

JAMES H. HICKEY Secretary-Director

CONSERVATION—DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING COMMISSION

1195 THIRD STREET . NAPA, CALIFORNIA 94558 AREA CODE 707/253-4416

July 30, 1985

San Mateo Ranch, Inc. F.O. Box 300 Rutherford, Ca. 94573

Gentlemon:

Your	Use	Permit	Application	Number	538485	- ^{to} - establish a	50,000
92110	na p	ar year	winery with	tours	and public tasting	located on 59	acros.

on the northeast side of Stage Hwy 29 approximately 170 feet southeast located of Hos Lane within an AP District. (Assessor's Parcels #30-080-44 & 30-100-12) has been approved by the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Commission based upon the following conditions:

(SEE ATTACHED LIST OF CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL) *

(See attached Staff Report and attached Exhibit A) APPROVAL DATE: July 24, 1985

Your Use Permit becomes effective ten (10) working days from the approval date, provided all conditions of approval are met or assurances made to guarantee compliance. The ten (10) day waiting period is required to provide sufficient time for anyone wishing to appeal the action of the Commission in approving your Use Permit. In the event an appeal is made to the Board of Supervisors, you will be notified.

Should this Use Permit not be used within one (1) year after the date of approval, it shall be null and void without further action by the Commission or Department.

If you intend to request any time extension for your approved Use Permit, please note that any such request must be submitted to the Conservation, Development and Planning Department at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the present permit.

Very truly yours,

JAMES H. HICKÈY

Secretary/Director

JHH:ml

cc: Bill L. Hall, Building Codes Administrator

, EXHIBIT A

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

- 1. Tours and tastings to be limited to the Phase 2 building expansion agea.
- 2. Dust control measures be provided during construction of the wieery.
- 3. Changes in the roadway base for Hwy 29 and Mee Lane shall be completed prior to issuance of a building permit for the winery.
- 4. Road construction along Hwy 29 and Mee Lane shall be completed after the first phase of the winery is finished.

NAPA COUNTY CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT

USE PERMITS

DEPARTMENT REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Meeting of July 24, 1985

Agenda Item: 7

APPLICATION DATA:

APPLICANT: San Mateo Ranch NV, Inc.

Use Permit Request #U-538485 Date Filed: June 12, 1985

REQUEST FOR: To establish a 50,000 gallons/year winery with public tours

and tasting on two (2) parcels of land totaling 59 acres.

LOCATION: On the northeast side of State Highway 29, 170 feet

southeast of Mee Lane within an AP District. (AP #'s

30-080-47 and 30-100-127.

FINDINGS:

SPECIAL INFORMATION:

- Details of the proposal are contained in the attached supplemental information sheet.
- 2. Comments and recommendations from various County departments and other agencies are attached.
- 3. The Planning Commission, at their May 6, 1981 meeting, approved Use Permit #U-118081 to construct a 50,000 gallons/year winery with public tour; and tasting on this property. However, the Use Permit automatically terminated due to non-use of the permit within one-year from the date of approval.

ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:

4. The existing Negative Declaration prepared for and adopted in connection with Use Permit #U-118081 is adequate, complete and satisfactory in regard to the proposed project. (See attached copy).

PLANNING AND ZONING ANALYSIS:

- The procedural requirements for a Use Permit outlined in the Zoning Ordinance have been satisfied in regard to this application.
- 6. The submitted proposal is in general compliance with Ordinance requirements.

Agenda Item: #.

Page 2
Report and Recommendation

Meeting Date: July 24, 1985 Use Permit # U-538485

- 7. Approval of this proposal would not result in detrimental effects to the public health, safety or general welfare.
- 8. The proposal is in conformance with the General Plan designation of Agricultural Resource specified for the property.
- 9. The applicant proposes to utilize a winery wastewater pond to handle the winery waste. The proposed pond is located on the property line separating two assessor's parcels which is not in compliance with 20-foot property line setback requirements of the AP District. To bring the proposal into compliance with zoning setback regulations, the applicant should either merge the two assessor's parcels or complete a lot line adjustment resulting in the location of all winery related facilities including the winery's wastewater pond on one parcel. In no event should Assessor's Parcel #30-100-12 be reduced to less than 40.0 acres, the minimum parcel size in the AP District.

