weekend visitors:	=	1	_daily trips
Gallons of production: 30000 / 1,000 x .009 truck trips daily x 2 one-way trips	=	1	daily trips
Avg. annual tons of grape on-haul: x .11 truck trips daily 4 x 2 one-way trips	=	3	daily trips
Total	=	18	daily trips
Number of total Saturday trips x .57	= 1	10	PM peak trips
Largest Marketing Event- Additional Traffic			
Number of event staff (largest event): x 2 one-way trips per staff person	=	0	trips
Number of visitors (largest event): / 2.8 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	=	0	trips
Number of special event truck trips (largest event): x 2 one-way trips	-	0	trips

³ Assumes 1.47 materials & supplies trips + 0.8 case goods trips per 1,000 gallons of production / 250 days per year (see *Traffic Information* Sheet Addendum for reference).

Assumes 4 tons per trip / 36 crush days per year (see *Traffic Information Sheet Addendum* for reference).

Winery Traffic Information / Trip General	ation	Sheet	
Project Name: Fontanella Family Winery Project Scenario:		Proposed	
Traffic during a Typical Weekday			
Number of FT employees: 6 x 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	18	daily trip:
Number of PT employees: 0 x 1.90 one-way trips per employee	=	0	daily trips
Average number of weekday visitors:/ 2.6 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	=	17	daily trips
Gallons of production: 30000 / 1,000 x .009 truck trips daily 3 x 2 one-way trips	=	1	daily trips
Total	#3	36	daily trips
Number of total weekday trips x .38	=	14	PM peak trips
Traffic during a Typical Saturday			
Number of FT employees (on Saturdays): 6 × 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	18	daily trips
Number of PT employees (on Saturdays): × 1.90 one-way trips per employee	=	0	daily trips
Average number of weekend visitors:	-	16	daily trips
Total	=	34	daily trips
Number of total Saturday trips x .57	=	19	PM peak trips
Traffic during a Crush Saturday			
Number of FT employees (during crush):6x 3.05 one-way trips per employee	=	18	daily trips
Number of PT employees (during crush): x 1.90 one-way trips per employee	i n	<u> </u>	daily trips
Average number of weekend visitors: 22 / 2.8 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	=	16	daily trips
Gallons of production: 30000 / 1,000 x .009 truck trips daily x 2 one-way trips	=	1	daily trips
Avg. annual tons of grape on-haul:x .11 truck trips daily ⁴ x 2 one-way trips	=	3	daily trips
Total	=	37	daily trips
Number of total Saturday trips x .57	=	21	PM peak trips
Largest Marketing Event- Additional Traffic			
Number of event staff (largest event): x 2 one-way trips per staff person	=	10	trips
Number of visitors (largest event): / 2.8 visitors per vehicle x 2 one-way trips	=	107	trips
Number of special event truck trips (largest event): 3 x 2 one-way trips	=	66	trips

³ Assumes 1.47 materials & supplies trips + 0.8 case goods trips per 1,000 gallons of production / 250 days per year (see *Traffic Information* Sheet Addendum for reference).

Assumes 4 tons per trip / 36 crush days per year (see *Traffic Information Sheet Addendum* for reference).

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6,00-02

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road
Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes Volume to Capacity (v/o):
Volume to Capacity (v/o): 16.2 C 0.006

Intersection Setup

Name	Brown '	Brown Valley Rd		Partrick Rd		ick Rd	
Approach	Norti	nbound	East	Eastbound		tbound	
Lane Configuration	-	т		F		пi	
Turning Movement	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru	
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	
No. of Lanes in Pocket	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Pocket Length [ft]	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	170,00	100,00	
Speed [mph]	25	25.00		35,00		5.00	
Grade [%]	0	0.00		0.00		.00	
Crosswa l k		No		Yes		Vo.	

