

# **Stormwater Control Plan**

Ellman Family Winery P18-00249-UP Planning Commission Hearing Date October 2, 2019

### STORMWATER CONTROL PLAN FOR A REGULATED PROJECT ELLMAN FAMILY WINERY 3286 SILVERADO TRAIL NAPA COUNTY, CA APN 039-610-001

**Prepared For:** 

Ellman Family Winery, LLC c/o Lance Ellman 2830 NE 29<sup>th</sup> Street Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33306

**Prepared By:** 

Bartelt Engineering 1303 Jefferson Street, 200 B Napa, CA 94559 (707) 258-1301

REGL

Paul N. Bartelt, P.E. Principal Engineer

Michael G. Grimes, P.E. Project Engineer

May 2019 - Revised September 2018 - Revised June 2018 - Revised March 2017 Job No. 15-12









## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	PROJECT	DATA1
2.	Setting	1
	2.1	Project Location and Description1
	2.2	Existing Site Features and Conditions2
	2.3	Opportunities and Constraints for Stormwater Control2
3.	LOW IMI	PACT DEVELOPMENT DESIGN STRATEGIES
4.	DOCUM	ENTATION OF DRAINAGE DESIGN
	4.1	Descriptions of Each Drainage Management Area4
	4.2	Tabulation and Sizing Calculations
	4.2.1	Information Summary for Bioretention Facility Design
	4.2.2	Self-Treating Areas5
	4.2.3	Self-Retaining Areas5
	4.2.4	Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas
	4.2.5	Areas Draining to Bioretention Facilities
5.	SOURCE	CONTROL MEASURES
	5.1	Site Activities and Potential Sources of Pollutants7
	5.1.1.	Source Control Table7
	5.2	Features, Materials, and Methods of Construction of Source Control BMPs9
6.	STORMV	VATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE
	6.1	Ownership and Responsibility for Maintenance in Perpetuity9
	6.2	Summary of Maintenance Requirements for Each Stormwater Facility10
7.	CONSTR	UCTION CHECKLIST
8.	CERTIFIC	ATIONS
9.	REFEREN	CES



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Project Data	1
Table 4.1.1 Drainage Management AreasSee Plan Sheet of the U	JP Drawings
Table 4.2.1 Information Summary for Bioretention Facility Design	5
Table 4.2.2 Self-Treating Areas	5
Table 4.2.3 Self-Retaining Areas	5
Table 4.2.4 Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas	6
Table 4.2.5 Areas Draining to Bioretention Facilities	6
Table 5.1 Source Control Table	7
Table 7.1 Construction Checklist	. See SWPPP

## LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Impervious Area Exhibit Pre-Project Conditions Impervious Area Exhibit Post-Project Conditions Stormwater Control Plan - Drainage Management Area Exhibit (included in Ellman Family Winery Use Permit Drawings)

This Stormwater Control Plan was prepared using the Bay Area Stormwater Agencies Association (BASMAA) template dated July 11, 2014.



## 1. **PROJECT DATA**

TABLE 1: PROJECT DATA	
Project Name/Number	Ellman Family Winery
Application Submittal Date	May 2019 - Revised
Project Location	APN: 039-610-001
Project Phase No.	N/A
Project Type and Description	Use Permit Application - Winery
Total Project Site Area (acres)	$2.45\pm$ acres
Total Parcel Area (acres)	13.52± acres
Total New and Replaced Impervious Surface Area (Onsite)	37,311± SF
Total Pre-Project Impervious Surface Area	30,157± SF
Total Post-Project Impervious Surface Area	67,468± SF
Percent Parcel Imperviousness Before Construction	5.1%
Percent Parcel Imperviousness After Construction	11.5%

## 2. SETTING

## 2.1 <u>Project Location and Description</u>

The Ellman Family Winery project is located at 3286 Silverado Trail, Napa, CA 94558 approximately 4± miles north of Napa, California. The parcel (APN 039-610-001) is approximately 13.52± acres and is zoned AW (Agricultural Watershed). The proposed project will consist of one (1) phase and a disturbed area of approximately 2.45± acres. The disturbed area includes the proposed winery (production facility and hospitality building), driveway, access roads, parking areas, stormwater runoff treatment systems, and landscaping.



## 2.2 Existing Site Features and Conditions

The subject parcel is currently being developed with residential buildings, a driveway, a pool, and landscaping (under separate permit). Slopes on the parcel range between zero (0) and ten (10) percent. According to the NRCS Soil Report (included in the Use Permit application), the soil types found on the parcel and corresponding Hydraulic Soil Group (HSG) are listed below:

- Coombs gravelly loam (99.8% of parcel area, map symbol 123, HSG "C")
- Forward gravelly loam (0.2% of parcel area, map symbol 139, HSG "B")

## 2.3 **Opportunities and Constraints for Stormwater Control**

The terrain of the subject parcel is common to the area with a small rolling hill and dip midparcel, a stream, and another hill in the rear. The majority of the parcel consists of vineyards with a portion consisting of residential structures near Silverado Trail and a small pond in the middle of the parcel. The location of the proposed winery building is approximately in the middle of the subject parcel just east of the existing pond and can be seen on the Ellman Family Winery Use Permit Drawings prepared by Bartelt Engineering. The winery building and access driveway were placed in a location on the parcel that maintains existing drainage patterns yet allows for onsite stormwater control and treatment.

## 3. LOW IMPACT DEVELOPMENT DESIGN STRATEGIES

## 3.1 **Optimization of Site Layout**

## 3.1.1 Limitation of development envelope

Under the proposed design, portions of the existing vineyards would be removed to accommodate the proposed location for the winery building and impervious paved areas.

## 3.1.2 <u>Preservation of natural drainage features</u>

In general, a majority of the existing natural drainage features on this site will be maintained under the proposed conditions. Offsite run-on from the north will continue to flow across the property line with a portion entering the existing pond. Onsite runoff will flow to the south of the parcel or be retained within vineyards. A bioswale and a bioretention facility are proposed to slow down and retain onsite stormwater runoff from proposed impervious areas. The location of the "north" bioretention facility is proposed at a naturally low area just south of the north property line of the parcel where stormwater offsite run-on and onsite runoff already collects during storm events.



## 3.1.3 Setbacks from creeks, wetlands and riparian habitats

The proposed winery buildings, driveway, and wastewater system will be constructed outside of any Napa County setbacks set forth by the Zoning Code or the Conservation Regulations.

## 3.1.4 <u>Minimization of imperviousness</u>

Impervious area will increase as a result of the proposed project. Although the impervious area will increase, some areas that are typically paved (such as walkways and pathways) will utilize gravel or another similar material to the maximum extent possible.

## 3.1.5 Use of drainage as a design element

There are multiple elements proposed for this project that are designed to reduce stormwater runoff and promote infiltration. Gravel or another similar type of material will be utilized for walkways and pathways near the winery buildings. A bioswale and a bioretention facility will be implemented along with the proposed project to promote infiltration and slow down stormwater runoff. Stormwater that overtops the "north" bioretention basin will flow into the existing pond where it will be detained prior to being piped to the "south" infiltration basin. Collected runoff that exceeds the "south" infiltration basin's capacity will ultimately discharge toward the neighboring parcel (APN 039-610-002) as overland sheet flow maintaining historical drainage patterns. The "south" basin is designed to promote overland sheet flow and infiltration but not provide stormwater treatment as defined by BASSMA guidelines.

## 3.2 <u>Use of Permeable Pavements</u>

The extent of areas with gravel (or another similar material) are shown on the Ellman Family Winery Use Permit Drawings prepared by Bartelt Engineering.

## 3.3 Dispersal of Runoff to Pervious Areas

All runoff is dispersed to pervious areas. These areas include landscaped areas, vineyards, a bioretention facility, infiltration basin, and a bioswale.

## 3.4 <u>Stormwater Control Measures</u>

This project will utilize a combination of self-treating areas, self-retaining areas, and a bioretention facility. See Section 4.1 below.

## 4. DOCUMENTATION OF DRAINAGE DESIGN

### 4.1 Descriptions of Each Drainage Management Area

### 4.1.1 <u>Table of Drainage Management Areas</u>

A table that provides the name, area, Drainage Management Area (DMA) type and surface type of every DMA for this project can be found on the Stormwater Control Plan Sheet of the Ellman Family Winery Use Permit Drawings.

### 4.1.2 Drainage Management Area Descriptions

The project will consist of numerous DMA types which include Self-Treating Areas, Self-Retaining Areas, Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas, and Areas Draining to Bioretention Facilities.