RECOMMENDATION:

ENVIRONMENTAL:

- 1. Find that the Negative Declaration prepared and adopted for Use Permit #U-118081 adequately covers the environmental impact of the proposed project.
- Find that the Planning Commission has read and considered the Negative Declaration prior to taking on the proposed project.

PLANNING:

3. APPROVAL with Findings and subject to the attached Conditions of Approval:

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL San Mateo Ranch NV, Inc.

Meeting Date: July 24, 1985
Use Permit: #U-538485

- 1. The permit be limited to the construction of a 6,000 square foot winery with public tours and tasting. The maximum annual production capacity is 50,000 gallons.
- 2. Submission of a detailed landscaping, fencing and parking plan to the Department for review and approval indicating names and locations of plant materials, method of maintenance and location of off-street parking spaces. Said plan to be submitted prior to issuance of the Building Permit. Landscaping, fencing and parking to be completed prior to finalization of Building Permit. Landscaping shall be permanently maintained in accordance with the approved landscape plan.
 - 3. Provisions for a minimum of 10 off-street parking spaces on a dust free all weather surface approved by Public Works.
- 4. Plans for any outdoor signs be submitted to the Department for review and approval with regard to design, area, height and placement.
 - 5. Compliance with all applicable building codes, zoning standards and requirements of various County departments and agencies.
 - 6. Mitigation Measures contained in the attached Negative Declaration.
 - 7. No outside social activities including outside dining, live music, outdoor festivals, or other activities of a similar nature.
 - 8. Retail sales shall be limited to wine produced and bottled by the winery. Retail sales may commence following the construction of the winery.
 - 9. Public tours and tasting shall not commence until after the winery has been constructed and is in operation.

USE PERMIT APPLICATION

1.	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED USE:
	USE: Winery
	PRODUCT OR SERVICE PROVIDED: wine
	FLOOR AREA: EXISTING STRUCTURES 0 SQ. FT. NEW CONSTRUCTION 6,000 SQ. FT.
•	INDICATE SOUARE FOOTAGE ON EACH FLOOR DEVOTED TO EACH SEPARATE USE WITHIN AN EXISTING
	AND/OR PROPOSED BUILDING: winery 6,000 sq.ft.
	SEATING CAPACITY: RESTAURANT 0 BAR 0 OTHER 0
	EXISTING STRUCTURES OR IMPROVEMENTS TO BE REMOVED: 0
	RELATED NECESSARY CONCURRENT OR SUBSEQUENT PROJECTS ON THE SITE OR IN SURROUNDING
	AREAS:n
2.	NEW CONSTRUCTION:
	PROJECT PHASING: two phases
	CONSTRUCTION TIME REQUIRED (EACH PHASE): 3 months for each phase.
	TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION: concrete
	MAX. HEIGHT (FT.): EXISTING STRUCTURES 0 PROPOSED STRUCTURES 32'+/-
	DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED EXTERIOR NIGHT LIGHTING: as needed
3.	AVERAGE OPERATION:
	HOURS OF OPERATION 7 A.M. TO 7 P.M. DAYS OF OPERATION 7
	NUMBER OF SHIFTS: 0 EMPLOYEES PER SHIFT: 0 FULL TIME 0 PART TIME (CURRENTLY)
	NUMBER OF SHIFTS 1 TOTAL EMPLOYEES PER 3 FULL TIME PART TIME PROPOSED:
	NUMBER OF DELIVERIES OR PICK-UPS: PER DAY PER WEEK
	NO. VISITORS ANTICIPATED: PER DAY 10 PER WEEK
	ARE THERE SPECIAL OPERATIONS? PLEASE DESCRIBE ON SEPARATE PAGE
4.	LANDSCAPING AND PARKING:
	EXISTING LANDSCAPING PLAN SUBMITTED: YES NO _X
	PROPOSED LANDSCAPING PLAN SUBMITTED: YES NO X
	PARKING SPACES: EXISTING SPACES EMPLOYEE CUSTOMER
	PROPOSED SPACES 10 EMPLOYEE 3 CUSTOMER 7