Name	Brown V	alley Rd	Partri	ck Rd	Partri	ck Rd
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	2	156	131	5	166	136
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1,0000	1.0000	1,0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00
Growth Rate	1.00	1.00	1,00	1.00	1.00	1.00
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	2	156	131	5	166	136
Peak Hour Factor	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700
Other Adjustment Factor	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	1	45	38	1	48	39
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	2	179	151	6	191	156
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h])))

Generated with PTV VISTRO
Version 6,00-02

intersection settings			
Priority Scheme	Stop	Free	Free
Flared Lane	No		
Storage Area [veh]	0	0	0
Two-Stage Gap Acceptance	No		
Number of Storage Spaces in Median	0	0	0

Movement, Approach, & Intersection Results

morement, represent a intersection reco							
V/C, Movement V/C Ratio	0.01	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.00	
d_M, Delay for Movement [s/veh]	16.21	10.10	0.00	0.00	7.92	0.00	
Movement LOS	С	В	A	A	A	A	
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/lin]	0.77	0.77	0.00	0.00	0.46	0.00	
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	19,33	19,33	0,00	0.00	11,59	0,00	
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	10	10.17		0.00		4,36	
Approach LOS	В		A		A		
d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	4.90						
Intersection LOS		C					

Fontanella Winery TIS
PM Existing W-Trans Fontanella Winery TIS
PM Existing

W-Trans 3/20/2019

W-Trans

W-Trans 3/20/2019

Generated with VISTRO Version 7,00-05

Control Type: Analysis Method: Analysis Period:

Intersection Level Of Service Report
Intersection 1: Partrick Road/Browns Valley Road
Two-way stop
HCM 6th Edition
15 minutes Volume to Capacity (v/o):
Volume to Capacity (v/o):

Intersection Setup

Name	Brown 1	Brown Valley Rd		Partrick Rd		alley Road
Approach	North	bound	East	Eastbound		bound
Lane Configuration	т		F		Πİ	
Turning Movement	Left	Right	Thru	Right	Left	Thru
Lane Width [ft]	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00
No. of Lanes in Pocket	0	0	0	0	1	0
Pocket Length [ft]	100,00	100,00	100,00	100,00	170,00	100,00
Speed [mph]	25	25.00		35.00		.00
Grade [%]	0	0.00		0.00		.00
Crosswalk	1	No	Yes		No	

Name	Brown V	alley Rd	Partri	ck Rd	Browns V	alley Road
Base Volume Input [veh/h]	2	156	131	5	166	136
Base Volume Adjustment Factor	1.0000	1.0000	1,0000	1.0000	1,0000	1.0000
Heavy Vehicles Percentage [%]	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00	2,00
Growth Factor	2,0000	2,0000	2,0000	2,0000	2,0000	2,0000
In-Process Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Site-Generated Trips [veh/h]	0	0	6	0	0	59
Diverted Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pass-by Trips [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Existing Site Adjustment Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Volume [veh/h]	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Hourly Volume [veh/h]	4	312	268	10	332	331
Peak Hour Factor	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700	0,8700
Other Adjustment Factor	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000	1,0000
Total 15-Minute Volume [veh/h]	1	90	77	3	95	95
Total Analysis Volume [veh/h]	5	359	308	11	382	380
Pedestrian Volume [ped/h])))

Generated with PTV VISTRO Version 7,00-05

47.7 E 0.051

W-Trans 7/16/2019

Intersection Settings

Priority Scheme	Stop	Free	Free
Flared Lane	No		
Storage Area [veh]	0	0	0
Two-Stage Gap Acceptance	No		
Number of Storage Spaces in Median	0	0	0

re-remend rippiredent a Interecedent rece						
V/C, Movement V/C Ratio	0.05	0.49	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.00
d_M, Dellay for Movement [s/veh]	47.70	16.20	0.00	0.00	9.19	0.00
Movement LOS	E	С	A	Α	Α	A
95th-Percentile Queue Length [veh/lin]	3,30	3,30	0.00	0.00	1.32	0.00
95th-Percentile Queue Length [ft/ln]	82,52	82,52	0,00	0.00	32,95	0.00
d_A, Approach Delay [s/veh]	16	16.64		0,00		90
Approach LOS	С		A		A	
d_l, Intersection Delay [s/veh]	6.62					
Intersection LOS		E				