**Self-Retaining Areas** on this site consist of all areas starting with the prefix "SRA". The corresponding areas for these DMAs can be found in the table referenced in Section 4.1 above. Some of these areas will consist entirely of vineyard which help retain stormwater runoff because of the vegetation and high and low spots created that run parallel to and within the vineyard rows as a result of crop cultivation practices. Other Self-Retaining Areas include planter areas near the winery building and landscaped areas.

**Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas** on this site consist of all areas starting with the prefix "DSRA". These areas consist of roofs, pavement, and disturbed landscape areas that do not drain to a bioretention facility.

**Self-Treating Areas** on this site consist of all areas starting with the prefix "STA". These areas consist of landscaped or turf areas that do not drain to a Bioretention Facility, but rather drain off the disturbed area onto the onsite vineyard.

**Areas Draining to Bioretention Facilities** on this site consist of all areas starting with the prefix "DBRF". These areas consist of mostly roofs/pavement, but also include a few landscaped areas directly adjacent to the corresponding bioretention facility (prefix "BRF"). There is one (1) bioretention facility on the site labeled as BRF-A.



## 4.2 <u>Tabulation and Sizing Calculations</u>

## 4.2.1 <u>Information Summary for Bioretention Facility Design</u>

TABLE 4.2.1: INFORMATION SUMMARY FOR BIORETENTION FACILITY BRF-A				
Bioretention Facility Area (Square Feet)	900±			
DBRF-A 1.1.1	6,245			
DBRF-A 1.1.2	773			
DBRF-A 1.2	12,165			
DBRF-A 1.2.1.1	2,846			
DBRF-A 1.2.2.1	5,040			

## 4.2.2 <u>Self-Treating Areas</u>

TABLE 4.2.2 SELF TREATING AREAS					
DMA Name Area (square feet)					
STA-A	4,700				

## 4.2.3 <u>Self-Retaining Areas</u>

TABLE 4.2.3 SELF-RETAINING AREAS						
DMA Name	Area (square feet)	Total Ratio of Impervious : Pervious (2:1 Maximum)				
SRA-A	2,163	1.2:1				
SRA-B	122	0:1				
SRA-C	281	0:1				
SRA-D	807	1.3:1				
SRA-E	417	2:1				
SRA-F	744	1.5:1				
SRA-G	486	0:1				
SRA-H	85	0.4:1				
SRA-I	5,646	1.8:1				
SRA-J	489	1.1:1				
SRA-K	422	0.3:1				
SRA-L	4,610	2:1				

## 4.2.4 Areas Draining to Self-Retaining Areas

TABLE 4.2.4 AREAS DRAINING TO SELF-RETAINING AREAS								
DMA Name	Area (square feet)	Post-project surface type	Runoff factor	Receiving Self-Retaining DMAs	Receiving Self- Retaining DMA Area (square feet)			
DSRA-A 1.1	1,115	Roof/Paving	1.0		2 162			
DSRA-A 1.2	1,379	Roof/Paving	1.0	JKA-A	2,103			
DSRA-D 1	1,037	Roof/Paving	1.0	SRA-D	807			
DSRA-E 1	832	Roof/Paving	1.0	SRA-E	417			
DSRA-F 1	531	Roof/Paving	1.0		744			
DSRA-F 2	531	Roof/Paving	1.0	ЗКА-Г				
DSRA-H 1	26	Roof/Paving	1.0	SRA-H	85			
DSRA-I 1.1	5,125	Roof/Paving	1.0		5,646			
DSRA-I 1.2	5,034	Roof/Paving	1.0					
DSRA-J 1	266	Roof/Paving	1.0	CD A I	489			
DSRA-J 2	227	Roof/Paving	1.0	J SKA-J				
DSRA-K 1	113	Roof/Paving	1.0	SRA-K	422			
DSRA-L 1.1	3,476	Roof/Paving	1.0		4.610			
DSRA-L 1.2	5,700	Roof/Paving	1.0		4,010			

## 4.2.5 Areas Draining to Bioretention Facilities

# TABLE 4.2.5 FORMAT FOR TABULATING AREAS DRAINING TO BIORETENTION FACILITIES AND CALCULATING MINIMUM BIORETENTION FACILITY SIZE

	DMA Area (square	Post-project surface	DMA Runoff	DMA Area x runoff	Bioretenti	on Facility "A	″ (BRF-A)
DMA Name	feet)	type	factor	factor	Ellman Family Winery		
DBRF-A 1.1.1	6,245	Landscape	0.1	625			
DBRF-A 1.1.2	773	Roof/Paving	1.0	773			
DBRF-A 1.2	12,165	Roof/Paving	1.0	12,165			
DBRF-A 1.2.1.1	2,846	Roof/Paving	1.0	2,846			
DBRF-A 1.2.2.1	5,040	Roof/Paving	1.0	5,040			
				-	IMP	Minimum	Proposed
				_	Sizing factor	IMP Size	IMP Size
Total (square feet) =			21,449	0.04	858	900	



## 5. SOURCE CONTROL MEASURES

## 5.1 <u>Site Activities and Potential Sources of Pollutants</u>

## 5.1.1. Source Control Table

TABLE 5-1: SOURCE CONTROL TABLE						
Potential source of runoff pollutants	Permanent source control BMPs	Operational source control BMPs				
Onsite storm drain inlets (unauthorized non-	<ul> <li>All inlets marked with the words "No Dumping! Flows to Bay" or similar.</li> </ul>	Maintain and periodically repaint or replace inlet markings				
stormwater discharges and accidental spills or leaks)		Provide stormwater pollution prevention information to new site owners, lessees, or operators				
		<ul> <li>See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheet SC-44, "Drainage System Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.casqa.org/resources/bump-handbooks</li> </ul>				
		Include the following in lease agreements: "Tenants shall not allow anyone to discharge anything to storm drains or to store or deposit materials so as to create a potential discharge to storm drains."				
Interior floor drain and elevator shaft sump pumps	• Interior floor drains and sump pumps will be plumbed to sanitary sewer.	Inspect and maintain drains to prevent blockages and overflow.				
Need for future indoor & structural pest control	• Note building design features that discourage entry of pests.	Provide Integrated Pest Management information to owners, lessees, and operators.				
Landscape/Outdoor pesticide use/building & grounds maintenance	<ul> <li>Final landscape plans will accomplish all of the following.</li> <li>Preserve existing native trees, shrubs, and ground cover to maximum extent possible.</li> <li>Landscaping has been designed to minimize irrigation and runoff, to promote surface infiltration where appropriate, and to minimize the use of fertilizers and pesticides that can contribute to stormwater pollution.</li> <li>Landscaped areas are used to retain or detain stormwater</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain landscaping using minimum or no pesticides.</li> <li>See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheets SC-41, "Building and Grounds Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at:</li> <li>www.casqa.org/resources/bmp-handbooks</li> <li>Provide IPM information to new owners, lessees, and operators.</li> </ul>				



	<ul> <li>Plants within these areas will be tolerant of saturated soil conditions.</li> <li>The use of pest-resistant plants has been considered, especially adjacent to hardscape.</li> <li>To insure successful establishment, plants will be selected that are appropriate to site soils, slopes, climate, sun, wind, rain, land use, air movement, ecological consistency, and plant interactions.</li> </ul>	
Pools, spas, ponds, decorative fountains & other water features	• Fountains and water feature connections will be made according to local requirements.	<ul> <li>See applicable operational BMPs in Fact Sheet SC-72, "Fountain and Pool Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.casqa.org/resources/bmp-handbooks</li> <li>The sanitary sewer operator must be notified and a clean out identified when pools are to be drained to the sanitary sewer.</li> </ul>
Food service	<ul> <li>Describe the location and features of the designated cleaning area.</li> <li>Describe the items to be cleaned in this facility and how it has been sized to ensure that the largest items can be accommodated.</li> </ul>	State maintenance schedule for grease interceptor.
Refuse areas	<ul> <li>See plans for the location and features.</li> <li>Signs will be posted on or near dumpsters with the words "Do not dump hazardous materials here" or similar.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State how the following will be implemented;</li> <li>Provide adequate number of receptacles. Inspect receptacles regularly; repair or replace leaky receptacles. Keep receptacles covered. Prohibit/prevent dumping of liquids or hazardous wastes. Post "no hazardous materials" signs. Inspect and pick up litter daily and clean up spills immediately. Keep spill control materials available onsite. See Fact Sheet SC-34, "Waste Handling and Disposal" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.casqa.org/resources/bmp-handbooks</li> </ul>
Industrial processes	• All process activities to be performed indoors. No processes to drain to exterior or to storm drain systems.	See Fact Sheet SC-10, "Non-Stormwater Discharges" in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.casqa.org/resources/bmp-handbooks