UTILITIES:	METHOD OF SEWAGE DISPOSAL:	
	VICE DISTRICT PROPOSED?: YES NO	
) <u> </u>
NAME OF DISTRICT:		
LICENSES OR APPROVALS REQUIRED	, .	
u.	REGIONAL PATE	
	FEDERAL BATF	
WINERY OPERATION:		
yes CRUSHING yes FE	RMENTATION <u>yes</u> STORAGE/AGING <u>yes</u>	_ BOTTLING/PACKII
yes SHIPPING: VIA:truck	; <u>yes</u> ADMINISTRATIVE: <u>yes</u> TOURS/	'PUBLIC TASTING
OTHER:		
GALLONS OF WINE TO BE PRODUCED	: INITIAL OR CURRENT PRODUCTION	0 GALLONS/Y
	ULTIMATE ESTIMATED PRODUCTION 50	,000 GALLONS/Y
	REQUESTED PRODUCTION CAPACITY 50	,000 GALLONS/Y
METHOD OF DOMESTIC WASTE DISPO	SAL: Leach Line	
METHOD OF INDUSTRIAL WASTE DIS	POSAL: Pond	
GALLONS OF DOMESTIC WASTE PRODU		
GALLONS OF INDUSTRIAL WASTE PR	DDUCED: PER	
METHOD OF SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL		
	1 GALLONS.	
	GALLONS PER MINUTE.	•
ON-SITE FIRE PROTECTION:		
EMERGENCY WATER STORAGE: 1,500,	000 GALLONS.	
SPECIFIC INFORMATION FOR REST I		
	EXISTING: PROPOSED:	
	EXISTING: PROPOSED:	•
	FEET OF PROPERTY?:	
	FILL TIME: PART TIME:	



NAPA COUNTY

CONSERVATION — DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT

1195 THIRD STREET, ROOM 210 • NAPA, CALIFORNIA 94559-3092 AREA CODE 707/253-4416

JAMES H. HICKEY Director

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Phill Crundall, Senior Planner, Current Planning Section

FROM:

Jim O'Loughlin, Senior Planner, Environmental Protection

Section in City agelin

SUBJECT:

Use Permit Request of San Mateo Ranch NV, Inc. (#U-538485)

DATE:

July 8, 1985

The Environmental Protection Section of the Conservation, Development and Planning Department recommends that the proposed Negative Declaration, prepared May 3, 1981 Luc Janssen's Use Permit Request (#U-118081), be found adequate, complete and satsifactory in relation to the Use Permit Request of San Mateo Ranch NV, Inc. (#U-538485) for a similar winery development.

The proposed change will not have a significant impact on the environment. The previous Negative Declaration approved on May 6, 1981 is adequate and complete and has been completed in accordance with CEQA and all State of California and local guidelines pertaining thereto. No subsequent or supplemental Environmental Impact Reports, in addition to the proposed Negative Declaration, are necessary at this time in that:

- No substantial changes in the Use Permit application or other submittals for the project have been made which would require revisions to the proposed Negative Declaration.
- 2) No substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is being undertaken which would require revisions in the proposed Negative Declaration; and
- 3) No relevant new information has become available since the writing of the proposed Negative Declaration which was not known and could not have been known at the time of writing, and no such new information has become available since the preparation of the Negative Declaration which should have been or should not be included in the proposed Negative Declaration.

PROPOSED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

The County of Napa has determined that the following project would not have a significant effect on the environment. Documentation supporting this determination is on file for public inspection at the Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department offices, 1121 First St., Napa, California 94558. For further information contact the Napa County Environmental Protection Section at (707) 253-4416.

SAN MATEO RANCH WINERY (REVISION 34)

USE PERMIT REQUEST (U-118081) OF LUC JANSSENS to construct and operate a 50,000 pHo gallon per year winery with public tours and tasting on 59.40 acres (APN 30-080-35 & 30-100-11) located on the northeast side of State Highway 29 approximately 350 feet southeast of Mee Lane within an AP (Agricultural Preserve) zoning district. A necessary related concurrent action would be installation of a special design sewage disposal system to serve the proposed facility.

