**RESOLUTION NO. 2017-141**

**RESOLUTION OF THE NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, REQUESTING THE ALLOCATION OF FISCAL YEAR 2017-18 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 PEDESTRIAN/BICYCLE PROJECT FUNDING**

 **WHEREAS**, Article 3 of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code (PUC) Section 99200 et seq., authorizes the submission of claims to a regional transportation planning agency for the funding of projects exclusively for the benefit and/or use of pedestrians and bicyclists; and

 **WHEREAS**, the Metropolitan Transportation Commission (MTC), as the regional transportation planning agency for the San Francisco Bay region, has adopted MTC Resolution No. 4108, entitled “Transportation Development Act, Article 3, Pedestrian and Bicycle Projects,” which delineates procedures and criteria for submission of requests for the allocation of “TDA Article 3” funding; and

 **WHEREAS**, MTC Resolution No. 4108 requires that requests for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funding be submitted as part of a single, countywide coordinated claim from each county in the San Francisco Bay region; and

 **WHEREAS**, MTC requires that entities requesting allocation of TDA Article 3 funds make those findings in Attachment A to this resolution; and

 **WHEREAS**, Napa County desires to submit a request to MTC for the allocation of TDA Article 3 funds to support the projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, which are for the exclusive benefit and/or use of pedestrians and/or bicyclists;

 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, by the Napa County Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. Napa County declares it is eligible to request an allocation of TDA Article 3 funds pursuant to Section 99234 of the Public Utilities Code.

2. There is no pending or threatened litigation that might adversely affect the project or projects described in Attachment B to this resolution, or that might impair the ability of Napa County to carry out the project.

3. The project has been reviewed by the countywide Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC) and has been approved by MTC to use the countywide BAC and the countywide BAC provides for expanded representation of Napa County and the designated representatives are familiar with the bicycle and pedestrian needs of Napa County.

4. Napa County attests to the accuracy of and approves the statements in Attachment A to this resolution.

5. A certified copy of this resolution and its attachments, and any accompanying supporting materials shall be forwarded to the congestion management agency, countywide transportation planning agency, or county association of governments, as the case may be, of Napa Countyfor submission to MTC as part of the countywide coordinated TDA Article 3 claim.

**THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED** by the Napa County Board of Supervisors at a regular meeting of the Board held on the 12th day of September, 2017, by the following vote:

AYES: SUPERVISORS PEDROZA, GREGORY, DILLON,

 WAGENKNECHT and RAMOS

 NOES: SUPERVISORS NONE

 ABSTAIN: SUPERVISORS NONE

 ABSENT: SUPERVISORS NONE

 NAPA COUNTY, a political subdivision of

 the State of California

 By: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

BELIA RAMOS, Chair of the Board of Supervisors

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| APPROVED AS TO FORMOffice of County CounselBy: *Thomas S. Capriola* Deputy County CounselDate: September 6, 2017  | APPROVED BY THE NAPA COUNTYBOARD OF SUPERVISORSDate: September 12, 2017Processed By:  Deputy Clerk of the Board | ATTEST: GLADYS I. COILClerk of the Board of SupervisorsBy: |

Resolution No. 2017-141

Attachment A

Re: Request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the Allocation of Fiscal Year 2017/18 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Pedestrian/Bicycle Project Funding

# Findings
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1. That Napa Countyis not legally impeded from submitting a request to the Metropolitan Transportation Commission for the allocation of Transportation Development Act (TDA) Article 3 funds, nor is Napa Countylegally impeded from undertaking the project(s) described in “Attachment B” of this resolution.

2. That Napa Countyhas committed adequate staffing resources to complete the project(s) described in Attachment B.

3. A review of the project(s) described in Attachment B has resulted in the consideration of all pertinent matters, including those related to environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances, attendant to the successful completion of the project(s).

4. Issues attendant to securing environmental and right-of-way permits and clearances for the projects described in Attachment B have been reviewed and will be concluded in a manner and on a schedule that will not jeopardize the deadline for the use of the TDA funds being requested.

