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## I. INTRODUCTION

This report has been prepared at the request of the LMR Rutherford Estate Winery (LMR) applicant to determine if the proposed winery along the west side of State Route 29-128 (SR 29) will result in any significant circulation system impacts at the project entrance or along the state highway. Analysis has been provided for harvest Friday and Saturday PM peak hour traffic conditions for existing, year 2015 (first year of full project production) and year 2030 (general plan buildout) horizons.

## II. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

## A. "WITHOUT PROJECT" OPERATING CONDITIONS

1. SR 29 at the project site now has similar two-way traffic volumes during the Saturday PM peak hour as during the Friday peak traffic hour (about 1,624 two-way vehicles versus 1,619 two-way vehicles in early December). Volumes during harvest are projected to be about 13 percent higher based upon Caltrans seasonal traffic count data.
2. The SR 29 two-lane highway now experiences unacceptable level of service (LOS E) in both directions during harvest Friday and Saturday peak traffic conditions.
3. By 2015, SR 29 will continue to experience unacceptable level of service (LOS E) in both directions during both the harvest Friday and Saturday PM peak traffic hours.
4. By 2030, expected operation of SR 29 will continue at unacceptable levels of service (LOS E) in both directions during both harvest Friday and Saturday PM peak traffic hours.

## B. PROJECT IMPACTS

1. The proposed project will eliminate employee and visitor traffic along SR 29 during peak weekday and weekend traffic periods to the maximum extent possible utilizing employee shift change hours outside peak commute periods and ending weekday visitor by appointment activities before 4:00 PM.
2. The project will result in 0 inbound and 0 outbound trips during the harvest Friday peak traffic hour along SR 29, with 0 inbound and about 5 outbound trips during the Saturday afternoon peak traffic hour. Project trips during the Saturday PM peak hour will be associated with visitors by appointment, and possibly could be from 0 to 5 vehicles either entering or leaving the site depending upon the specific appointment time.
3. Eighty-eight percent of all grapes will be grown on-site and transported to the Winery on internal roadways and not on public streets. Currently, 100 percent of all grapes grown on the project site are transported via SR 29 and Mee Lane for processing at a winery in St. Helena. These trips will be eliminated. In addition, grapes now being grown off site to the south of the project will access the new winery via SR 29 and not continue to the existing winery operation in St. Helena.
4. Project traffic during harvest will not produce any significant level of service impacts along SR 29 during either Friday or Saturday afternoon peak traffic conditions for the near term (year 2015) or long term (year 2030) analysis horizons.
5. Sight lines will be adequate at the project's proposed driveway connection to SR 29, and the continuous two-way left turn lane at the project entrance will provide the maximum safety for vehicles turning left into the site.

## C. CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The project will result in no significant off-site circulation system operational impacts nor any sight line impacts at the proposed project driveway connection to SR 29. Employees will have shift change hours outside the peak traffic periods to the maximum extent possible, visitor by appointment numbers will be low, and existing grape trucks now traveling from project vineyards to St. Helena will be removed from Mee Lane and/or SR 29. A left turn lane is already provided on the SR 29 southbound approach to the project entrance and the winery driveway connection will be designed to Caltrans standards. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required for these issues.

## III. PROJECT LOCATION \& DESCRIPTION

The LMR Winery will be located on the east side of SR 29 about a half mile north of the Rutherford Road intersection (see Figure 1). A continuous two-way left turn lane is already provided on the southbound SR 29 approach to the project entrance, which will be opposite the entrance now serving the Grgich Hills Winery.

The proposed LMR Winery will have the following yearly production and visitor/special event levels.

- 100,000 gallons per year production.
- Bottling on-site.
- 88 percent of the grapes will be grown on-site, with 18 percent transported to the site (arriving from the south on SR 29).
- Tours and tasting by appointment only - 7 days per week from 11:00 AM to 3:45 PM on weekdays and from 11:00 AM to 4:30 PM on weekends. Maximum 50 visitors/day on weekdays, Saturdays, and Sundays.
- Food and wine pairing events - 24 times per year, maximum 35 visitors per event (between 11:00 AM and 2:00 PM or 6:30 and 10:00 PM on weekends).
- Marketing events - 6 per year, maximum 60 visitors per event (between 6:30 and 10:00 PM on weekends).
- Harvest Party - 2 times per year, maximum 100 visitors per event (between 10:00 AM and 8:00 PM).


