

California Home

Tuesday, September 9, 2014



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

Napa: 2014 Progress Report for STAR Program

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2013 - 2014

BUDGETED JJCPA FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 - 2014	\$240,645		
ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2013 - 2014	JJCPA Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$275,042	\$0	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$0
Services and Supplies: (Help)	\$4,548	\$0	
Professional Services: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Fixed Assets: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Other: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Fund Totals:	\$279,590	\$0	\$0
Program Total for 2013 - 2014 Fiscal Year:	\$279,590		

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2013 - 2014. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	119
In Progress:	0
Did Not Complete Program:	9
Total:	128.00

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by all program participants during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	8.00 Days
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$2,184.00

Progress Report Comments - Fiscal: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the expenditures identified in the progress report. Also, if a modification was approved during the reporting period, the details of the modification should be provided in this section.

Progress Report Instructions: For each outcome listed in the table, report the program results in the boxes provided. REPORT NUMBERS ONLY. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY SYMBOLS, CHARACTERS OR WORDS WITH THE NUMBERS ("%", "pct.", "percent," etc.). Inclusion of anything other than numbers will result in the entry being "zeroed out."

PRIOR TO COMPLETING THE TABLE, [CLICK HERE](#) FOR SPECIFIC, IMPORTANT GUIDANCE ON PROVIDING ALL REQUIRED OUTCOME INFORMATION.

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	A Mean or Average
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	Decrease (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown	Increase (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown	Decrease (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time	Contemporaneous Group Similar to Group that Receives Program Services	Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time

If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	Some Standard Period of Time Prior to Program Entry	N/A	Some Standard Period of Time Prior to Program Entry
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	365 Days	365 Days	365 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	365 Days	365 Days	365 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	120	120	120
Results For Program Participants:	2.18 Mean/Avg.	15.83 %	2.18 Mean/Avg.
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	112	112	112
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	120	30	120
Results for Reference Group:	2.77 Mean/Avg.	15.83 %	2.77 Mean/Avg.
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	112	0	112

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Mean or Average
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	Increase (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown	Increase (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown	Decrease (Relative Goal) : Magnitude Unknown
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Contemporaneous Group Similar to Group that Receives Program Services	Contemporaneous Group Similar to Group that Receives Program Services	Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	Some Standard Period of Time Prior to Program Entry
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry	During or at End of Standard Period of Time from Point of Program Entry
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	365 Days	365 Days	365 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	365 Days	365 Days	365 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	46	104	120
Results For Program Participants:	8.7 %	18.27 %	1.98 Mean/Avg.
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	46	108	112
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	21	28	120

Results for Reference Group:	14.29 %	7.14 %	1.98 Mean/Avg.
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	112

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

**Napa County Probation STAR Program
Annual Report- 2013-2014**

The STAR (Success through Acting Responsibly) program is a delinquency intervention program of the Napa County Probation Department. The purpose of the program is to increase the competencies of juvenile probationers and their families so that youth successfully complete probation, do not re-offend and they attempt to repair the harm they might have done to a victim. The program is staffed with two Deputy Probation Officers who are responsible for supervising youth on this caseload and to coordinate with Probation and staff from other community based agencies to provide STAR program services.

Program Services

There are several programs available to youth participating in the STAR program. Referrals for programs and services are made by probation officers who supervise juvenile offender caseloads. The program services youth receive are a function of the Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory (YLS-CMI). The YLS-CMI is a validated assessment tool that assists probation officers to identify risk, responsivity and the top criminogenic needs in order to create more meaningful case plan objectives for all juvenile offenders. Assessments are reviewed as youth progress through their probation periods and their risk levels are adjusted according to the level of need.

The Napa County Probation Department continues to provide ongoing Evidence Based Practices (EBP) training and refreshers trainings including: The Carey Guides (an effective case management tool utilized to assist Probation Officers in obtaining a balance in their approach with offenders and in helping the offender identify meaningful rewards while linking those rewards with positive behavior change) and Motivational Interviewing (MI).

Over the last year, the Juvenile Division has provided ongoing cognitive behavior groups, to 128 probation youth. 119 youth successfully completed groups which included 1021 program days. Cognitive behavior groups continue to be offered to youth at school sites and at the probation department. These groups provide practical applications for skill building in the areas of values, attitudes, beliefs, decision making and relationship building.