May 2019 - Revised Job No. 15-12



Fire sprinkler test water	• Fire sprinkler water will be disposed of in vineyard.	See note in Fact Sheet SC-41, "Building and Grounds Maintenance," in the CASQA Stormwater Quality Handbooks at www.casqa.org/resources/bmp-handbooks
Condensate drain lines Roofing, gutters & trim	<ul> <li>Condensate drain lines discharge to landscaped areas since the flow is small enough that runoff will not occur. Condensate drain lines may not discharge to the storm drain system.</li> <li>Any drainage sumps onsite shall feature a sediment sump to reduce the quantity of sediment in pumped water.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>If architectural copper is used, implement the following BMPs for management of rinse water during installation:</li> <li>If possible, purchase copper materials that have been pre-patinated at the factory.</li> <li>If patinated is done onsite, prevent rinse water from entering storm drains by discharging to landscaping or by collecting in a tank and hauling offsite.</li> <li>Consider coating the copper materials with an impervious coating that prevents further corrosion and runoff.</li> <li>Implement the following BMPs during routine maintenance:</li> <li>Prevent rinse water from entering storm drains by discharging to landscaping or by collecting intenance:</li> </ul>
Plazas, sidewalks & parking lots		□ Sweep plazas, sidewalks, and parking lots regularly to prevent accumulation of litter and debris. Collect debris from pressure washing to prevent entry into the storm drain system. Collect wash water containing any cleaning agent of degreaser and discharge to the sanitary sewer not to a storm drain.

## 5.2 Features, Materials, and Methods of Construction of Source Control BMPs

Several features were incorporated into the design of the project to minimize the potential for stormwater pollution and are listed below. Please refer to the Ellman Family Winery Use Permit Drawings prepared by Bartelt Engineering for detailed materials and methods of construction of source control BMPs.

## 6. STORMWATER FACILITY MAINTENANCE

## 6.1 <u>Ownership and Responsibility for Maintenance in Perpetuity</u>

The Owner agrees to implement the stormwater control strategy as outlined in this document and as shown in the plans prepared by Bartelt Engineering. The Owner accepts responsibility for the installation, operation, and maintenance of the stormwater treatment and flow-control facilities noted in this Stormwater Control Plan. The Owner agrees to undertake this responsibility until such time as the responsibility is formally transferred to a subsequent owner.



## 6.2 <u>Summary of Maintenance Requirements for Each Stormwater Facility</u>

The following activities shall be completed at least annually. The frequency should be adjusted in response to the needs of each particular facility.

**Clean up**. Remove any soil or debris blocking planter inlets or overflows. Remove trash that typically collects near inlets or gets caught in vegetation.

**Prune or cut back** plants for health and to ensure flow into inlets and across the surface of the facility. Remove and replant as necessary. When replanting, maintain the design surface elevation and minimize the introduction of soil.

**Control weeds** by manual methods and soil amendment. In response to problem areas or threatening invasions, corn gluten, white vinegar, vinegar-based products, or non-selective natural herbicides such as Burnout or Safer's Sharpshooter may be used.

Add mulch. Aged mulch, also called compost mulch, reduces the ability of weeds to establish, keeps soil moist and replenishes soil nutrients. Mulch is added from time to time as necessary to maintain a mulch layer thickness (some agencies require 3 inches). However, ensure the underlying soil surface beneath the mulch layer is a minimum 6 inches below the overflow elevation, consistently throughout the surface area of the facility. In particular, ensure that the top of the mulch layer is below the facility overflow, so that as the facility fills during a major storm, the entire surface becomes wetted before the overflow elevation is reached.

Check signage. Remove graffiti and replace if necessary.

**Check irrigation**, if any, to confirm it is adequate but not excessive.

**Landscaping maintenance** personnel should be aware of the following:

**Do not add fertilizer to bioretention facilities**. Compost tea, available from various nurseries and garden supply retailers, may be applied at a recommended rate of 5 gallons mixed with 15 gallons of water per acre, up to two weeks prior to planting and once per year between March and June. Do not apply when temperatures are below 50° F or above 90° F or when rain is forecast in the next 48 hours.

**Do not use synthetic pesticides on bioretention facilities**. Beneficial nematodes and non-toxic controls may be used. Acceptable natural pesticides include Safer® products and Neem oil.

Sidewalks will be swept clean of debris regularly.

May 2019 - Revised Job No. 15-12



## 7. CONSTRUCTION CHECKLIST

Please refer to the Construction General Permit (CGP) Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) prepared by Bartelt Engineering for all construction and post-construction BMPs. An Industrial General Permit (IGP) No Exposure Certificate (NEC) will be filed with the State Water Resources Control Board for the production (industrial) facility.

### 8. **CERTIFICATIONS**

The preliminary design of stormwater treatment facilities and other stormwater pollution control measures in this plan are in accordance with the current edition of the BASMAA Post-Construction Manual.

## 9. **References**

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. Custom Soil Resource Report for Napa County, California. https://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm





## IMPERVIOUS AREA EXHIBIT PRE-PROJECT CONDITIONS

SCALE: |" = 100'

## LEGEND:



EXISTING IMPERVIOUS AREA (30,157± SQ FT = 0.69± ACRES) PARCEL SIZE: 13.52± ACRES 0.69 ACRES / 13.52 ACRES = 5.1%



_	×	V					
			<del>XX</del>		1		
			 	/ / / /			-
			 		 	-	
			 		 	-	
			 		 		-
			 		 		· ·

Ellman Family Winery 3286 Silverado Trail Napa, CA 94558 APN 039-610-001 Job No. 15-12 May 2019 Sheet 1 of 2





## IMPERVIOUS AREA EXHIBIT POST-PROJECT CONDITIONS

SCALE: 1" = 100'

## LEGEND:



PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS AREA (67,468± SQ FT = 1.55± ACRES) PARCEL SIZE = 13.52± ACRES 1.55 ACRES / 13.52 ACRES = **11.5%** 

Ellman Family Winery 3286 Silverado Trail Napa, CA 94558 APN 039-610-001 Job No. 15-12 May 2019 Sheet 2 of 2



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources

Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Napa County, California

Ellman Family Estate, LLC



## Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

# Contents

Preface	2
How Soil Surveys Are Made	5
Soil Map	8
Soil Map	9
Legend	10
Map Unit Legend	11
Map Unit Descriptions	11
Napa County, California	13
123—Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	13
139—Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15	14
Soil Information for All Uses	16
Soil Properties and Qualities	16
Soil Erosion Factors	16
K Factor, Whole Soil	16
T Factor	19
Soil Qualities and Features	22
Hydrologic Soil Group	22
References	27

## **How Soil Surveys Are Made**

Soil surveys are made to provide information about the soils and miscellaneous areas in a specific area. They include a description of the soils and miscellaneous areas and their location on the landscape and tables that show soil properties and limitations affecting various uses. Soil scientists observed the steepness, length, and shape of the slopes; the general pattern of drainage; the kinds of crops and native plants; and the kinds of bedrock. They observed and described many soil profiles. A soil profile is the sequence of natural layers, or horizons, in a soil. The profile extends from the surface down into the unconsolidated material in which the soil formed or from the surface down to bedrock. The unconsolidated material is devoid of roots and other living organisms and has not been changed by other biological activity.

Currently, soils are mapped according to the boundaries of major land resource areas (MLRAs). MLRAs are geographically associated land resource units that share common characteristics related to physiography, geology, climate, water resources, soils, biological resources, and land uses (USDA, 2006). Soil survey areas typically consist of parts of one or more MLRA.

The soils and miscellaneous areas in a survey area occur in an orderly pattern that is related to the geology, landforms, relief, climate, and natural vegetation of the area. Each kind of soil and miscellaneous area is associated with a particular kind of landform or with a segment of the landform. By observing the soils and miscellaneous areas in the survey area and relating their position to specific segments of the landform, a soil scientist develops a concept, or model, of how they were formed. Thus, during mapping, this model enables the soil scientist to predict with a considerable degree of accuracy the kind of soil or miscellaneous area at a specific location on the landscape.

Commonly, individual soils on the landscape merge into one another as their characteristics gradually change. To construct an accurate soil map, however, soil scientists must determine the boundaries between the soils. They can observe only a limited number of soil profiles. Nevertheless, these observations, supplemented by an understanding of the soil-vegetation-landscape relationship, are sufficient to verify predictions of the kinds of soil in an area and to determine the boundaries.

Soil scientists recorded the characteristics of the soil profiles that they studied. They noted soil color, texture, size and shape of soil aggregates, kind and amount of rock fragments, distribution of plant roots, reaction, and other features that enable them to identify soils. After describing the soils in the survey area and determining their properties, the soil scientists assigned the soils to taxonomic classes (units). Taxonomic classes are concepts. Each taxonomic class has a set of soil characteristics with precisely defined limits. The classes are used as a basis for comparison to classify soils systematically. Soil taxonomy, the system of taxonomic classification used in the United States, is based mainly on the kind and character of soil properties and the arrangement of horizons within the profile. After the soil

scientists classified and named the soils in the survey area, they compared the individual soils with similar soils in the same taxonomic class in other areas so that they could confirm data and assemble additional data based on experience and research.