## IV. EXISTING CIRCULATION SYSTEM OPERATION

## A. ANALYSIS LOCATIONS

The following locations have been evaluated in this study.

- SR 29/Project Entrance
- SR 29 in project vicinity

Figure 2 presents approach geometrics and control at the project access intersection.

## B. VOLUMES

Friday 3:00 to 6:00 PM, Saturday 1:00 to 6:00 PM and Sunday 1:00 to 6:00 PM turn movement counts were conducted by Crane Transportation Group (CTG) in early December 2013 at the SR 29/Project Entrance/Grgich Hills Winery access intersection. The peak traffic hours were 4:00-5:00 PM on Friday, 3:30-4:30 PM on Saturday and 4:30-5:30 PM on Sunday. Resultant December 2013 peak hour counts are presented in Figure 3. Overall, two-way volumes along SR 29 at the project entrance were about the same during the Friday and Saturday PM peak hours (about 1,624 vehicles per hour [vph] on Saturday versus 1,619 vph on Friday). The Sunday SR 29 PM peak count (at 1,229 vehicles) was about 2.5 percent less than the Saturday PM count. Therefore, the higher time period, Saturday afternoon, was evaluated for weekend conditions. Based upon seasonal traffic count information from Caltrans and other Napa County jurisdictions, early December counts should be increased by about 13 percent to reflect peak harvest season volumes. Resultant 2013 harvest Friday and Saturday PM peak hour volumes are presented in Figure 4.

## C. ROADWAYS

SR 29 will provide the only access to the project site winery for visitors and employees. In the project vicinity it has two well-paved 12 -foot travel lanes and 8 -foot paved shoulders. A continuous turn lane is provided that now serves the Grgich Hills Winery entrance on the west side of the highway that will also serve the proposed LMR entrance. The posted speed limit is 50 miles per hour and the roadway is level and straight along the site frontage.

## D. SR 29 OPERATION

## 1. Analysis Methodology

Operating conditions of two-lane rural highways are evaluated based upon methodology contained in the year 2010 Highway Capacity Manual. Inputs include peak hour volumes, speeds, lane and shoulder widths, grades and curvature as well as percent trucks, buses and RVs. Results are presented by direction as a level of service, volume-to-capacity ratio, average travel speed and percent time spent following (i.e. the percent time a driver is unable to pass a slower vehicle). For presentation purposes, level of service and speed are provided in this report.

## 2. Minimum Acceptable Operation

The Napa County General Plan (Policy CIR-16) states that the County shall seek to maintain an arterial Level of Service D or better on all County roadways except where maintaining this desired level of service would require installation of more travel lanes than shown on the Circulation Map.

## 3. Existing Harvest Operation

Table 1 shows that currently both directions of SR 29 in the project area are operating at level of service (LOS E) during both the Friday and Saturday harvest PM peak traffic hours.

## F. PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

There are no planned and funded improvements along SR 29 in the project area. ${ }^{1}$

## V. FUTURE HORIZON CIRCULATION SYSTEM OPERATION WITHOUT THE PROJECT

Project traffic impacts have been determined for near and long term horizons. The near term horizon reflects the first year that the project will be at full production. Based upon input from the project applicant, the expected first year of full production will be 2015. The long term horizon reflects the County's general plan buildout year, which is 2030. Future horizon year volumes have been determined based upon traffic modeling projections for the year 2030 from the County's General Plan Circulation Element. This document showed an approximate 70 percent growth in weekday PM peak hour traffic along SR 29 just north of Rutherford Road between the years 2000 and 2030. Projecting straight-line traffic growth for analysis purposes, this translated into about a 3.5 percent growth in SR 29 traffic from 2013 to the year 2015, and about a 29 percent growth in traffic from 2013 to 2030.

[^0]Since traffic modeling projections were available for a weekday PM peak hour only and not for a Saturday peak hour, north and southbound Saturday volumes on SR 29 were both uniformly increased by the percentages above. However, due to the greater detail available for weekday volumes which showed a higher increase in southbound versus northbound traffic on SR 29, Friday PM peak hour volumes were adjusted directionally, with the guidance that the combined two-way volume percent increases should be as listed above.

## A. YEAR 2015 WITHOUT PROJECT EVALUATION

## 1. Volumes

Year 2015 "Without Project" Friday and Saturday PM peak hour harvest volumes are presented in Figure 5.