Fontanella Winery TIS
PM Future + Project + 150-Person Event





EB Weekday PM Existing.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

none: Fax: -Mail:				
Directional Two-Lane Highway	Segment Ana	llysis		
Analyst Agency/Co. Date Performed Analysis Time Period Highway From/To Durisdiction Analysis Year Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery Analysis Year Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery Agency/County of Napa Input Data	Limits			
·				
Shōulder width 0.0 ft % Trucks Lane width 11.0 ft % Trucks Segment length 1.6 mi Truck cra Terrain type Rolling % Recreat Grade: Lenoth - mi % No-pass	crawling vl speed ional vehicl	6 0.0 0.0 es 4 100	% mi/hr % % /mi	
Analysis direction volume, Vd 25 veh/h opposing direction volume, Vo 20 veh/h				
Average Travel Sp	ed			
Direction Analysi PCE for trucks, ET 2.7 PCE for RVS, ER 1.1 Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHV 0.9 Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg 0.6 Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi 61)4	Opposing (2.7 1.1 0.904 0.67 49	pc/h	
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: Field measured speed,(note-3) S FM Observed total demand,(note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed,(note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width,(note-3) fLS Adj. for access point density,(note-3) fA	- ve 45.0 mi 4.7 mi	/h :h/h /h /h /h		
Free-flow speed, FFSd	36.5 mi	/h		
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS		/h /h		
Percent Time-Spent-Following Page 1				

EB Weekday PM Existing.txt

EB Weekday PM Existin	g.txt		
Direction PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV O-949 Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg O-73 Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFd Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd Level of Service and other Perform	c/h 6.4 % 53.1 36.0 %	posing (1.9 1.0 0.949 0.73 42	o) pc/h
Level of Service and Other Perform	ance measu	1 es	
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	40 v 0.5 v 1030 v 1177 v 1177 v	eh-mi eh-mi eh-h eh/h eh/h eh/h	
Passing Lane Analysis			
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)		1.6 - - 33.3 36.0 A	mi mi mi mi/h
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane		
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect length of passing lane for average travel spectength of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, AFSP Percent free flow speed including passing lane, AFSP	d, Lde speed, Ld	- - - 0.0	mi mi %
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing La	ne	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effec of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective the bassing lane for percent time-spent-follow Adj. Herberger of the spent-following on percent time-spent-following on percent time-spent-following, fpl Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl	ng, Lde Tength of	-	mi mi %
	roc with D	accina L	200
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu	ies with b	assing L	ane
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15	A . v	eh-h	
Bicycle Level of Servic	e		
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Pavement rating, P	g 0 3	1	

WB Weekday PM Existing.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: Fax E-Mail:					
Directional Two-Lane Highw	ay Segment Analysis				
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis. Analyst Kevin Rangel Agency/co. W-Trans Date Performed 5/24/2019 Analysis Time Period Highway Partrick Road - WB From/TO Project Driveway/City Limits County of Napa Analysis Year 2019 Description TIS for the Fontanella winery					
Input Dat	a				
Highway class Class 2 Shoulder width 0.0 ft % Trucks and buses 6 % Lane widtength 11.0 ft % Trucks crawling 0.0 % Segment length 1.6 mi Truck crawl speed 0.0 mi/hr Terrain type 6 Grade: Length - mi % No-passing zones 100 Up/down - % Access point density 15 /mi					
Analysis direction volume, Vd 20 veh/ Opposing direction volume, Vo 25 veh/					
Average Travel	Speed				
PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVs, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHV Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg	sis(d) Opposing (o) .7 2.7 .1 1.1 .904 0.904 .67 0.67 .9 pc/h 61 pc/h				
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM Observed total demand, (note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base Free-Flow speed, (note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) fa	- mi/h - veh/h 45.0 mi/h LS 4.7 mi/h 3.8 mi/h				
Free-flow speed, FFSd 36.5 mi/h					
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	2.4 mi/h 33.3 mi/h 91.1 %				
Percent Time-Spent-Following Page 1					