5. That the project(s) described in Attachment B comply with the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.).

6. That as portrayed in the budgetary description(s) of the project(s) in Attachment B, the sources of funding other than TDA are assured and adequate for completion of the project(s).

7. That the project(s) described in Attachment B are for capital construction and/or design engineering; and/or for the maintenance of a Class I bikeway which is closed to motorized traffic; and/or for the purposes of restriping Class II bicycle lanes; and/or for the development or support of a bicycle safety education program; and/or for the development of a comprehensive bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities plan, and an allocation of TDA Article 3 funding for such a plan has not been received by Napa Countywithin the prior five fiscal years.

8. That the project(s) described in Attachment B is included in a locally approved bicycle, pedestrian, transit, multimodal, complete streets, or other relevant plan.

9. That any project described in Attachment B that is a bikeway meets the mandatory minimum safety design criteria published in Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual.

10. That the project(s) described in Attachment B will be completed before the funds expire.

11. That Napa Countyagrees to maintain, or provide for the maintenance of, the project(s) and facilities described in Attachment B, for the benefit of and use by the public.

Resolution No. 2017-141

Attachment B
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TDA Article 3 Project Application Form

Fiscal Year of this Claim: 17-18 Applicant: Napa County

Contact person: Juan Arias

Mailing Address: 1195 Third Street, Suite 101, Napa CA 94559

E-Mail Address:Juan.Arias@countyofnapa.org Telephone: (707) 259-8374

Secondary Contact (in event primary not available) Steve Stangland

E-Mail Address:Stephen.Stangland@countyofnapa.org Telephone: (707) 944-0196

**Short Title Description of Project: Vine Trail Maintenance**

Amount of claim: $11,767

**Functional Description of Project:**

**Maintenance of Napa Valley Vine Trail, a Class I bikeway, from Yountville Town Limits to Napa City Limit (3.2 miles)**

**Financial Plan:**

List the project elements for which TDA funding is being requested (e.g., planning, engineering, construction, contingency). Use the table below to show the project budget for the phase being funded or total project. Include prior and proposed future funding of the project. Planning funds may only be used for comprehensive bicycle and pedestrian plans. Project level planning is not an eligible use of TDA Article 3.

**Project Elements: Class I bikeway maintenance**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Funding Source** | **All Prior FYs** | **Application FY** | **Next FY** | **Following FYs** | **Totals** |
| TDA Article 3 |  | 11,178.00 |  |  | 11,178.00 |
| list all other sources: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Napa Valley Vine Trail Coalition (private non-profit organization) |  | 11,178.00 |  |  | 11,178.00 |
| 2.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Totals** |  | 22,356.00 |  |  | 22,356.00 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Project Eligibility:**  | **YES?/NO?** |
| **A.** Has the project been approved by the claimant's governing body? (If "NO," provide the approximate date approval is anticipated). | NO9/12/17 |
| **B.** Has this project previously received TDA Article 3 funding? If "YES," provide an explanation on a separate page. | NO |
| **C.** For "bikeways," does the project meet Caltrans minimum safety design criteria pursuant to Chapter 1000 of the California Highway Design Manual? (Available on the internet via: http://www.dot.ca.gov). | YES |
| **D.** Has the project been reviewed by a Bicycle Advisory Committee (BAC)? (If "NO," provide an explanation). Enter date the project was reviewed by the BAC:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | YES |
| **E.** Has the public availability of the environmental compliance documentation for the project (pursuant to CEQA) been evidenced by the dated stamping of the document by the county clerk or county recorder? (required only for projects that include construction). | N/A |
| **F.** Will the project be completed before the allocation expires? Enter the anticipated completion date of project (month and year)  | NO |
| **G.** Have provisions been made by the claimant to maintain the project or facility, or has the claimant arranged for such maintenance by another agency? (If an agency other than the Claimant is to maintain the facility provide its name: ) | YES |