## 2. SR 29 Operation

Table 1 shows that in 2015 during the harvest season, "Without Project" operation of SR 29 in both directions in the project area would be at level of service E (LOS E) during both the Friday and Saturday PM peak traffic hours.

## B. YEAR 2030 WITHOUT PROJECT EVALUATION

## 1. Volumes

Year 2030 "Without Project" Friday and Saturday PM peak hour harvest volumes are presented in Figure 6.

## 2. SR 29 Operation

Table 1 shows that in 2030 during the harvest season, "Without Project" operation of SR 29 in both directions in the project area would be at level of service E (LOS E) during both the Friday and Saturday PM peak traffic hours.

## VI. PROJECT IMPACTS

## A. SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

The following criteria were developed for recent traffic impact analyses in the County. These same criteria have been utilized in this study to determine the significance of impacts due to the project. An impact is considered to be significant if any of the following conditions are met.

- If SR 29 two-lane highway peak hour "Without Project" overall LOS A, B, C or D operation deteriorates to LOS E or F operation with the addition of project traffic, the impact is considered significant and would require mitigation.
- If SR 29 two-lane highway peak hour "Without Project" operation is already LOS E or F , an increase in traffic that would reduce the speed by more than 0.1 miles per hour due to the project is considered to be significant and would require mitigation.
- If sight lines at the project entrance do not meet stopping sight distance criteria as detailed in A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2011, 6th Edition, by AASHTO.


## B. TRIP GENERATION

Friday and Saturday afternoon trip generation projections were developed with the assistance of the project applicant for all components of employee, visitor and grape delivery activities at the proposed LMR Winery (see worksheets in Appendix). Results are presented on an hourly basis in Table 2 for Friday and Saturday afternoon conditions. As shown, no winery employee or visitor traffic would be expected on the local roadway network during harvest Friday PM peak hour conditions (4:00-5:00 PM). During a harvest Saturday afternoon peak traffic hour (3:304:30 PM), winery-related traffic on the local roadway system would be due to a small number of visitors leaving the winery.

Winery-related traffic expected on SR 29 during the Saturday PM peak traffic hour on SR 29 would be associated with visitors (by appointment) with a maximum of 50 visitors per day. A 10 - to 12 -person group would result in up to 5 entering or exiting vehicles at any given time. On a daily basis, there would only be 18 to 20 visitor vehicles entering and leaving the site. A portion of visitor traffic would be expected to already be on SR 29 and visiting other wineries.

Grapes being grown on project site vineyards are now shipped to a winery in St. Helena for processing via Mee Lane and SR 29. With the proposed project, all of these trips would be eliminated from Mee Lane and the state highway as they would be transported internally to the new LMR Winery. In addition, all grapes being grown off site that would come to the LMR Winery are now traveling from the south on SR 29 to the same St. Helena winery. These trips would also be eliminated from SR 29 to the north of the LMR Winery.

## C. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The minor amount of project visitor traffic during the Saturday PM peak hour was distributed to SR 29 in a pattern reflective of distribution patterns at the Grgich Hills Winery access across SR 29 from the project entrance. The Friday and Saturday project traffic increments expected on SR 29 during the times of ambient PM peak hour traffic flow are presented in Figure 7, while Friday and Saturday "With Project" PM peak hour volumes for the years 2015 and 2030 are presented in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

## D. PLANNED ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS

The LMR Winery entrance driveway connection to SR 29 will be constructed to Caltrans standards.

## E. YEAR 2015 SR 29 IMPACTS

## 1. Level of Service

Table 1 shows that project traffic would produce no impacts along SR 29 during the year 2015 Friday or Saturday PM peak traffic hours. While Friday and Saturday peak hour level of service would remain E, project traffic would not change average travel speed by more than 0.1 mile per hour at any location along SR 29.

## F. YEAR 2030 SR 29 IMPACTS

## 1. Level of Service

Table 1 shows that project traffic would produce no impacts along SR 29 during the year 2030 Friday or Saturday PM peak traffic hours. While Friday and Saturday peak hour level of service would remain E, project traffic would not change average travel speed by more than 0.1 mile per hour at any location along SR 29.