The objective of soil mapping is not to delineate pure map unit components; the objective is to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. Each map unit is defined by a unique combination of soil components and/or miscellaneous areas in predictable proportions. Some components may be highly contrasting to the other components of the map unit. The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The delineation of such landforms and landform segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

Soil scientists make many field observations in the process of producing a soil map. The frequency of observation is dependent upon several factors, including scale of mapping, intensity of mapping, design of map units, complexity of the landscape, and experience of the soil scientist. Observations are made to test and refine the soil-landscape model and predictions and to verify the classification of the soils at specific locations. Once the soil-landscape model is refined, a significantly smaller number of measurements of individual soil properties are made and recorded. These measurements may include field measurements, such as those for color, depth to bedrock, and texture, and laboratory measurements, such as those for content of sand, silt, clay, salt, and other components. Properties of each soil typically vary from one point to another across the landscape.

Observations for map unit components are aggregated to develop ranges of characteristics for the components. The aggregated values are presented. Direct measurements do not exist for every property presented for every map unit component. Values for some properties are estimated from combinations of other properties.

While a soil survey is in progress, samples of some of the soils in the area generally are collected for laboratory analyses and for engineering tests. Soil scientists interpret the data from these analyses and tests as well as the field-observed characteristics and the soil properties to determine the expected behavior of the soils under different uses. Interpretations for all of the soils are field tested through observation of the soils in different uses and under different levels of management. Some interpretations are modified to fit local conditions, and some new interpretations are developed to meet local needs. Data are assembled from other sources, such as research information, production records, and field experience of specialists. For example, data on crop yields under defined levels of management are assembled from farm records and from field or plot experiments on the same kinds of soil.

Predictions about soil behavior are based not only on soil properties but also on such variables as climate and biological activity. Soil conditions are predictable over long periods of time, but they are not predictable from year to year. For example, soil scientists can predict with a fairly high degree of accuracy that a given soil will have a high water table within certain depths in most years, but they cannot predict that a high water table will always be at a specific level in the soil on a specific date.

After soil scientists located and identified the significant natural bodies of soil in the survey area, they drew the boundaries of these bodies on aerial photographs and

identified each as a specific map unit. Aerial photographs show trees, buildings, fields, roads, and rivers, all of which help in locating boundaries accurately.

# Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int	erest (AOI)	3	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be yalid at this scale
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	10	Wet Spot	Warning. Son wap may not be valid at this scale.
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	8	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points	-	Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special I	Point Features	Water Eactures		contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
ం	Blowout	~	Streams and Canals	scale.
	Borrow Pit	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
×	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.
$\diamond$	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Man. Natural Resources Conservation Service
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot	~	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
0	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
عله	Marsh or swamp	Mar.	Aerial Photography Albers equal-area conic pr	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
R	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
$\sim$	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Napa County California
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 25, 2017
• ••	Sandy Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
۵	Sinkhole			Data(a) agrial images were photographed: Apr 17, 2015 Oct
à	Slide or Slip			18, 2016
J.	Sodic Spot			
<i>بو</i> ر 				compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
123	Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	13.4	99.9%
139	Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15	0.0	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interest		13.5	100.0%

## **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

## Napa County, California

### 123—Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hdkr Elevation: 50 to 500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 24 to 30 inches Mean annual air temperature: 59 to 63 degrees F Frost-free period: 220 to 260 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

#### **Map Unit Composition**

Coombs and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 3 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Coombs**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Base slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from igneous rock and/or alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 14 inches: gravelly loam H2 - 14 to 54 inches: clay loam H3 - 54 to 60 inches: very gravelly loamy fine sand

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 2 to 5 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: Low
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high (0.20 to 0.57 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Salinity, maximum in profile: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water storage in profile: High (about 9.4 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Clear lake

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Hydric soil rating: Yes

### 139—Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2xc9x Elevation: 110 to 2,080 feet Mean annual precipitation: 27 to 45 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 61 degrees F Frost-free period: 281 to 344 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

*Forward and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Forward**

#### Setting

Landform: Hillslopes Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Rhyolitic residuum weathered from volcanic rock

#### **Typical profile**

*Oi - 0 to 2 inches:* slightly decomposed plant material *A - 2 to 6 inches:* silt loam *BA - 6 to 12 inches:* silt loam *Bw1 - 12 to 19 inches:* silt loam *Bw2 - 19 to 28 inches:* silt loam *Bw3 - 28 to 37 inches:* gravelly silt loam *Cr - 37 to 51 inches:* bedrock

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 5 to 39 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to paralithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to high (0.14 to 2.00 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None

*Frequency of ponding:* None *Salinity, maximum in profile:* Nonsaline (0.2 to 0.5 mmhos/cm) *Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum in profile:* 4.0 *Available water storage in profile:* Moderate (about 6.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 4e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Aiken

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

#### Kidd

Percent of map unit: 5 percent

#### Boomer

Percent of map unit: 3 percent

#### Sobrante

Percent of map unit: 2 percent

# **Soil Information for All Uses**

## **Soil Properties and Qualities**

The Soil Properties and Qualities section includes various soil properties and qualities displayed as thematic maps with a summary table for the soil map units in the selected area of interest. A single value or rating for each map unit is generated by aggregating the interpretive ratings of individual map unit components. This aggregation process is defined for each property or quality.

## **Soil Erosion Factors**

Soil Erosion Factors are soil properties and interpretations used in evaluating the soil for potential erosion. Example soil erosion factors can include K factor for the whole soil or on a rock free basis, T factor, wind erodibility group and wind erodibility index.

## K Factor, Whole Soil

Erosion factor K indicates the susceptibility of a soil to sheet and rill erosion by water. Factor K is one of six factors used in the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation (RUSLE) to predict the average annual rate of soil loss by sheet and rill erosion in tons per acre per year. The estimates are based primarily on percentage of silt, sand, and organic matter and on soil structure and saturated hydraulic conductivity (Ksat). Values of K range from 0.02 to 0.69. Other factors being equal, the higher the value, the more susceptible the soil is to sheet and rill erosion by water.

"Erosion factor Kw (whole soil)" indicates the erodibility of the whole soil. The estimates are modified by the presence of rock fragments.



Γ

		MA	AP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION
Area of Inte	erest (AOI)	~	.24	~	Streams and Canals	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	~	.28	Transpo	rtation	1:24,000.
Soils		~	.32	+++	Rails	Warning: Sail Man may not be valid at this souls
Soil Rati	ng Polygons	~	.37	~	Interstate Highways	Warning. Soli Map may not be valid at this scale.
	.02		43	~	US Routes	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	.05		10	$\sim$	Major Roads	misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
	.10		.45	~	Local Roads	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
	.15	$\sim$	.00	Backgro	und	scale.
	.17		.64	Dackylo	Aerial Photography	
	.20	100	Not rated or not available			Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
	.24	Soil Rat	ing Points			
	.28		.02			Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
	32		.05			Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
			.10			,
	.57		.15			Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercato
	.43		.17			distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
	.49	_	.20			Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
	.55		24			accurate calculations of distance of area are required.
	.64		.27			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data
	Not rated or not available		.20			as of the version date(s) listed below.
Soil Rati	ng Lines		.32			Soil Survey Area: Nana County California
~	.02		.37			Survey Area Data: Version 10, Sep 25, 2017
~	.05		.43			<b>.</b>
~	.10		.49			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50.000 or larger.
	.15		.55			
	17		.64			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Apr 17, 2015—Oct
	20	_	Not rated or not available			18, 2010
~	.20	ш Water Fea	tures			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Table—K Factor, Whole Soil

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
123	Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	.20	13.4	99.9%
139	Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15		0.0	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interes	st	13.5	100.0%	

## Rating Options—K Factor, Whole Soil

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Surface Layer (Not applicable)

## **T** Factor

The T factor is an estimate of the maximum average annual rate of soil erosion by wind and/or water that can occur without affecting crop productivity over a sustained period. The rate is in tons per acre per year.





## Table—T Factor

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (tons per acre per year)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
123	Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	4	13.4	99.9%
139	Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15	3	0.0	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interest			13.5	100.0%

## Rating Options—T Factor

Units of Measure: tons per acre per year Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower Interpret Nulls as Zero: No

## **Soil Qualities and Features**

Soil qualities are behavior and performance attributes that are not directly measured, but are inferred from observations of dynamic conditions and from soil properties. Example soil qualities include natural drainage, and frost action. Soil features are attributes that are not directly part of the soil. Example soil features include slope and depth to restrictive layer. These features can greatly impact the use and management of the soil.