The following services are available to youth in custody; although some may also be offered to youth who are not in custody

- The YLS/CMI is administered by a probation officers to each youth placed on probation.
- The Massachusetts Youth Screening Instrument (MAYSI) an initial mental health and substance abuse screening tool is administered by the Juvenile Hall Forensic Mental Health Counselor to all detainees soon after they are admitted into Juvenile Hall.
- Gang Awareness programming, utilizing the EBP Carey Guides, is offered to youth in custody who have been identified as having gang association.
- Thinking For A Change (TFC) is an EBP program and is provided to youth in and out of custody.
- Life Skills Training is an Evidence Based Program offered through National Curriculum Training Institute (NCTI) and offered to youth in Juvenile Hall and also utilizes the Carey Guides.
- Living In Balance, a cognitive behavioral evidenced based drug and alcohol program, is brief intervention substance abuse treatment which is provided weekly to youth in custody by a Wolfe Center counselor. It is designed to enhance proactive choices and move toward reducing known risk factors. Additionally, youth in custody are administered the American Association of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) which is a substance abuse early intervention and pre-assessment tool.
- Aggression Replacement Training, (ART) gender specific Evidence Based Programming, is offered to youth in and out of custody.
- Anger Management, an Evidence Based Program offered through National Curriculum Training Institute (NCTI) is offered to youth in Juvenile Hall.

Performance Data

Last year the program served 128 youth. Of those youth, 119 completed the program and 9 did not complete the program. The number of youth who completed programming is slightly higher than the last reporting period.

Mandated Outcomes

The program's mandated outcomes focus on six areas: arrest rates, incarceration rates; probation violation rates; rates for completion of probation, rates for completion of community work service, and rates of completion of restitution payment. Outcomes for 2013-2014 will include program participants who completed their outcome period.

Arrests: There was an average of 2.77 arrests per participant twelve months prior to services (this includes both new offenses and violations of probation). On average, there were 2.18 arrests twelve months post services.

Incarceration: The incarceration rate of the participants twelve months prior to services was 2.77. On average, this figure dropped to 2.18 post services.

Probation Violations: The probation violation rate for program youth averaged 1.98 pre-program services and 1.98 for post-program services youth.

The following reference groups consist of youth similar to the youth who participated in the STAR program and did not meet the criteria to receive STAR program services.

Completion of Probation: On average 15.83% of program youth completed probation within twelve months of program entry. One of the 30 participants in the reference group completed probation.

Community Service: This year, 19 of the 104 youth who received program services completed their community service hours. 18.27 % of the youth completed Community Service hours within the reporting period. In the reference group, of the 28 youth who were ordered Community Service, 2 completed their hours.

Restitution: For those in the program, 8.70 % completed their restitution payments. For participants in the reference group, of the 21 who were ordered restitution, 3 participants completed restitution.

Implementation Challenges

The Napa County Probation Department continues to offer a variety of cognitive behavior groups focused on delivering EBP programming to targeted youth. However, it remains clear that the population of juvenile offenders continues to remain low nationally and fewer youth are on probation; therefore, the number of youth completing program services continues to be low.

Overall Program

The Napa County Probation Department Juvenile Probation continues to provide of EBP programming to Probation youth thereby decreasing recidivism as well as the population in juvenile hall and those sent to residential treatment facilities. Therefore, each year the outcomes for the STAR program are varying depending on changes in programming and the population being served throughout the year.

In this last rating period, outcomes were measured based on a 365 day rating period. Overall, there was a slight increase in the number of probation youth served in the STAR program. The Violation of Probation (VOP) rate pre programming has decreased due, in part to the fact that Probation has begun to identify and expand options for alternate responses to violation behavior.

Although the percentage of youth who completed probation in this rating period has decreased slightly, it is important to note that over the last four years there has been a 56% reduction in the number of youth on probation. There has been a consistent and overall reduction in the juvenile hall population during the last reporting period and this pattern appears to be holding.

Juvenile Probation caseloads are currently in line with the EBP caseloads standards. The number of cognitive behavior groups has increased thereby offering more dosage hours to youth as part of their probation case plan. Additionally, the fact that the total number of youth on probation continues to be down from last year seems to be an indication that the implementation of EBP practices is having a positive impact on the overall goal of this department to reduce recidivism.

[Return to Program Selection Screen](#)