## G. SIGHT LINE ADEQUACY

Sight lines would be acceptable for drivers turning from the project driveway to SR 29. Sight lines to the north and south would be greater than 1,000 feet. Based upon observed travel speeds along SR 29 adjacent to the project site of up to 50 to 55 miles per hour, the required stopping sight distance would be at most 495 feet. ${ }^{2}$

## VII. CONCLUSIONS \& RECOMMENDATIONS

The project will result in no significant off-site circulation system operational impacts nor any sight line impacts at the proposed project driveway connection to SR 29. Employees will have shift change hours outside the peak traffic periods to the maximum extent possible, visitor by appointment numbers will be low, and existing grape trucks now traveling from project vineyards to St. Helena will be removed from Mee Lane and/or SR 29. A left turn lane is already provided on the SR 29 southbound approach to the project entrance and the winery driveway connection will be designed to Caltrans standards. Therefore, no mitigation measures are required for these issues.

This Report is intended for presentation and use in its entirety, together with all of its supporting exhibits, schedules, and appendices. Crane Transportation Group will have no liability for any use of the Report other than in its entirety, such as providing an excerpt to a third party or quoting a portion of the Report. If you provide a portion of the Report to a third party, you agree to hold CTG harmless against any liability to such third parties based upon their use of or reliance upon a less than complete version of the Report.
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## Tables

Table 1

## 2-LANE HIGHWAY LEVEL OF SERVICE

HARVEST FRIDAY PM PEAK HOUR

| LOCATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIREC- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | EXISTING | YEAR 2015 |  | YEAR 2030 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/O } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WITH } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/O } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WITH } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 29-128 just | NB | E-31.9 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-31.3 | E-31.3 | E-28.1 | E-28.1 |
| North of Project | SB | E-31.7 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-31.1 | E-31.1 | E-27.7 | E-27.7 |
| SR 29-128 just | NB | E-31.8 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-31.3 | E-31.3 | E-28.1 | E-28.1 |
| South of Project | SB | $\mathrm{E}-31.6{ }^{(1)}$ | E-31.0 | E-31.0 | E-27.7 | E-27.7 |

HARVEST SATURDAY PM PEAK HOUR

| LOCATION | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DIREC- } \\ & \text { TION } \end{aligned}$ | EXISTING | YEAR 2015 |  | YEAR 2030 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/O } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WITH } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { W/O } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WITH } \\ \text { PROJECT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| SR 29-128 just | NB | E-31.5 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-31.0 | E-30.9 | E-27.9 | E-27.9 |
| North of Project | SB | E-31.4 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-30.9 | E-30.8 | E-27.7 | E-27.7 |
| SR 29-128 just | NB | E-31.3 ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-30.8 | E-30.8 | E-27.6 | E-27.6 |
| South of Project | SB | $\mathrm{E}-31.2^{(1)}$ | E-30.7 | E-30.7 | E-27.4 | E-27.4 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level of service/average travel speed in miles per hour.
Year 2010 Highway Capacity Manual analysis methodology.
Source: Crane Transportation Group

Table 2

## PROJECT TRIP GENERATION <br> LMR RUTHERFORD WINERY

|  | TOTAL EMPL. | HOURS | TRIPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 4-5 PM** |  | 5-6 PM |  |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| Admin Employees | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 8:45 AM- } \\ \text { 3:45 PM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Production Employees - Full Time | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6: 45 \mathrm{AM}- \\ \text { 3:45 PM } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production Employees <br> - Part Time | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7: 00 \mathrm{AM}- \\ \text { 6:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tours/Tasting Employees | 2 | $\begin{gathered} 11: 00 \mathrm{AM}- \\ \text { 3:45 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grape Delivery Trucks | 1/day | $\begin{gathered} \text { Between } \\ \text { 6:00 AM- } \\ \text { Noon } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Visitors | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { total } \\ =20 \text { vehicles* } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:00 AM- } \\ 3: 45 \mathrm{PM} \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

* 2.6 visitors/vehicle average on weekdays per County data.
** Afternoon peak traffic hour.
HARVEST SATURDAY

|  | TOTAL EMPL. | HOURS | TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2-3 PM |  | 3-4 PM |  | 4-5 PM |  | 5-6 PM |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 3:30-4:30 } \\ \text { PM** } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT | IN | OUT |
| Admin Employees | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production <br> Employees - Full Time | 1 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 9:00 AM- } \\ \text { 6:00 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Production <br> Employees - Part Time | 3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { 7:00 AM- } \\ \text { 6:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tours/Tasting Employees | 2 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { 10:00 AM- } \\ \text { 4:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Grape Delivery Trucks | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Visitors | $\begin{gathered} 50 \text { total } \\ =18 \\ \text { vehicles* } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11:00 AM- } \\ \text { 4:30 PM } \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| TOTAL |  |  | 4 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |

* 2.8 visitors/vehicle average on weekdays per County data.
**Afternoon peak traffic hour.
Source: Crane Transportation Group


## Appendix

## Appendix

## LMR RUTHERFORD WINERY TRAFFIC GENERATION WORKSHEET

## Harvest Conditions

| EXISTING CONDITIONS | POST-PROJECT CONDITIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Full-time admin employees <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday $\qquad$ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: $\qquad$ <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Full-time admin employees <br> \# on Weekdays _3 <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday 8:45 AM to 3:45 PM <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ |
| B. Full-time production employees <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday __ 0 <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Full-time production employees \# on Weekdays _3_ <br> \# on Saturday _1_ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday 6:45 AM to 3:45 PM Saturday 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM Sunday 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM |
| C. Part-time production employees <br> \# on Weekdays _0 <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Part-time production employees \# on Weekdays _3 <br> \# on Saturday $\qquad$ 3 <br> \# on Sunday 3 $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: 24-hour shift schedule; 2 <br> always present <br> Weekday 7:00 AM to 6:30 AM <br> Saturday 7:00 AM to 6:30 AM <br> Sunday 7:00 AM to 6:30 AM |
| D. Tours \& tasting employees <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday _ 0 <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | ```Tours \& tasting employees \# on Weekdays _2 \# on Saturday __2_ \# on Sunday _2 Work hours: Weekday 11:00 AM to 3:45 PM Saturday 11:00 AM to 4:30 PM Sunday 11:00 AM to 4:30 PM``` |

## LMR RUTHERFORD WINERY TRAFFIC GENERATION WORKSHEET

## Harvest Conditions

| EXISTING CONDITIONS | POST-PROJECT CONDITIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| E. Grape Delivery Trucks <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> \# days of grape delivery: $\qquad$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grape Delivery Trucks } \\ & \text { \# on Weekdays _1_ } \\ & \text { \# on Saturday } \quad 0 \\ & \text { \# on Sunday _o_ } \\ & \text { Work hours: } \\ & \text { Weekday 6:00 AM to 12:00 PM } \\ & \text { Saturday to to } \\ & \text { Sunday } \\ & \text { \# days of grape delivery: __ } 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| F. Maximum tours/tasting visitors <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday __ 0 <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Maximum tours/tasting visitors \# on Weekdays ${ }^{50}$ <br> \# on Saturday _ 50__ <br> \# on Sunday - 50 <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday 11:00 AM to 3:45 PM <br> Saturday 11:00 AM to 4:30 PM <br> Sunday 11:00 AM to 4:30 PM |
| G. Other employees? <br> \# on Weekdays $0_{0}$ <br> \# on Saturday _ 0 <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Other employees? <br> \# on Weekdays _0 <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday _ 0 <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ |
| H. Other trucks? <br> \# on Weekdays 0 <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday $\qquad$ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ | Other trucks? <br> \# on Weekdays _0_ <br> \# on Saturday __0_ <br> \# on Sunday ___ <br> Work hours: <br> Weekday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Saturday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ <br> Sunday $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ |

# LMR RUTHERFORD WINERY TRAFFIC GENERATION WORKSHEET 

## Harvest Conditions

Percent of grapes imported to the site coming from the north on SR $29-0 \%$

Percent of grapes imported to the site coming from the south on SR $29-12 \%$
Percent of grapes grown on site $-88 \%$

## SPECIAL EVENTS DURING THE YEAR

| Food \& wine pairing - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# events/year: }-\frac{24}{} \\ & \text { \# people/event: }-35 \\ & \text { typical hours: 11:00 AM to 2:00 PM or 6:30 to 10:00 PM } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Harvest party - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# events/year: } \frac{2}{\text { \# people/event: } \frac{100}{\text { \# }} \text { typical hours: } 10: 00 \mathrm{AM} \text { to 8:00 PM }} \end{aligned}$ |
| Marketing events - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \# events/year: } \frac{6}{\text { \# people/event: }} \frac{60}{\text { typical hours: } 6: 30 \text { to } 10: 00 ~ P M} \\ & \text { ty } \end{aligned}$ |

Parking for large events will either be on site in the vineyards or off site utilizing shuttle buses.