## Hydrologic Soil Group

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.





## Table—Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
123	Coombs gravelly loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	С	13.4	99.9%
139	Forward silt loam, 5 to 39 percent slopes, MLRA 15	C	0.0	0.1%
Totals for Area of Interest			13.5	100.0%

## Rating Options—Hydrologic Soil Group

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

## References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2\_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2\_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2\_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE\_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2\_052290.pdf

RECORDING REQUESTED BY and for the BENEFIT OF:

Napa County Department of Planning, Building and Environmental Services

1195 Third St., room 201 Napa, CA 94559

NO FEE DOCUMENT Gov. Code § 27383

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S

#### AGREEMENT FOR MAINTENANCE OF POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER RUNOFF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (Permit No. )

THIS AGREEMENT FOR MAINTENANCE OF POST-CONSTRUCTION STORM WATER BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ("Agreement") is made by <u>Ellman Family Winery, LLC</u> (the "Owner") and Napa County, a political subdivision of the

State of California (the "County"), effective this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_.

#### RECITALS

A. As of May 20, 2004, discharges from the Napa County Storm Water Management Program's ("Program") Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems ("Small MS4s") are authorized by the State Water Resources Control Board's General Permit for Storm Water Discharges from Small MS4s, Water Quality Order No. 2003-0005—DWQ ("Phase II General Permit"). The Program, which is comprised of the City of Napa, Town of Yountville, City of Calistoga, City of St. Helena, and Napa County, is now covered under the Phase II General Permit. The Program must implement its Storm Water Management Plan ("SWMP") and comply with the requirements and prohibitions of the Phase II General Permit; and

B. Provision D.e.(4) of the Phase II General Permit requires the County to ensure adequate long-term maintenance of all required Post–Construction Storm Water Treatment Control(s), Hydromodification Control(s), and designated Source Control(s) Best Management Practices ("BMPs"); and

C. The Owner is the fee simple owner of certain real property located within Napa County, California (the "Property"), APN: <u>039-610-001</u>, described in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference. The County has approved <u>Ellman Family Winery Use Permit</u>

(the "Project").

The Project is expressly conditioned upon (1) the Owner's compliance with the BMPs described in Exhibit "C" ("Maintenance Plan"), including the construction of those BMPs shown on Exhibit "B" (the "Site Plan"), both exhibits are attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference; and (2) the Owner's execution of an agreement with the County for the Owner to comply with and maintain those BMPs in perpetuity; and

D. The Owner has submitted a design for the construction of the BMPs shown on the Site Plan, which has been approved by the County; and

E. The Owner is obligated to construct such BMPs in accordance with the approved plans and specifications outlined by the California Stormwater Quality Association (CASQA) BMP Handbooks and the County; and

F. The Owner and County agree that the health, safety, and welfare of the citizens of Napa County require that all BMPs be properly maintained.

**NOW, THEREFORE**, in consideration of the benefits received and to be received by the Owner as a result of the County's approval of the Project, the Owner hereby agrees with the County as follows:

1. <u>Affected Property</u>: This Agreement affects the Property located within Napa County, California, as described in Exhibit "A."

2. <u>Purpose</u>: The BMPs are designed to remove pollutants from storm water runoff, preclude pollutants from being discharged to storm drain systems, and limit peak storm water runoff discharge rates from the Property. The purpose of this Agreement is to reflect the parties' rights and obligations concerning the maintenance of BMPs and compliance with all BMPs.

#### 3. <u>Owner's Obligations</u>:

A. <u>Performance and Maintenance Criteria</u>. Owner, its successors and assigns, shall use its best efforts to diligently and adequately maintain in perpetuity the BMPs in a manner assuming peak performance at all times, and shall make such changes or modifications, subject to County's prior approval as may be reasonably necessary for the BMPs to continue to operate as designed and approved and to accomplish its intended purpose, in good repair, and in compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and County laws and regulations, including but not limited to the Napa County Storm Water Management Program (a copy of which is on file in the County's Department of Planning, Building and Environmental Services' Engineering Division) (collectively "Regulations"). The Owner shall be responsible for the costs incurred in operating, maintaining, repairing and replacing the BMPs and complying with the Regulations as conditioned by the County. Adequate maintenance is herein defined as maintenance that is necessary or convenient to ensure that the BMPs continue to operate as originally designed and approved and to accomplish their intended purpose. Owner shall not destroy or remove the BMPs nor modify any measure in any manner that would lessen its effectiveness.

B. <u>Maintenance Plan.</u> In addition to Owner's general obligations under Section (A), above, Owner shall, at its own cost, perform all maintenance specified in the Maintenance Plan and file the annual reports identified in Exhibit "D" attached hereto and made a part hereof.

4. <u>Right of Entry:</u> In addition to the rights provided by law, Owner, its successors and assigns, hereby grants permission to County, the Regional Water Quality Control Board ("Regional Board"), and the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District ("NCMAD"), their employees and agents, to enter upon the Property at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner to inspect, assess or observe the BMPs in order to ensure that the BMPs are being properly maintained and are continuing to perform in an adequate manner to protect water quality and the public health and safety. This includes the right to enter upon the Property when one or more of the identified agencies have a reasonable basis to believe that a violation of this Agreement, the County's storm water management program, guidelines, criteria, other written direction, or the Phase II General Permit, and any amendments or reissuances of this permit has occurred. The above-mentioned agencies are also authorized to enter the Property when necessary for abatement of a public nuisance or correction of a violation of the Storm Water Management Program, guideline, criteria or other written direction. Whenever possible, the County, Regional Board and the NCMAD shall provide reasonable notice to Owner before entering the Property.

5. <u>Failure to Maintain</u>: In the event the Owner, its successors or assigns, fails to maintain and repair the BMPs as required by this Agreement, after thirty (30) days written notice thereof, County may and is hereby authorized to cause, at the Owner's sole cost and expense, any and all maintenance to the BMPs necessary under the requirements specified in Exhibit "D". In addition to the actual costs of such maintenance, the Owner shall reimburse County for an additional fifteen percent (15%) thereof to cover costs of administration. All such actual and administrative costs shall accrue interest from the date incurred by County at the maximum rate authorized by law until paid in full. The notice provided herein shall be effective on the date sent by U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid to the record owner of the Property as shown on the most recent tax roll. If such costs are not paid within the time frame established by County, the unpaid costs shall be assessed against the Property. Said assessment shall be a lien against the Property and may be collected as ordinary taxes by County. The actions described in this section are in addition to and not in lieu of any and all legal remedies as provided by law, available to County as a result of Owner's failure to maintain the BMPs.

6. <u>Retention of Records:</u> For a time period of the most recent three (3) years, Owner shall maintain written documentation verifying any and all material(s) removed from the subject BMP, including identifying the material(s) removed, quantity, and manner and place of disposal thereof. Such documentation is subject to review by County from time to time upon request.

7. <u>Pesticide and Herbicide Use</u>: Application of any pesticides or herbicides by Owner to meet the obligations of this Agreement shall be minimal and in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and County laws and regulations and in accordance with any restrictions imposed upon such use or application by Napa County.

8. <u>Security:</u> The County may require the Owner, its successors or assigns, from time to time, to post security in a form, amount, and for a time period satisfactory to County to guarantee performance of the obligations stated herein. Should the Owner, its successors or assigns, fail to perform the obligations under this Agreement, the County may, in the case of a cash bond, act for the Owner, its successors and assigns, using the proceeds from such cash bond, or in the case of a surety bond, require the surety to perform the obligations of this Agreement.

9. <u>Indemnification:</u> The Owner, its successors or assigns, shall indemnify, release, hold harmless, and defend the County from and against any and all claims, demands, suits, liabilities, fines, losses, damages, fines, and payments, including reasonable attorney's fees, claimed or made against the County that are alleged or proven to result or arise from the design, construction, presence, existence, location, operation, or maintenance of the Storm Water Source Controls, Treatment Measures and Baseline Hydromodification Management Measures, covered under the Phase II General Permit, by Owner or County but excluding claims adjudicated to be caused by the sole negligence or willful misconduct of County.

10. <u>Recordation</u>: This Agreement shall be recorded in the Office of the Recorder, Napa County, California, at the expense of the Owner, and shall constitute notice of the obligations herein set forth, which shall run with the land and shall be binding upon all of the successors and assigns in title to the Property. The Owner agrees that whenever the Property is sold, conveyed or otherwise transferred, the Property shall be subject to this Agreement, which shall apply to and bind all present and subsequent owners of the Property or part thereof.