WR Weekday PM Existing txt

WB Weekday PM Existin	g.txt		
Direction PCE For trucks, ET PCE for RVS, ER Grade adjustment factor, fHV O-949 Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg O-73 Directional flow rate, (note-2) v1 Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFG Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Percent time-spent-following, PTSFG	c/h	1.9 1.0 0.949 0.73 53	(o) pc/h
Level of Service and Other Perform	ance Meası	ıres	
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	32 0.4 1030 1177	veh-mi veh-mi veh-h veh/h veh/h veh/h	
Passing Lane Analysis			
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)	lane, Lu	1.6 - - 33.3 28.7 A	mi mi mi mi/h
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane		
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect length of passing lane for average travel spect Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl	d, Lde speed, Ld	- - - 0.0	mi mi
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PF			76
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing La	ine	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective the passing lane for percent time-spent-follow Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl	ng, Lde Tength of	-	mi mi
Percent time-spent-following including passing lane. PTSFpl		_	%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu	res with D	Passing	
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl	A	/eh-h	
Bicycle Level of Service	e		
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Pavement rating, P Page 2	g 30 3)	

EB Weekend PM Existing.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: Fax: E-Mail:			
Directional Two-Lane Highway	y Segment Analysis		
Analyst Kevin Rangel Agency/Co. Date Performed Analysis Time Period Highway Fighway Jurisdiction Analysis Year Ounty of Napa Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery	y Limits		
Input Data			
Shōulder width 0.0 ft % Trucks Lane width 11.0 ft % Trucks Segment length 1.6 mi Truck cra Terrain type Rolling % Recreai Grade: Length - mi % No-pass	r factor, PHF 0.68 and buses 6 % crawling 0.0 % will speed 0.0 mi/hr tional vehicles 4 % sing zones 100 % junt density 15 /mi		
Analysis direction volume, Vd 25 veh/h Opposing direction volume, Vo 24 veh/h			
Average Travel Sp	peed		
Direction Analys PCE for trucks, ET 2. PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHV 0.9 Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg 0.9 Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi 61	7 2.7 1 1.1 904 0.904 67 0.67		
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM Observed total demand, (note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base free-Flow Speed, (note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) fLS Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA	- mi/h - veh/h 45.0 mi/h 5 4.7 mi/h 3.8 mi/h		
Free-flow speed, FFSd	36.5 mi/h		
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	2.4 mi/h 33.2 mi/h 90.9 %		
Percent Time-Spent- Page :			

EB Weekend PM Existing.txt

EB Weekend PM EXISTI	ng.txt		
pirection Analysis(d) PCE for trucks, ET 1.9 PCE for trucks, ET 1.9 PCE for RVS EB 1.9 PC	oc/h 6.4 52.7	Opposing 1.9 1.0 0.949 0.73 51	(o) pc/h
Level of Service and Other Perfor	mance Mea	sures	
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	A 0.03 15 40 0.5 1030 1177 1177	veh-mi veh-mi veh-h veh/h veh/h	
Passing Lane Analysi	5		
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passin Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)		1.6 u - 33.2 33.3 A	mi mi mi mi/h
Average Travel Speed with Pas	sing Lane		
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effelength of passing lane for average travel spelength of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl average travel speed including passing lane, ATSp Percent free flow speed including passing lane, P	ed, Lde e speed, Lo	- d - - - 0.0	mi mi %
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing	Lane	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow through of two-lane highway downstream of effective the passing lane for percent time-spent-follow discounting the passing lane effect of asing a passing the passing lane effect of the passing lane percent time-spent-following passing lane, PTSFpl processing lane, PTSFpl	ing, Lde e length	gth - of - -	mi mi
Level of Service and Other Performance Meas	ures with	Passing I	Lane
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15	A -	veh-h	
Bicycle Level of Servi	ce		
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking Pavement rating, P	ng (30 0 3	