Mitigation measures included in the proposed project are as follows:

(SEE MEASURES AFFIXED TO ATTACHED INITIAL STUDY)

DATE: 3 May 1981

BY ORDER OF

JAMES H. HICKEY
Director - Napa County Conservation, Development and Planning Department

COUNTY OF NAPA CONSERVATION, DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING DEPARTMENT 1121 First Street Napa, California 94558 (707) 253-4416/4376

REVISED INITIAL STUDY

PROJECT	NAME:	SAN	MATEO	RANCH	WINERY	(REVISION 3)

FILE NO: U-118081

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: USE PERMIT REQUEST (U-118081) OF LUC JANSSENS to construct and operate a 50,000 gallon per year winery with public tours and tasting on 59.40 acres (APN 30-080-35 & 30-100-11) located on the northeast side of State Highway 29 approximat 350 feet southeast of Mee Lane within an AP (Agricultural Preserve) zoning district. A necessary related concurrent action would be installation of a special design sewage disposal system to serve the proposed facility.

		. *	
JURISDICTIONAL BACKGROUND: Public Plans and Policies		-4-	
Is the project consistent with:	YES	NÓ	N/A
a) Regional and Subregional Plans and Policies?b) LAFCOM Plans and Policies?	X		
c) The County General Plan?	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$		
d) Appropriate City General Plans?			$\overline{\times}$
e) Adopted Environmental Plans and Goals of the Community?	<u>~</u>		
f) Pertinent Zoning?	文		

Responsible Agencies

U.S. Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms
State Dept. of Alcoholic Beverage Control
State Dept. of Transportation
San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board

environmental setting: Level area located on the floor of the Napa Valley approximately one mile northwest of the center of Rutherford (Elev. 150 - 160 ft. ± MSL). The property is bordered to the south by Bale Slough and lies within the combined 100 year floodplain of this stream and the Napa River. Foundation materials consist of fluvial deposits overly Class II silt loams and Class III clays of the Cole and Clear Lake soil series respective cover is made up chiefly of existing vineyards and introduced weeds and grasses with a poorly developed to non-existent riparian gallery along Bale Slough. Three (3) archaeological sites are located in the subject parcel but do not extend into the area to be disturbed by the proposed project. Surrounding land uses are chiefly agricultural to the east, west and south; rural residential and commercial (dog kennel) to the north.

		ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS:
		Normally Significant Individual Impacts
YES	NO	This could be a second of the country of the countr
		(Geology)
	\succeq	1. Exposure of new site users to substantial life and/or property hazard
		from geologic processes (eq., severe settlement, sliding faulting
		intense seismically induced ground shaking, seismically-induced
	~	ground tattures, etc.).
	X	2. Exposure of existing area occupants to substantially increased life
	~	and/or property hazards from deologic processes.
	Δ	3. Damage, destruction or burial of any unique or scientifically im-
		portant geologic or geomorphologic feature.
		(Meteorology)
	X	4. Substantial modification of climatic or microclimatic conditions
		(eg., temperature, rainfall, wind, shadow patterns, etc.).
		(Hydrology)
	X	5. Exposure of new site users to substantial life and/or property
		hazards from flooding (eg., stream flooding, tsunamis, seiches,
		dam or levee failure, etc.).
	X	6. Exposure of existing area occupants to substantially increased
	Y	lite and/or property hazards from flooding.
	X	/. Substantial temporary construction period increase in erosion and/or
	Y	sedimentation.
	\Leftrightarrow	8. Substantial permanent increase in erosion and/or sedimentation.
	\triangle	9. Substantial depletion of groundwater resources or significant
		interference with groundwater recharge.
	•	(Water Quality)
	\times	10. Substantial degradation of the quality of waters present in a
		stream, lake, or pond.
	\times	11. Substantial degradation of the quality of groundwater supplies.
	$\overline{\times}$	12. Substantial contamination of a public or private water supply.
		Figure 2 below the provided adoptive
•	.,	(Air Quality)
	Δ	13. Exposure of new site users to substantial health hazards from
		preathing polluted air.
	Δ	14. Exposure of existing area occupants to substantially increased
X	• ,	health hazards from breathing polluted air.
\Leftrightarrow		15. Substantial degradation of local or regional air quality. 16. Exposure of new site users or existing area occurrents to average.
<u> </u>		The state of the s
		ance from dust and/or highly objectionable oders.
_		(Noise)
	Δ.	17. Exposure of new site users to health hazards from noise levels in
		excess of those recognized as necessary to protect public health
		and welfare.
	2	

