

HolmanTeague

real estate law • land use law • business law • climate change law

July 14, 2014

Gladys Coil
Clerk of the Board
1195 Third St., Suite 310
Napa, CA 94559

RECEIVED

JUL 14 2014

COUNTY OF NAPA
EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Via Hand Delivery

RE: Appeal of Paul Pelosi to Planning Commission's Approval of Winery Use Permit (P13-00140) and Variance (P14-00074)

Dear Ms. Coil:

The Appellant and Applicant in the above referenced appeal have reached an agreement that, if approved by the Board of Supervisors, would resolve the Appellant's appeal. Through that agreement, Appellant and Applicant jointly request that the Board modify the Planning Commission's approval as follows:

- Relocate of the winery as depicted in the attached site plan including incorporation of relief from Zinfandel Lane in the variance approved by the Commission; and
- Reduce the number of 25-person events from 12 to 7.

In the event that Board declines to approve these changes to the winery, the parties would then advocate their respective positions before the Board pursuant to Chapter 2.88 of Napa County Code.

Appellant's counsel is copied on this letter. Should you or County Counsel require written confirmation from Appellant, I expect Appellant's counsel would be willing to provide it. Please feel free to contact me with any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,



Rob Anglin

cc: Board of Supervisors
Minh Tran, County Counsel
David Morrison, PBES Director
Scott Greenwood-Meinart, DPF