WB Weekend PM Existing.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: Fax: E-Mail:	
Directional Two-Lane Highway	Segment Analysis
Analyst Kevin Rangel Agency/Co. Bate Performed Analysis Time Period Highway Fighway Fighway Fighway Fighway Formula Group of Napa Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery	Limits
Input Data	
Shoulder width 0.0 ft % Trucks a Lane width 11.0 ft % Trucks c Segment length 1.6 mi Truck craw	rawling 0.0 % ol speed 0.0 mi/hr
Analysis direction volume, Vd 25 veh/h Opposing direction volume, Vo 24 veh/h	
Average Travel Spe	ed
Direction Analysis PCE for trucks, ET 2.7 PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHV 0.90 Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg 0.67 Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi 61	2.7 1.1 0.904
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: Field measured speed,(note-3) S FM Observed total demand,(note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base Free-Flow Speed,(note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width,(note-3) fLS Adj. for access point density,(note-3) fA	- mi/h - veh/h 45.0 mi/h 4.7 mi/h 3.8 mi/h
Free-flow speed, FFSd	36.5 mi/h
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	2.4 mi/h 33.2 mi/h 90.9 %
Percent Time-Spent-Fo	ollowing

WB Weekend PM Existing.txt

WB Weekend PM Existin	g.txt	
Direction PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV O-949 Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vg O-95 Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd	c/h	osing (o) 1.9 1.0 0.949 0.73 51 pc/h
Level of Service and Other Perform	ance Measur	es
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	40 vel 0.5 vel 1030 vel 1177 vel 1177 vel	n-mi n-mi n-h n/h n/h
Passing Lane Analysis		
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)	lane, Lu	1.6 mi - mi - mi 33.2 mi/h 33.3
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect length of passing lane for average travel spectength of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PF	d, Lde speed, Ld	- mi - mi - - - 0.0 %
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing Lan	2
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow length and passing land for percent stam coeffective that passing land properors time of percentions of the effect of passing land passing land percent time-spent-following, fpl percent time-spent-following, fpl percent time-spent-following lane, PTSFpl	ng, Lde Tength of	- mi - mi - %
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu	roc with Da	ssing Lano
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TTI5		-
Bicycle Level of Servic	e	
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Pavement rating, P	30 0 3	

EB PM Future + Project + Event.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: E-Mail:	Fax:	
Directional Two-La	ne Highway Segment Analysis	
Highway Partrick Ro: From/To Project Driv Jurisdiction County of N: Analysis Year 2019 Description TIS for the Fontanella	Project + Event ad - EB veway/City Limits apa Winery	
LI	nput Data	
Highway class 2 Shoulder width 0.0 ft Lane width 11.0 ft Segment length 1.6 mi Terrain type Rolling Grade: Length - mi Up/down - %	Peak hour factor, PHF 0.68 % Trucks and buses 6 % % Trucks crawling 0.0 % Truck crawl speed 0.0 mi/hr % Recreational vehicles 4 % % No-passing zones 100 % Access point density 15 /mi	
Analysis direction volume, Vd 80 Opposing direction volume, Vo 117	veh/h veh/h	
Average	Travel Speed	
Direction PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVs, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) s Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi	Analysis(d) Opposing (o) 2.6 2.4 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1	
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurem Field measured Speed, (note-3) S FM Observed total demand, (note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base Free-Flow Speed, (note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note- Adj. for access point density, (note-	- mi/h - veh/h 45.0 mi/h ote-3) fLS 4.7 mi/h	
Free-flow speed, FFSd	36.5 mi/h	
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fn Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	p 3.6 mi/h 29.5 mi/h 80.6 %	
Percent Tit	me-Spent-Following Page 1	

EB PM Future + Project +	Event.txt
PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RYS, ER PCE for RYS, ER Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fhV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg O.74 Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFd Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp	Opposing (o) 1.8 1.0 0.954 0.78 231 pc/h 18.9 % 56.8 42.7 %
Level of Service and Other Perform	nance Measures
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	B 0.10 47 veh-mi 128 veh-mi 1.6 veh-h 1216 veh/h 1338 veh/h 1338 veh/h
Passing Lane Analysis	i
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)	1.6 mi g lane, Lu - mi - mi 29.5 mi/h 42.7 B
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane
Downstream length of two-lame highway within effect length of passing lame for average travel spectementh of two-lame highway downstrame of effective length of the passing lame for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lame on average speed, fpl average travel speed including passing lame, PF Percent free flow speed including passing lame, PF Percent free flow speed including passing lame, PF	ed, Lde - mi speed, Ld - mi - -
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow length of two-lane highway downstream of effective the passing lane for percent time-spent-follow Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl Percent time-spent-following, fpl	ctive length ng, Lde - mi Plength of ving, Ld - mi
including passing lane, PTSFpl	- %
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu Level of Service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15	A - veh-h
Bicycle Level of Service	:e
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Payement rating, P Page 2	30 0 3