Mitigated (see Mitigation Measures below) Cumulatively Significant Only

	•	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Initial Page 3	Study .
	YES	, vo		
		. X	18.	levels in excess of those recognized as necessary to protect
	<u>×</u>		19.	baric meaill and meliabe.
0	X		20.	ridi perioda di filis.
				osystem)
		×	21.	Substantial reduction in the number of a rare or endangered species of plant or animal or damage or restriction of the habitat of such a species.
		X	22.	Destruction of or substantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, or particularly productive biological area (eq., marshes, since in the stantial damage to a unique, scarce, since in the stantial damage to a unique bin
		荟	23. 24.	Substantial recuction in habitat for plants, fish, and/or wildlife. Substantial modification in the number or diversity of plants.
		X	25.	substantial interference with the movement of a resident or mi- gratory fish or wildlife species.
			(Soc	
		圣	26. 27.	Disruption or division of an established community. Displacement of a large number of people.
•		X	(Aes ⁻ 28.	thetic) Blockage or substantial degradation of important public or private views.
¥	X		29.	Exposure of new site users or existing area occupants to annoyance from increased nighttime light levels or glare.
		×.	30.	Creation of a litter problem.
		×	(Cult 31.	Destruction of or substantial damage to a recognized archaeological site.
		X	32.	Destruction of or substantial damage to the historical character of
		$\boldsymbol{\times}$	33.	a recognized historical structure, facility, or feature. Elimination of or conflict with the established recreational, educational, religious, or scientific uses of the project site or surrounding properties.
ఈ	.		· (Traf	fic)
٠	<u> </u>		34.	Exposure of new site users to substantial life and/or property hazards from traffic accidents.
-	<u>×</u> .		35.	Exposure of the existing users of the roads providing access to the project site to substantially increased life and/or access to
•		×	36.	hazards from traffic accidents. Exposure of the users of the roadways providing access to the project site to annoyance from noticably increased traffic congestion.

	YES	NO	Initial Page 4			,	,					•
0	X		37.	project s	te whic	h is su	the roadwa ubstantial e street s	in relat	ling accession to the	s to the e existi	ng tra	iffic
		X	38.	Creation o					oblem.			
0	×	-	(Ene		n the d	emand 1	for energy demands of	which is	substant	ial in r	elatio	n
•		X	40.	Creation o	of a fac	ility o	or develop			e fuel c	or	
		\times	41.	Creation o	of a fac	ility c		ment whic el or ene	ch will use	e substa ransport	ntiall ation	у
		· ×		olic Health)		• .						
•		\triangle	42.	Exposure of contaminations or contamination or contamination or contamination or con	ed drin	king wa						
•		×	43.	Exposure of health haz	of exist ards fr	ing are	ea occupan taminated rodent pe	drinking	stantiall water, in	y increa adequate	sed ly tre	ated
* .	X	****	44.		of new s	ite use			life and/	or prope	erty	
-		\times	45.	Exposure o	t exist	ing are	ea occupan zards from	ts to sub	stantiall	y increa	sed	
-		\times	46.	Exposure of hazards fr	of new s	ite use	ers to sub		life and/	or prope	rty	
•			47.	Exposure of users to s	of exist substant	ing are	ea occupan	ts and/or life and/	existing or proper	air or ty hazar	hėlipo ds	rt
*	×		48.	from air of Exposure of tial annoy	f new s					ts to su	bstan-	
•		\times		munity Servince Increase if fire protesto the curved to	n the dection, s	schools existir	s, etc.) wi ng uncommi	hich is s	ubstantia	in rei	ation	•
		~		mercial Res	-	, ,						
•		~ Y	50.	mineral re	sources	of cur	rrent or po	otential	importance	∍.		
٠-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\triangle	51.	Removal of from curre	nt or po	tantia! otentia	l amount o	f agricul ion.	tural or o	grazing	land	÷
•		<u>×</u>		cal) Creation c substantia taxes.	fadeve	elopmen e to pr	nt to which rovide ser	n it woul vices tha	d cost the	e commun i return	ity in	
•		X	(Gro 53.	wth Inducti Induction developmen	of subs	tantial	l resident	lal, comm	ercial, or	- indust	rial	