## TECHNICAL APPENDIX

## Capacity Worksheets

## Midblock LOS Existing

## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1020 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1454 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 82.3 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.7 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 87.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.60

124 veh-mi
488 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$28.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)
87.1

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 994.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.01
Bicycle LOS B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Opposing (o)
Analysis(d)
1.5*
1.5*
$1.5 * \quad 1.5$ *
$0.980 \quad 0.980$
$1.00 \quad 1.00$
$1150 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} \quad 1338 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.68
141 veh-mi

541 veh-mi
5.1 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)
-
$27.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ 90.1

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1127.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.83
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) Opp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | 0.976 |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 145 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - | mi/h |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - | veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 50.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | fA | 2.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 48.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 1.1 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 27.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 57.8 | \% |



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.85

177 veh-mi
695 veh-mi
6.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)
94.0

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1418.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.19
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1338 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1150 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 86.8 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.8 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 93.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.79

164 veh-mi
630 veh-mi
5.9 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) 93.1 Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1311.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1017 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1464 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 82.2 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.6 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 87.0 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.60

124 veh-mi
486 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$28.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 991.8
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.01
Bicycle LOS B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1162 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1356 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 84.8 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.3 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 90.0 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.68

142 veh-mi
547 veh-mi
5.1 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)
-
$27.6 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
90.0

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1139.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.86

179 veh-mi
700 veh-mi
6.5 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) 94.1 Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1428.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.19
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1356 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1162 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 87.1 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.3 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 93.2 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-$ min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.80

166 veh-mi
638 veh-mi
6.1 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$27.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1329.2
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.92
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value

Midblock LOS 2015 Without Project

## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LM

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 834 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1188 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 75.0 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 17.2 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 82.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.49

102 veh-mi
391 veh-mi
3.3 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$31.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
82.1

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 813.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.56
117 veh-mi

434 veh-mi
3.8 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
85.9

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 933.3
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.74
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.70

145 veh-mi
557 veh-mi
4.7 veh-h

1695 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1695 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$31.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
91.2

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1159.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.09
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Opposing (o)
Analysis(d)
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
0.980
0.980
1.00
$1109 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$
$952 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFd 80.1 \% Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp 17.4 Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd
89.5 \%

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.65
136 veh-mi

506 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
(from above)
E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1087.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 831 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1196 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 74.9 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 17.1 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 81.9 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.49

101 veh-mi
389 veh-mi
3.2 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
81.9

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 810.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 963 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1122 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 78.3 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 17.1 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 86.2 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.57

118 veh-mi
439 veh-mi
3.8 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$30.8 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
86.2

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL
944.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.74
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.70

146 veh-mi
560 veh-mi
4.7 veh-h

1695 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1695 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
91.3

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1166.7
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.09
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Opposing (o)
Analysis(d)
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
0.980
0.980
1.00
$963 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4) BPTSFd 80.4 \% Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp 17.1 Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd 89.6 \%

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15 -min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.66
137 veh-mi

512 veh-mi
4.5 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
(from above)
E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1100.0
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.82
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 834 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1188 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 75.0 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 17.2 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 82.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.49

102 veh-mi
391 veh-mi
3.3 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$31.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
82.1

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 813.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data

| Highway class | Class | 1 |  | Peak hour factor, PHF | 0.93 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shoulder width | 8.0 | ft | $\%$ Trucks and buses | 2 | $\%$ |  |
| Lane width | 12.0 | ft | $\%$ Trucks crawling | 0.0 | $\%$ |  |
| Segment length | 0.5 | mi | Truck crawl speed | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Terrain type | Level |  | \% Recreational vehicles | 2 | $\%$ |  |
| Grade: Length | - | mi | \% No-passing zones | 100 | $\%$ |  |
|  | Up/down | - | $\%$ | Access point density | 8 | $/ \mathrm{mi}$ |

Analysis direction volume, Vd 869 veh/h
Opposing direction volume, Vo 1011 veh/h

Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.56
117 veh-mi

435 veh-mi
3.8 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
86.0

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 934.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.74
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.70

145 veh-mi
557 veh-mi
4.7 veh-h

1695 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1695 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$31.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
91.2

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1159.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.09
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

$\qquad$

Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Opposing (o)
Analysis(d)
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
1.5*
0.980
0.980
1.00
$1109 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.65
136 veh-mi

506 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
(from above)
E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1087.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.70