11. <u>Notices:</u> All notices and other communications required or permitted to be given under this Agreement, including any notice of change of address, shall be in writing and given by personal delivery, or deposited with the United States Postal Service, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties intended to be notified. Notice shall be deemed given as of the date of personal delivery, or if mailed, upon the date of deposit with the United States Postal Services. Notice shall be given as follows, except that notice also may be given to the Owner at the address listed herein of this Agreement and to its successors or assigns, at the address(es) shown on the records for the Property maintained by the Assessor, Napa County, California:

TO COUNTY:	Director of Planning, Building and Environmental Services 1195 Third Street, Room 210 Napa, CA 94559
TO OWNER:	Ellman Family Winery, LLC c/o Lance Ellman 2830 NE 29th Street Ft. Lauderdale, FL 33306

12. <u>Maintenance Responsibility:</u> This Agreement shall serve as the signed statement by the Owner accepting responsibility for compliance with the operation and maintenance of BMPs as set forth in this Agreement until the responsibility is legally transferred to another entity. Before the Property is legally transferred to another entity, the Owner shall at a minimum provide to the County at least one of the following:

A. A signed statement from the project proponent accepting responsibility for the operation and maintenance (O&M) of structural control measure(s) until such responsibility is legally transferred to another entity; or

B. Written conditions in the sales or lease agreements or deed for the Project requiring the buyer or lessee to assume responsibility for the O&M of the installed source control(s), treatment system(s), and hydromodification control(s) (if any) until such responsibility is legally transferred to another entity; or

C. Written text in project deeds, or conditions, covenants and restrictions ("CC&Rs") for multi-unit residential projects that require the homeowners' association or, if there is no association, each individual owner to assume responsibility for the O&M of the installed source control(s), treatment system(s), hydromodification control(s) (if any) until such responsibility is legally transferred to another entity; or

D. Any other legally enforceable agreement or mechanism, satisfactory to Napa County Counsel, such as recordation in the property deed, that assigns the O&M responsibility for the installed source control(s), treatment system(s), hydromodification control(s) (if any) to the project owner(s) or the County.

#### 13. <u>General Provisions</u>:

A. <u>Headings</u>. The heading titles for each paragraph of this Agreement are included only as a guide to the contents and are not to be considered as controlling, enlarging, or restricting the interpretation of the Agreement.

B. <u>Severability</u>. If any term of this Agreement (including any phrase, provision, covenant, or condition) is held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid or unenforceable, the Agreement shall be construed as not containing that term, and the remainder of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect; provided, however, this paragraph shall not be applied to the extent that it would result in a frustration of the parties' intent under this Agreement.

C. <u>Governing Law, Jurisdiction, and Venue</u>. The interpretation, validity, and enforcement of this Agreement shall be governed and interpreted in accordance with the laws of the State of California. Any suit, claim, or legal proceeding of any kind related to this Agreement shall be filed and heard in a court of competent jurisdiction in the County of Napa.

D. <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In the event any legal action is commenced to enforce or interpret this Agreement, the prevailing party is entitled to reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and expenses incurred, whether or not such action proceeds to judgment.

E. <u>Modifications and Rescission</u>. This Agreement may not be modified orally or in any manner other than by an instrument in writing executed by the County and Owner at the time of modification or rescission.

F. <u>Waivers</u>. Waiver of a breach or default under this Agreement shall not constitute a continuing waiver or a waiver of a subsequent breach of the same or any other provision of this Agreement.

G. <u>Time</u>. Time is of the essence in carrying out the duties hereunder.

H. <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement, including all documents incorporated herein by reference, comprises the entire integrated understanding between the parties concerning the services described herein. This Agreement supersedes all prior negotiations, agreements, and understandings regarding this matter, whether written or oral. The documents incorporated by reference into this Agreement are complementary; what is called for in one is binding as if called for in all.

I. <u>Each Parties' Role in Drafting the Agreement</u>. Each party to this Agreement has had an opportunity to review the Agreement, confer with legal counsel regarding the meaning of the Agreement, and negotiate revisions to the Agreement. Accordingly, neither party shall rely upon Civil Code Section 1654 in order to interpret any uncertainty in the meaning of the Agreement.

J. <u>Signatures</u>. The individuals executing this Agreement represent and warrant that they have the right, power, legal capacity, and authority to enter into and to execute this Agreement on behalf of the respective legal entities of the Owner and the County.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have duly executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

NAPA COUNTY,	DECLARANT
A political subdivision of the State of California	
David Marriaga Director	[Drinted Name 9, Title]
Planning Building and Environmental	
Services Department	
	[Owner Signature]
"COUNTY"	"OWNER"
"COUNTY" APPROVED AS TO FORM	"OWNER"
"COUNTY" APPROVED AS TO FORM Office of County Counsel	"OWNER"
"COUNTY" APPROVED AS TO FORM Office of County Counsel	"OWNER"
"COUNTY" APPROVED AS TO FORM Office of County Counsel	"OWNER"
"COUNTY"     APPROVED AS TO FORM     Office of County Counsel	"OWNER"
"COUNTY"         APPROVED AS TO FORM         Office of County Counsel         By:	"OWNER"
"COUNTY"         APPROVED AS TO FORM         Office of County Counsel         By:         Deputy County Counsel	"OWNER"
"COUNTY"         APPROVED AS TO FORM         Office of County Counsel         By:	"OWNER"

### NEW ACKNOWLEDGMENT EFFECTIVE 01/01/2015

Civil Code 1189(a)

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California County of \_\_\_\_\_

On \_\_\_\_\_\_before me, (here insert the name and title of officer), personally appeared \_\_\_\_\_\_, who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument. I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct. Witness my hand and official seal.

Notary's Signature \_\_\_\_\_ [Seal]

### NEW JURAT LANGUAGE EFFECTIVE 01/01/2015

Government Code 8202(a)

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California County of

Subscribed and sworn to (or affirmed) before me on this \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_, by \_\_\_\_\_, proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.

Notary's Signature [Seal]

## Exhibit A

Legal Description of Property

2006 Silverada	Tal						FASTWeb
Siverado Napa CA 94558	3				Prop	perty	Profile
Property Inform	nation						
Owner(s) Property	Ellman Family Estat 3286 Silverado Trl	te Llc	Parcel # Map Coord	039-	610-001-0	000	
	Napa, CA 94558		Census Tract	t 2014	401		
Mailing Addr	2830 NE 29th St		County	Nap	а		
	Fort Lauderdale , Fl	L 33306	Owner Phone	e			
Legal	LOT 8 FELIZ RANC	CH SUB 1R/M37.					-
Lot Number	8		Tract Numbe	r			
Block			Subdivision	Feliz	Ranch		
Characteristics	5						
Use Zoning Bedrooms #Rooms Pool/Spa Stories Flood Basement Area	Vineyard 1 3 Y	Year Built Lot Size Bathrooms Quality Air Improvements Gross Area	1900 13.52 / 588931 1.00 Fair 1444	Sq. Fe # of u Firepl Heatir Style Parkir Garag	eet nits ace ng ng e Area	1444	
Attributes Other							
Property Sale	Information						
Sale Date	05/27/2015 \$5,200,000,00	\$/Sq. Ft. 1st Loan	\$3,601.11	2no Prid	l Mtg. or Sale A	mt \$450	000 00
Doc No.	000000013872	Loan Type		Pri	or Sale D	t. 04/23/	/1997
Doc Type	Grant Deed	Xfer Date	06/02/2015	Pri	or Doc No	<b>b.</b> 00000	09364
Seller	Knubis Jess & Gabriela	Lender		Prie	or Doc Ty	pe	
*\$/Sq. Ft. is a	calculation of Sales	Price divided by	y Sq. Feet				
Tax Information	n						
Imp Value	\$456,433.00		Exemption				
Land Value	\$555,600.00		Tax Year/A	r <b>ea</b> 20	014/07207	76	
Total Value	\$1,012,033.00		Tax Value	\$	1,012,033	.00	
	A 4 4 A 7 A A A				-0/		

 Tax Amount
 \$11,079.60
 Improved
 45%

 Information compiled from various sources and is deemed reliable but not guaranteed.

## Exhibit B

List of and Legible Reduced-Scale Copy of the Site Plan Showing Location and Type of Source Control(s), Treatment Control(s) and Hydromodification Control(s) Best Management Practices (BMPs)

-Ellman Family Winery Stormwater Control Plan

## Exhibit C

Maintenance Plan for Post Construction Storm Water Source Control(s), Treatment Control(s), and Hydromodification Control(s) Best Management Practices

### I. Owner's General Responsibilities:

It shall be the responsibility of the Owner to maintain the Treatment Control(s), Hydromodification Control(s), and designated Source Control(s) (if any) Best Management Practices ("BMPs") identified in Exhibit "B" and to perform the following non-structural Best Management Practices:

**A. Inlet Labels.** Provide concrete stamping, or equivalent, of all stormwater conveyance system inlets and catch basins within the project area with prohibitive language (e.g. "No Dumping-Drains to XXXX River/Creek/Lake"). Signage shall identify the receiving water the drain discharges to and including a message in Spanish.