Planning/Zo	oning Review By:	B. Abate	Date: 2 Oct.	1980					
Site Revie	w By:	W.L. Selleck & J. O'Loughlin	Date: 30 Jan.	1981					
Resource E	valuation:	J.G. Lemieux & W.L. Selleck	Date: 27 Jan	1981					
DETERMINATION: Agency Staff Page	articipating in th	ne initial Study:							
NONE									
•	This Study (Unador	oted)	•	•					
SEE ATTACHME				·					
MITIGATION MEASU Included By Ap	RES: plicant As Part of	f Project							
	a [*]	g.							
NONE	•		•						
Impact Discuss	ion (Optional)			•					
 a) Have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? b) Have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? c) Have possible environmental effects which are individually limited but cumulatively considerable? d) Have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? 									
Does the pro		nce .		YES NO					

On the basis of this preliminary evaluation:

I find that the project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and adoption of a NEGATIVE DECLARATION is recommended.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the mitigation measures described above have been added to the project. Adoption of a CONDITIONAL NEGATIVE DECLARATION is therefore recommended.

1 find the proposed project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.

DATE: + 3 May 1981

BY: WILLIAM L. SELLECK

ATTACHMENT I Mitigation Measures Included As Part of the Proposed San Mateo Ranch Winery Project (Revision 3)

- 1. Installation of a dust-less surface on the proposed site entranceway, parking lot, and all outdoor storage, and use areas developed.
- 2. Removal of all pumace and other organic wastes generated from the property or discing these materials into the soil. The disposal alternative selected shall be carried out in such a manner and with enough dispatch so as to not attract insects nor create odors.
- 3. Limitation of all construction activities proposed to weekdays between 7:30 A.M. and 4:30 P.M.
- 4. Installation or reinstallation as needed of the state-of-the-art muffler systems required by current law on the construction equipment used. Proper maintenance of said muffler systems shall be provided.
- 5. Placement of noisy stationary construction equipment such as compressors away from developed areas off-site and/or the provision of acoustical shielding around such equipment.
- 6. Selection consistent with sound construction practice of construction techniques, staging plans, and equipment designed to produce a minimum amount of noise.
- 7. Installation of deflectors on all outdoor lights installed to direct all the illumination produced away from nearby residences and public roads.
- 8. Immediate installation adjacent to the proposed winery of a sign reading "No Tours or Tasting" that is readable from State Highway 29. Said sign shall be maintained in a readable condition until such time as this winery is opened for tours or tasting, at which time it shall be removed and theirmprovements required under Conditions 9 and 10 below installed.
- 9. Installation, prior to opening of this winery for tours or tasting, of a south-bound left turn lane on State Highway 29 at Mee Lane whose design is acceptable to the Napa County Public Works Department and the State Department of Transportation. Associated acceleration and deceleration tapers, whose design is also acceptable to the Napa County Public Works Department and the State Department of Transportation, shall be installed at the same time on the east side of State Highway 29 at said intersection.
- 10. Installation, prior to opening this winery for tours or tasting, of an access road from the proposed structure to Mee Lane. At the same time any access road to this building from State Highway 29 shall be removed.

- 11. Provision of a year-round access road acceptable to the State Department of Forestry from Mee Lane to the proposed winery structure(s).
- 12. Provision of at least 10,000 gallons of water storage for fire fighting with a connection at the proposed winery building acceptable to and approved by the State Department of Forestry.

Ese signed copy dated May 6,1981

ATTACHMENT 2 Comments On the Proposed Negative Declaration For the San Mateo Ranch Winery Project

This attachment is composed of two sections. The first is made up of a list of the agencies, organizations, and individuals that commented on the proposed Negative Declaration followed by copies of the actual comment letters received. The second is made up of Napa County's responses to these comments.

CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED

Government Agencies

State

Deption Transportation March 17, 1981

Office of Planning & Research April 2, 1981

Regional

San Francisco Bay Regional April
Water Quality Control Board
(LATE)

April 13, 1981