WB PM Future + Project + Event.txt

HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: E-Mail:	Fax:				
Directional Two-La	ane Highway	Segment	Analys	i s	
	Input Data	factor	DUE	0.68	
Highway class Class 2 Shoulder width 0.0 ft Lane width 11.0 ft Segment length 1.6 mi Terrain type Rolling Grade: Length - mi Dy/down - %	Peak hour % Trucks a % Trucks c Truck craw % Recreati % No-passi Access poi	nd buses rawling I speed onal veh ng zones	icles	0.68 6 0.0 0.0 4 100 15	% mi/hr % % /mi
Analysis direction volume, Vd 117 Opposing direction volume, Vo 80	veh/h veh/h				
Average	e Travel Spe	ed			
Direction PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi	Analysis 2.4 1.1 fHV 0.91 0.73 256	9		00sing (2.6 1.1 0.909 0.68 190	pc/h
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurem Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM Observed total demand, (note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed, (note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (r Adj. for access point density, (not	note-3) fLS	- 45.0 4.7 3.8	mi/h veh/h mi/h mi/h mi/h		
Free-flow speed, FFSd		36.5	mi/h		
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fr Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	пр	3.8 29.2 80.0	mi/h mi/h %		
Percent Ti	ime-Spent-Fo Page 1	llowing_			

WR DM EUTURG + Project + Event tv

WB PM Future + Project +	Event.txt		
Direction PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVS, ER HEAVy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV O-954 Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) v1 Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFG Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Percent time-spent-following, PTSFG	c/h	posing (1.8 1.0 0.954 0.74 167	o) pc/h
Level of Service and Other Perform	ance Measu	res	
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	187 V 2.4 V 0 V 1265 V	eh-mi eh-mi eh-h eh/h eh/h eh/h	
Passing Lane Analysis			
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)		1.6 - - 29.2 57.4 C	mi mi mi mi/h
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane		
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect length of passing lane for average travel spee Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PP Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PP	d, Lde speed, Ld	- - - - 0.0	mi mi %
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing La	ne	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow length of two-lane highway downstream of effective the passing lane for percent time-spent-follow Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl	ng, Lde Tength of	-	mi mi %
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu	res with P	assing L	ane
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15		eh-h	
Bicycle Level of Service	e		
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Pavement rating, P	g 30 0 3		

EB Wknd Future + Project + Event.txt HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: E-Mail: Fax: ___Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis____ Analyst Kevin Rangel
Agency/co. W-Trans
Date Performed
Analysis Time Period
Highway From/To
Jurisdiction County of Napa
Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery ____Input Data__ Highway class Class 2
Shoulder width 0.0 ft
Lane width 11.0 ft
Segment length 1.6 mi
Terrain type Rolling
Grade: Length - mi
Up/down - % Peak hour factor, PHF % Trucks and buses % Trucks crawling % Trucks crawl speed % Recreational vehicles % No-passing zones Access point density 6 0.0 0.0 4 100 15 mi/hr % /mi Analysis direction volume, Vd 81 Opposing direction volume, Vo 131 _____Average Travel Speed_ Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVS, ER
Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHV
Oracle of Service of Servi Opposing (o) 2.3 1.1 0.924 0.74 282 p Analysis(d) pc/h pc/h Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement:
Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM
Observed total demand, (note-3) V
Stimated Free-Flow Speed:
Base free-Flow Speed, (note-3) BFFS
Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) fLS
Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA mi/h veh/h Free-flow speed, FFSd 36.5 mi/h Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS mi/h mi/h % _____Percent Time-Spent-Following__ Page 1