**B. Private Street and Parking Lot Sweeping.** A sweeping program shall be implemented that at a minimum provides for sweeping immediately prior to October 15<sup>th</sup>, and once monthly. Sweep, collect, and dispose of debris and trash in a proper container. Do not sweep debris onto County streets or into catch basins. Use dry methods of sweeping and vacuuming to clean streets rather than hosing, pressure washing, or steam cleaning. If water is used for cleaning, collect wash waster and dispose of as a hazardous waste or place on site where it can evaporate. Perform street cleaning during dry weather if possible. All oil captured shall be removed and disposed of in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and County laws and regulations. Catch basins shall be cleaned every six (6) to twelve (12) months, or whenever the sump is half full.

**C. Irrigation.** Efficient irrigation, appropriate landscape design, and proper maintenance shall be implemented to reduce excess irrigation runoff, promote surface filtration, and minimize use of fertilizers, herbicides, and pesticides.

#### II. General Performance and Maintenance Criteria:

The Owner shall use its best efforts to diligently and adequately maintain all source control(s), treatment control(s), and hydromodification control(s) (if any) BMPs in perpetuity in such a manner assuming peak performance at all times and shall make such modifications, subject to Napa County ("County") prior approval, as may be necessary for the source control(s), treatment control(s), and hydromodification control(s) (if any) BMPs to continue to operate as designed and approved, to accomplish their intended purpose and in compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and County laws and regulations, including, but not limited to, the Napa County Storm Water Management Program, a copy of which is on file at the County's Department of Planning, Building and Environmental Services' Engineering Division (collectively "Regulations"), as the same may be amended, revised, or replaced from time to time. Adequate maintenance is herein defined as maintenance that is necessary or convenient to ensure that the drainage improvements continue to operate as originally designed and approved and to accomplish their intended purpose. Neither the Owner nor any successors or assigns shall destroy, modify, or remove any source control(s), treatment control(s), and hydromodification control(s) (if any) in any manner that would lessen its effectiveness. The Owner shall be responsible for the costs incurred in operating, maintaining, repairing, and replacing the source control(s), treatment control(s), and hydromodification control(s) (if any) and performing all non-structural BMPs identified above.

#### III. Specific Requirements:

In addition to the Owner's general responsibilities set forth above, the Owner shall implement an Operations and Maintenance Program for the stormwater treatment and baseline hydromodification management structural control measures defined herein.

**A. Infiltration Systems Maintenance.** Those Treatment Control BMPs where water enters the ground and moves downward through the unsaturated soil zone. Infiltration Treatment Control BMPs include, but is not limited to: Infiltration trench, infiltration basin, and retention/irrigation systems. Regular maintenance is critical to the successful operation of infiltration systems. Recommended operations and maintenance guidelines shall specifically include the following:

**1. Infiltration.** Observe drain time for the design storm after completion or modification of the facility to confirm that the desired drain time has been obtained.

**2. Inspection.** Schedule semiannual inspections for the beginning and end of the wet season, October 15<sup>th</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>, to identify potential problems such as erosion of side slopes and inverts, standing water, trash and debris and sediment accumulation.

**3. Trash/Debris Management.** Remove accumulated trash and debris in the infiltration facility at the start and end of the wet season.

**4. Vegetation Management.** Trim vegetation at the beginning and end of the wet season to prevent establishment of woody vegetation and for aesthetic and vector reasons.

**5. Sediment Management.** Remove accumulated sediment and re-grade when the accumulated sediment volume exceeds 10% of the infiltration facility.

6. **Erosion Control.** If erosion is occurring, re-vegetate immediately and stabilize with erosion control mulch or mat until vegetation cover is established.

7. Soil Development. To avoid reversing soil development, scarification or other disturbance should only be performed when there are actual signs of clogging, rather than on a routine basis. Always remove deposited sediment before scarification, and use a hand guided rotary tiller, if possible, or a disc harrow pulled by a very light tractor.

8. Vector Control. The Owner shall retain responsibility to ensure that facilities do not harbor vectors or otherwise create a nuisance, and shall immediately abate any nuisance caused by the facility. A licensed individual or contractor shall be engaged to apply vector pesticide and/or larvicide as necessary. Coordination with the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District is encouraged.

**9. Safety.** Adopt and enforce a confined space entry plan, if applicable, which meets all requirements of federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Maintenance personnel shall use confined space entry procedures when applicable. The facility shall not be entered, except by a person properly trained, equipped, and qualified to enter a confined space, as identified by local Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations. All persons should watch for and avoid contact with overhead power lines when inspecting the facility with long sampling devices.

**10. Access.** Maintain ingress/egress routes in a manner that allows efficient inspection and maintenance to the facility.

**B. Retention/Detention System Maintenance.** Those treatment control BMPs where the stormwater is captured and retain runoff temporarily and release it to receiving water at predevelopment flow rates or released through evapotranspire runoff to the atmosphere. Retention/Detention treatment control BMPs include, but are not limited to: wet ponds, constructed wetlands, and detention basins. Recommended operations and maintenance guidelines shall specifically include the following:

**1. Inspection.** Schedule semiannual inspections for the beginning and end of the wet season, October 15<sup>th</sup> through April 1<sup>st</sup>, to identify potential problems such as erosion of side slopes and invert, standing water, trash and debris and sediment accumulation.

**2. Trash/Debris Management.** Remove accumulated trash and debris in the retention/detention facility and around stormwater conveyance systems during the semiannual inspections. The frequency of this activity may be altered to meet specific site conditions.

**3. Vegetation Management.** Trim vegetation at the beginning and end of the wet season to prevent establishment of woody vegetation and for aesthetic and vector reasons.

**4. Sediment Management.** Remove accumulated sediment and re-grade about every 5-7 years or when the accumulated sediment volume exceeds 10% of the retention/detention facility volume. Inspect the basin each year for accumulated sediment volume.

**5. Vector Control.** The Owner shall retain responsibility to ensure that facilities do not harbor vectors or otherwise create a nuisance, and shall immediately abate any nuisance caused by the facility. A licensed individual or contractor shall be engaged to apply vector pesticide and/or larvicide as necessary. Coordination with the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District is encouraged.

6. Safety. Adopt and enforce a confined space entry plan, if applicable, which meets all requirements of federal, state, and local laws and regulations. Maintenance personnel shall use confine space entry procedures when applicable. The facility shall not be entered, except by a person properly trained, equipped, and qualified to enter a confined space, as identified by local Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulations. All persons should watch for and avoid contact with overhead power lines when inspecting the facility with long sampling devices.

**7. Access.** Maintain ingress/egress routes, including emergent and perimeter shoreline vegetation to facilitate vector surveillance and control activities and efficient inspection and maintenance to the facility.

**C. Biofiltration System Maintenance.** Those treatment control BMPs where stormwater is designed to transport shallow depths of runoff slowly over vegetation. Biofiltration treatment control BMPs include, but are not limited to: Vegetated swales, vegetated buffer strips, and bioretention. Recommended operations and maintenance guidelines shall specifically include the following:

1. **Inspection.** Schedule a minimum of biannual inspections for erosion, damage to vegetation, and sediment and debris accumulation preferably at the end of the wet season to schedule summer maintenance and before major fall runoff to be sure the biofiltration facility is ready for winter. However, additional inspection after periods of heavy runoff is desirable.

**2.** Vegetation Management. Grass height and mowing frequency may not have a large impact on pollutant removal. Consequently, mowing may only be necessary once or twice a year for safety or aesthetics or to suppress weeds and woody vegetation.

**3. Trash/Debris Management.** The need for litter removal is determined through periodic inspections, but litter should always be removed prior to mowing.

**4. Sediment Management.** Sediment accumulating near culverts and in channels should be removed when it builds up to 3 inches at any spot, or cover vegetation.

5. Vector Control. The Owner shall retain responsibility to ensure that facilities do not harbor vectors or otherwise create a nuisance, and shall immediately abate any nuisance caused by the facility. A licensed individual or contractor shall be engaged to apply vector pesticide and/or larvicide as necessary. Coordination with the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District is encouraged.

**D. Filtration System Maintenance.** Those Treatment Control BMPs where stormwater is designed to be filtered through a multi-chambered system which include a pretreatment settling basin and a filter bed filled with sand or other absorptive filtering media. Filtration treatment control BMPs include, but are

not limited to any media filtering device. Recommended operations and maintenance guidelines shall specifically include the following:

**1. Inspection.** Schedule semiannual inspections for standing water, sediment, trash and debris, and to identify potential problems.