146 veh-mi
560 veh-mi
4.7 veh-h

1695 veh/h
1700 veh/h
$1695 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.0 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
91.3

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1166.7
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.09
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 831 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1196 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 74.9 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 17.1 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 81.9 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.49

101 veh-mi
389 veh-mi
3.2 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- mi
$31.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
81.9

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of
the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 810.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data

| Highway class | Class | 1 |  | Peak hour factor, PHF | 0.93 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shoulder width | 8.0 | ft | $\%$ Trucks and buses | 2 | $\%$ |  |
| Lane width | 12.0 | ft | $\%$ Trucks crawling | 0.0 | $\%$ |  |
| Segment length | 0.5 | mi | Truck crawl speed | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Terrain type | Level |  | \% Recreational vehicles | 2 | $\%$ |  |
| Grade: Length | - | mi | \% No-passing zones | 100 | $\%$ |  |
|  | Up/down | - | $\%$ | Access point density | 8 | $/ \mathrm{mi}$ |

Analysis direction volume, Vd 878 veh/h
Opposing direction volume, Vo 1024 veh/h

Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.57

118 veh-mi
439 veh-mi
3.8 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)

- $\quad \mathrm{mi}$
$30.8 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
86.2

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
3
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL
944.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.74
Bicycle LOS
B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2015 with Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd

Analysis(d)
1.5
1.5*
0.980
1.00
$1123 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Opposing (o)
1.5*
1.5*
0.980
1.00

963 pc/h
\%
\%

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-m i n$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15 -min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.66
138 veh-mi

512 veh-mi
4.5 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi
Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi

Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$30.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
89.7

Level of service, LOSd (from above)
E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1101.1
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Midblock LOS 2030 Without Project

## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1020 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1454 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 82.3 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.7 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 87.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.60

124 veh-mi
488 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$28.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)
87.1

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 994.9
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.01
Bicycle LOS B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) Opp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | 0.980 |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 114 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - | veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 50.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | f $A$ | 2.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 48.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 0.8 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 27.9 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 58.1 | \% |

$\qquad$

Direction
PCE for trucks, ET
PCE for RVs, ER
Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi

Opposing (o)
Analysis(d)
1.5*
1.5*
$1.5 * \quad 1.5$ *
$0.980 \quad 0.980$
$1.00 \quad 1.00$
$1149 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h} \quad 1338 \mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.68
141 veh-mi

541 veh-mi
5.1 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)
-
$27.9 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ 90.1

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1126.0
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS 1.83
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) Opp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | 0.976 |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 145 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - | mi/h |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - | veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 50.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | fA | 2.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 48.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 1.1 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 27.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 57.8 | \% |



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.85

177 veh-mi
695 veh-mi
6.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)
94.0

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl
Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%
Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak 15-min total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1418.4
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.19
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | North of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) Opp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | 0.980 |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1338 p |  | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - | mi/h |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - | veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 50.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | fA | 2.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 48.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 1.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 27.7 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 57.7 | \% |


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1338 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1149 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 86.8 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.8 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 93.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.79

164 veh-mi
630 veh-mi
5.9 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) 93.1 Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1311.5
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
1.91
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.976 |  | 0.976 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1017 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1464 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 82.2 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.6 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 87.0 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.60

124 veh-mi
486 veh-mi
4.4 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$28.1 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 991.8
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.01
Bicycle LOS B
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Northbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1162 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1355 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | e-4) BPTSFd | 84.8 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.4 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 90.1 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-m i n$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.68

142 veh-mi
547 veh-mi
5.1 veh-h

1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h
1700 veh/h

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl
Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above)
Level of service, LOSd (from above)
-
$27.6 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ 90.1

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective
length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective
length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1139.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Friday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed



Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.86

179 veh-mi
700 veh-mi
6.5 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above) $27.7 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) 94.1 Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E
Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl -
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

```
E
- veh-h
```

```
Posted speed limit, Sp
5 0
Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking 0
Pavement rating, P
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL 1428.6
Effective width of outside lane, We 28.00
Effective speed factor, St 4.62
Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
2.19
Bicycle LOS
Notes:
1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00, as level terrain
    is one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specific
    dewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


## Phone:

Fax:
E-Mail:
Directional Two-Lane Highway Segment Analysis $\qquad$

| Analyst | DRR |
| :--- | :--- |
| Agency/Co. | CTG |
| Date Performed | $19 / 12 / 2013$ |
| Analysis Time Period | Harvest Saturday |
| Highway | SR29 |
| From/To | South of Grgich Southbound |
| Jurisdiction | Napa Ca |
| Analysis Year | 2030 without Project |
| Description LMR |  |

LMR

Input Data


Average Travel Speed

| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) Opp |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adj. factor, (note-5) fHV | 0.980 |  |  |
| Grade adj. factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 135 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |
| Free-Flow Speed from Field Measurement: |  |  |  |
| Field measured speed, (note-3) S FM |  | - | mi/h |
| Observed total demand, (note-3) V |  | - | veh/h |
| Estimated Free-Flow Speed: |  |  |  |
| Base free-flow speed, (note-3) BFFS |  | 50.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for lane and shoulder width, (note-3) | -3) fLS | 0.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adj. for access point density, (note-3) fA | fA | 2.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Free-flow speed, FFSd |  | 48.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 1.0 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Average travel speed, ATSd |  | 27.4 | $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Percent Free Flow Speed, PFFS |  | 57.2 | \% |


| Direction Ana | Analysis(d) |  | Opposing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PCE for trucks, ET | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| PCE for RVs, ER | 1.5* |  | 1.5* |  |
| Heavy-vehicle adjustment factor, fHV | 0.980 |  | 0.980 |  |
| Grade adjustment factor, (note-1) fg | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |  |
| Directional flow rate, (note-2) vi | 1355 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ | 1162 | $\mathrm{pc} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| Base percent time-spent-following, (note-4 | -4) BPTSFd | 87.1 | \% |  |
| Adjustment for no-passing zones, fnp |  | 11.4 |  |  |
| Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd |  | 93.2 | \% |  |

Level of Service and Other Performance Measures

Level of service, LOS
Volume to capacity ratio, v/c
Peak 15-min vehicle-miles of travel, VMT15
Peak-hour vehicle-miles of travel, VMT60
Peak $15-\mathrm{min}$ total travel time, TT15
Capacity from ATS, CdATS
Capacity from PTSF, CdPTSF
Directional Capacity

E
0.80

166 veh-mi
638 veh-mi
6.0 veh-h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$
1700 veh/h
$1700 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$

Passing Lane Analysis
Total length of analysis segment, Lt 0.5 mi
Length of two-lane highway upstream of the passing lane, Lu - mi Length of passing lane including tapers, Lpl - mi Average travel speed, ATSd (from above)
$27.4 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ Percent time-spent-following, PTSFd (from above) Level of service, LOSd (from above)

E

Average Travel Speed with Passing Lane
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length of passing lane for average travel speed, Lde - mi Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for average travel speed, Ld - mi Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on average speed, fpl
Average travel speed including passing lane, ATSpl Percent free flow speed including passing lane, PFFSpl 0.0 \%

Percent Time-Spent-Following with Passing Lane $\qquad$
Downstream length of two-lane highway within effective length
of passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Lde - mi
Length of two-lane highway downstream of effective length of the passing lane for percent time-spent-following, Ld - mi
Adj. factor for the effect of passing lane
on percent time-spent-following, fpl
Percent time-spent-following
including passing lane, PTSFpl - \%
Level of Service and Other Performance Measures with Passing Lane $\qquad$

Level of service including passing lane, LOSpl Peak $15-m i n$ total travel time, TT15

E

- veh-h

```
Posted speed limit, Sp50
```

Percent of segment with occupied on-highway parking ..... 0
Pavement rating, $P$ ..... 3

```
Flow rate in outside lane, vOL
Effective width of outside lane, We
Effective speed factor, St
```

1328.1

```Effective width of outside lane, We
```

```28.00
```

Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS ..... 1.91

```Bicycle LOS Score, BLOS
```

Bicycle LOS ..... B
Notes:

```1. Note that the adjustment factor for level terrain is 1.00 , as level terrainis one of the base conditions. For the purpose of grade adjustment, specificdewngrade segments are treated as level terrain.
2. If vi (vd or vo ) >= 1,700 pc/h, terminate analysis-the LOS is F.
3. For the analysis direction only and for v>200 veh/h.
4. For the analysis direction only.
5. Use alternative Exhibit 15-14 if some trucks operate at crawl speeds on a
    specific downgrade.
```

* These items have been entered or edited to override calculated value


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mr. Paul Wilkinson, Napa County Public Works Department, December 2013.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 2011, AASHTO.