EB Wknd Future + Proje	ect + Event.txt
Direction PCE for trucks, ET 1.8 PCE for RVs, ER Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fiv 0.9 Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg 0.7 Directional flow ratch (note-2) vi Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BP Adjustment for no-passing zones, fip Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd	1.0 54 0.954 4 0.80 pc/h 252 pc/h
Level of Service and Other Pe	rformance Measures
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	8 0.10 48 veh-mi 130 veh-mi 1.6 veh-h 1248 veh/h 1354 veh/h 1354 veh/h
Passing Lane Ana	lysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the pa: Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above Level of service, LOSd (from above)	- mi 29.4 mi/h
Average Travel Speed with	Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within a length of passing lane for average travel Length of two-lane highway downstream of effer length of the passing lane for average tradification for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl Average travel speed including passing lane.	speed, Lde - mi ctive avel speed, Ld - mi - ATSpl -
Percent free flow speed including passing land	
Percent Time-Spent-Following was been been been been been been been bee	effective length llowing, Lde – mi ctive length of
Level of Service and Other Performance	Measures with Passing Lane
Level of service including passing lane, LOSP Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15	1 A - veh-h
Bicycle Level of Se	
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway pa Pavement rating, P Page 2	30 arking 0 3

WB Wknd Future + Project + Event.txt HCS 2010: Two-Lane Highways Release 6.80

Phone: Fax: E-Mail:	
Directional Two-Lane Highway	Segment Analysis
Analyst Kevin Rangel Agency/Co. Date Performed Analysis Time Period Analysis Time Period Analysis Time Period Ourisdiction Analysis Year Description TIS for the Fontanella Winery	Limits
Input Data_	
Shōulder width 0.0 ft % Trucks Lane width 11.0 ft % Truck segment length 1.6 mi Truck cra Terrain type Rolling % Recreat Grade: Length - mi % No-pass	wl speed 0.0 mi/hr cional vehicles 4 %
Analysis direction volume, Vd 131 veh/h Opposing direction volume, Vo 81 veh/h	
Average Travel Sp	eed
Direction Analysi PCE for trucks, ET 2.3 PCE for RVS, ER Heavy-vehicle adj. factor,(note-5) fHv 0.9 Grade adj. factor,(note-1) fg 0.7 Directional flow rate,(note-2) vi 282	2.6 1.1 24 0.909
Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM Observed total demand, (note-3) V Estimated Free-Flow Speed: Base free-Flow Speed, (note-3) BFFS Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) fA Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA	- mi/h - veh/h 45.0 mi/h 4.7 mi/h 3.8 mi/h
Free-flow speed, FFSd	36.5 mi/h
Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Average travel speed, ATSd Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS	3.8 mi/h 29.0 mi/h 79.5 %
Percent Time-Spent-F Page 1	

WB Wknd Future + Project + Event.txt

WB Wknd Future + Project +	Event.txt	
PCE for trucks, ET PCE for RVs, ER 1.0 Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor.(note-1) fg 0.80	0. c/h 16	. 8
Level of Service and Other Perform	ance Measures_	
Level of service, LOS Volume to capacity ratio, v/c Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15 Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60 Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15 Capacity from ATS, CdATS Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF Directional Capacity	C 0.15 77 veh-m 210 veh-m 2.7 veh-f 0 veh/h 1265 veh/h 1265 veh/h	กi 1 1 1
Passing Lane Analysis		
Total length of analysis segment, Lt Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)	29.	mi mi .0 mi/h
Average Travel Speed with Pass	ing Lane	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect length of passing lane for average travel spettength of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on average speed, fpl Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PF	d, Lde - speed, Ld - - -	mi mi
Percent Time-Spent-Following with	Passing Lane	
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effect of passing lane for percent time-spent-follow! Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective the passing lane for percent time-spent-follow adj. factor for the effect of passing lane on percent time-spent-following, fpl Percent time-spent-following including passing lane, PTSFpl	ng, Lde - length of	mi mi %
Level of Service and Other Performance Measu	res with Passi	ing Lane
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15	A - veh-h	-
Bicycle Level of Servic	e	
Posted speed limit, Sp Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parkin Pavement rating, P	g 30 0 3	

