# **Personal Cannabis Cultivation**

A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service

C07

Discussion Napa County Planning Commission - 3/7/18

## Today's Item



- Discussion of potential ordinance allowing outdoor cultivation of cannabis for personal use
  - Focused solely on outdoor personal cultivation, not commercial activity



A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service

# Legal Background

- Proposition 64 passed in 2016
  - Legalized personal possession, use and cultivation of small amounts of cannabis and establishes legal framework for commercial cannabis businesses
- State law allows residents to grow up to 6 cannabis plants for personal use
  - Counties can "reasonably regulate" indoor cultivation of 6 plants
  - Counties have broad authority to regulate or prohibit outdoor cultivation of 6 plants

#### **Current County Ordinances**



- Napa County Code Chapter 8.10 adopted prior Proposition 64
  - Regulations for indoor cultivation applicable to personal use
- Ordinance 1425 and 1426 Temporary moratoriums
  - Outdoor cultivation for personal use prohibited until June 2018
  - Commercial cannabis prohibited until December 2018
  - Can be extended until December 2019 at Board's discretion

#### **Common Concerns**

- Effect on neighbors
  - Sensory nuisances odor, visibility, etc.
- Public safety
  - Attractive nuisance to children
  - Theft
- Environmental issues
  - Use of pesticides/fertilizers
  - Water and electrical usage
- Ancillary activities
  - Drying, curing, and manufacturing



A Tradition of Stewardship A Commitment to Service

#### **Board Goals**



- Public Safety and Environmental Protection
- Access for medical patients
- Consistency with neighboring jurisdictions

## **Three Primary Policy Questions**



- Should the County regulate outdoor cultivation within a parcel?
  - E.g., fencing, number of plants, growth location, distance from property line
- Should the County regulate outdoor cultivation based on external factors?
  - E.g., proximity to schools or other uses
- Should regulations be different depending on location or other characteristics?
  - E.g., different rules depending on zoning



- City of Napa
  - All 6 plants outdoors in locked space
  - Must not be a public nuisance
- City of Santa Rosa
  - 2 plants outdoors in secure enclosure
  - No visibility; prohibited adjacent to school
  - Only residential zone
- City of Calistoga
  - 2 plants outdoors behind 6-foot fence
  - No visibility; Prohibited within 300 feet of certain uses
  - Only in specified zones



#### **Other County Ordinances**



- Sonoma County
  - No visibility; outdoor cultivation prohibited on multi-family parcels
- Yolo County
  - Limited to 100 sq.ft. plot; prohibited within 1,000 feet of certain uses; fence; prohibited within 75 ft. of neighboring house
- Solano County (proposed)
  - 10 ft. from property line; fence

# Other County Ordinances (con't)



- Mendocino County
  - Prohibited within 100 ft. of neighboring structure and 50 ft. of property line; fence
- Monterey County
  - Limited to 100 sq.ft. and 10 ft. high; fence; prohibited within 30 ft. of property line
- Shasta County
  - Outdoor prohibited; only in greenhouse or accessory structure

#### Next Steps Following Discussion



- Staff will draft recommended ordinance based on direction and feedback
  - Draft ordinance will be vetted with County stakeholders
  - Will be brought back to Planning
    Commission for recommendation to
    Board and/or further review