**2. Trash/Debris Management.** Remove accumulated trash and debris in the sedimentation basin, from the riser pipe, and the filter bed during routine inspections.

**3. Filter Performance.** Inspect the facility once during the wet season after a large rain event to determine whether the facility is draining completely within 72 hours.

**4. Sediment Management.** Remove accumulated sediment in the sedimentation basin every 5-7 years or when the accumulated sediment volume exceeds 10% of the retention/detention facility volume.

5. Vector Control. The Owner shall retain responsibility to ensure that facilities do not harbor vectors or otherwise create a nuisance, and shall immediately abate any nuisance caused by the facility. A licensed individual or contractor shall be engaged to apply vector pesticide and/or larvicide as necessary. Coordination with the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District is encouraged.

6. **Safety.** Maintenance of filtration BMPs involves handling of potentially hazardous material (oil and/or oil sorbent material), which requires special disposal. Additionally, maintenance may involve entry into the filtration BMP underground. Therefore the maintenance operator must be trained in handling and disposal of hazardous waste, and must also be certified for confined space entry if the maintenance will require entry into the filtration BMP. Therefore it is recommended that private BMP owners obtain a maintenance contract with a qualified contractor to provide inspection and maintenance.

**E.** Vault Separator Maintenance. With respect to any vault separator shown on Exhibit B, if applicable, the Owner shall inspect and maintain the vault separator as follows:

**1. Inspection.** Schedule two inspections during the first wet season of operation for sediment build-up, accumulated petroleum products, and floating debris. Following the first wet season schedule annual inspections for accumulated sediment, debris and petroleum products.

2. Constituent Management. Vault separator units should be inspected every six months and cleaned when the sediment level reaches 15% of total storage volume and following a spill event. Remove accumulated material with an educator truck on an annual basis or more frequent as needed. It may be necessary to remove and dispose the floatables separately due to the presence of petroleum products.

2. Safety. Do not enter the Vault separator treatment chamber unless properly trained, equipped and qualified to enter a confined space as identified by local OSHA. Watch for and avoid contact with overhead power lines when inspecting the unit with long sampling devices (e.g. Sludge Judge) Vault separator is designed so inspection of the unit can be preformed from grade (i.e. inspect for obstructions, etc.) Qualified personal may enter the upper by-pass chamber and use the insert as a platform to remove obstructions, sewer flushes, or camera surveys. Be aware that the insert may be slippery. Be aware that some units do not have a safety grate over the outlet riser pipe.

**3. Vector Control.** The Owner shall perform all actions necessary to inhibit the creation of a mosquito nuisance and shall mitigate any mosquito nuisance that develops due to standing water in the vault separator. A licensed individual or contractor shall be engaged to apply a mosquito pesticide and/or larvicide as necessary. Coordination with the Napa County Mosquito Abatement District is encouraged.

#### IV. General Inspection/Reporting Requirements:

**A. Required Actions.** In the event one or more of the treatment control(s) and/or hydromodification control(s) BMPs do not meet Performance and Maintenance Criteria described herein, the Owner shall take such corrective actions either identified in this Maintenance Plan, or as may be necessary or convenient, to ensure that they function as required. After taking the corrective action(s), the Owner shall conduct a follow up inspection to evaluate the action(s) taken. Photographs and video images shall be made of the condition of the Drainage Improvements and any corrective actions taken.

**B. Inspection Report.** A report of the inspection in the form of Exhibit D, as the same may be amended from time to time by the County, shall be submitted within fifteen (15) days after required inspections to:

Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services Department Engineering Division 1195 Third Street, Room 210 Napa, CA 94559

#### V. Modifications:

Owner, at its sole expense, shall make such modifications to this Maintenance Plan as may be determined by the County to be reasonably necessary to ensure that the treatment control(s) and hydromodification control(s) (if any) continue to operate in accordance with the General Performance and Maintenance Criteria.

## Exhibit D

Annual Report Template Form for Post-Construction Storm Water Source Control(s), Treatment Control(s), and Hydromodification Control(s) Best Management Practices (BMPs)

### **Inspection Report -- BMPs**

Napa County Planning, Building and Environmental Services Department Engineering Division 1195 Third Street, Room 210 Napa, CA 94559

This inspection report is designed to document the inspection and maintenance conducted for the identified BMPs subject to the Maintenance for Post-Construction Storm Water Best Management Practices Agreement dated \_\_\_\_\_20 between the County and the Owner during the annual reporting period indicated below. Please attach additional pages if necessary to complete any item identified below.

#### 1. Property Information:

Property Address or APN: \_\_\_\_\_

Current Property Owner:

#### 2. Contact Information:

Name of person to contact regarding this report:

Phone number and email address of contact person:

Address to which correspondence regarding this report should be directed:

#### 3. Reporting Period:

This report documents the inspection and maintenance of the identified treatment measures during the time period from \_\_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

#### 4. **BMP** Information:

The following BMPs are located on the property identified above and are subject to the Maintenance Agreement:

ldentifying Number of BMP	Type of BMP	Location of BMP on the Property
BRF-A	Bioretention Facility	See Stormwater Control Plan
BRF-B	Bioretention Facility	See Stormwater Control Plan

#### A. <u>Underground Detention ("Facility")</u>

MH Location	Depth of Sediment

Total amount of accumulated sediment removed from the underground detention system during the reporting period: \_\_\_\_\_\_ cubic yards.

The sediment was removed and disposed as follows:

Estimated storage capacity at time of inspection:

Describe condition of Underground Detention Inlets/Outlets and Overflow Structures:

#### B. Aboveground Detention

Depth of sediment in pond: \_\_\_\_\_

Total amount of cubic yards of accumulated sediment removed from the above ground detention system during the reporting period:

The sediment was removed and disposed as follows:

Was water standing in pond? YES NO If yes, describe what was done to eliminate standing water:
Are slopes stable? YES NO If no, describe measures taken to stabilize slopes:
What is height of vegetation:       pond bottom:       pond sides         C.       Vegetated Swale
Height of vegetation: (note: cuttings should be collected and disposed of as yard waste) Was vegetation damaged? YES NO If yes, describe damage and measures taken to repair damage:
Was swale clear of trash? YES NO Was sediment present at a depth of over 3"? YES NO If yes, identify volume of sediment removed Describe method of removal and identify disposal location:
Was there standing water in the swale? YES NO If yes, describe measures taken to eliminate standing water:

### D. <u>Bio-Retention</u>

Were the trees and shrubs healthy?YESNO If no, what actions were taken to treat and/or replace vegetation:					
Was erosion present?       YES       NO       if yes, describe actions taken to repair:					
Was there standing water in the bio-retention facility? YES NO If yes, describe measures taken to eliminate standing water:					
Was the mulch in good condition? YES NO If no, provide date the mulch was replaced: and volume of new mulch YES NO If no, provide date the mulch was replaced: Was sediment/debris built up at the inflow point or on top of the bio-retention facility? YES NO If yes, identify volume of sediment removed: Describe method of removal and identify disposal location:					
Was the under drain flowing freely? YES NO If no, describe measures taken to clear drain.					
What was the pH of the soil? if pH was not in the range of 5.5 and 6.5, identify actions taken to bring the soil pH within that range:					

### E. <u>Wet Vault</u>

MH Location	Depth of Sediment	

Total amount of accumulated sediment removed from the underground detention system during the reporting period: \_\_\_\_\_\_ cubic yards.

The sediment was removed and disposed as follows:

Describe measures taken to control mosquito breeding:

Describe condition of hydrocarbon absorbing mats:

\_\_\_\_\_

Date mats were replaced:					
Was there contamination of liquid in permanent wet vault? YES NO					
Date liquid was pumped out:					
F. <u>Other BMPs</u>					
Describe:					
Condition:					
Maintenance performed:					

#### 5. Photos/Video of BMPs:

Photo ID #	Date of Photo	Photo Taken by:	Remarks

#### 6. Inspector Information:

Inspector Name and Title	Inspector's Employer and Address

#### 7. Statement of BMP Condition:

Based on the inspections as documented above, is each BMP identified in this report located where required, maintained as required by the Maintenance Plan and does each BMP function as originally designed and approved and to accomplish its intended purpose? (Check yes or no.)

	YES	_ NO

If "No", describe each problem, proposed solution and schedule of correction:

#### 8. Certification:

I hereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that the information presented in this report and attachments is true and complete:

(Signature of Property Owner or Other Responsible Party)

(Date)

(Type or Print Name)

(Company Name)

(Address)

Phone Number: \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_